

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Anatec International, Incorporated  
San Clemente, California 92673-3758

Docket No.: 999001342/1999201

During an NRC inspection conducted at the Anatec International, Incorporated (Anatec) facility at San Clemente, California on August 30 - September 1, 1999, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," NUREG-1600, the violation is listed below:

Section 21.21, "Notification of failure to comply or existence of a defect and its evaluation," of 10 CFR Part 21, requires, in part, that each individual, corporation, partnership, dedicating entity, or other entity subject to the Part 21 regulation adopt appropriate procedures to (1) Evaluate deviations and failures to comply to identify defects and failures to comply associated with substantial safety hazards as soon as practicable, and, except as provided in paragraph (a)(2) of §21.21, in all cases within 60 days of discovery, in order to identify a reportable defect or failure to comply that could create a substantial safety hazard, were it to remain uncorrected, and (2) Ensure that if an evaluation of an identified deviation or failure to comply potentially associated with a substantial safety hazard cannot be completed within 60 days from discovery of the deviation or failure to comply, an interim report is prepared and submitted to the Commission through a director or responsible officer or designated person. Section 21.21(b) requires that if the supplier of basic components determines that it does not have the capability to perform the evaluation to determine if a defect exists, then the supplier must inform the purchasers or affected licensees within five working days of this determination.

Contrary to the above, Anatec failed to recognize that it was required to perform an evaluation of information contained in a letter received approximately May 17, 1999, indicating that the validity and accuracy of certain non-destructive examination qualified data analyst testing personnel records were suspect. Additionally, Anatec also failed to recognize that it was required to inform the applicable utility customers if it determined that it did not have the capability to evaluate the issues in accordance with Part 21. (Violation 99901342/1999201-01)

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VII).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Anatec International, Incorporated is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555-0001, with a copy to the Chief, IQMB, Division of Inspection Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation:

Enclosure 1

(1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland  
this 28<sup>th</sup> day of October 1999