

October 27, 1999

Mr. J. A. Scalice
Chief Nuclear Officer and
Executive Vice President
Tennessee Valley Authority
6A Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

SUBJECT: WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1 RE: REVISIONS TO THE UFSAR -
PAST AND FUTURE COMPLIANCE WITH 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4)

Dear Mr. Scalice:

Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Subsection 50.71(e)(4) specifies the schedular requirements whereby licensees are to submit revisions to their Updated Final Safety Analysis Reports (UFSARs). It requires revisions to be made "... annually or 6 months after each refueling outage provided the interval between successive updates does not exceed 24 months." In a 1997 survey we found numerous incidents of noncompliance by licensees of both single- and multi-unit plants with this schedular requirement. In many cases, licensees for multi-unit sites were basing their UFSAR submissions on the outage schedule of one unit.

We understand that some confusion about the proper interpretation of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) may have been caused by an NRC staff letter to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd) dated June 15, 1993, which endorsed a submission schedule proposed by ComEd for its plants. Neither ComEd's proposed schedule nor the staff's endorsement were in literal compliance with 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). Nevertheless, many licensees adopted the staff position expressed in the June 15, 1993, letter and thus deviated from the schedular requirements of this regulation. Recently, the staff granted exemptions to the ComEd plants. The transmittal letter (D. Skay to O. D. Kingsley, dated July 27, 1999) states that "this [Skay] letter and the enclosed exemptions supersede our letter of June 15, 1993."

We note that you have not requested a schedular exemption to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) for Watts Bar Unit 1. This may indicate that either you intend to comply with the correct interpretation of the regulation, or you may have incorrectly interpreted the regulation in the past. The purpose of this letter is to clarify any misunderstanding of this regulation. We will exercise enforcement discretion, in accordance with Section VII.B.6 of the NRC Enforcement Policy, for any incidents of past violation of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). In the future we will enforce the schedular requirements as prescribed, or as modified by an exemption for Watts Bar Unit 1.

There is no need to respond to this letter.

Sincerely,
Original signed by:
Robert E. Martin, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate II
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DFOI

Docket No. 50-390

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: OGC FileCenter ACRS PUBLIC PFredrickson, RII
WBN r/f PTam SBlack PDII SC BClayton RMartin

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDII-2\WBN\UFSARWBN.wpd

OFFICE	PDII-2/PM	PDII-2/LA	PDII-2/SG (A)	
NAME	RMartin	BClayton		
DATE	10/27/99	10/27/99	10/27/99	10/ /99



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 27, 1999

Mr. J. A. Scalice
Chief Nuclear Officer and
Executive Vice President
Tennessee Valley Authority
6A Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

SUBJECT: WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1 RE: REVISIONS TO THE UFSAR -
PAST AND FUTURE COMPLIANCE WITH 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4)

Dear Mr. Scalice:

Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Subsection 50.71(e)(4) specifies the schedular requirements whereby licensees are to submit revisions to their Updated Final Safety Analysis Reports (UFSARs). It requires revisions to be made ". . . annually or 6 months after each refueling outage provided the interval between successive updates does not exceed 24 months." In a 1997 survey we found numerous incidents of noncompliance by licensees of both single- and multi-unit plants with this schedular requirement. In many cases, licensees for multi-unit sites were basing their UFSAR submissions on the outage schedule of one unit.

We understand that some confusion about the proper interpretation of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) may have been caused by an NRC staff letter to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd) dated June 15, 1993, which endorsed a submission schedule proposed by ComEd for its plants. Neither ComEd's proposed schedule nor the staff's endorsement were in literal compliance with 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). Nevertheless, many licensees adopted the staff position expressed in the June 15, 1993, letter and thus deviated from the schedular requirements of this regulation. Recently, the staff granted exemptions to the ComEd plants. The transmittal letter (D. Skay to O. D. Kingsley, dated July 27, 1999) states that "this [Skay] letter and the enclosed exemptions supersede our letter of June 15, 1993."

We note that you have not requested a schedular exemption to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) for Watts Bar Unit 1. This may indicate that either you intend to comply with the correct interpretation of the regulation, or you may have incorrectly interpreted the regulation in the past. The purpose of this letter is to clarify any misunderstanding of this regulation. We will exercise enforcement discretion, in accordance with Section VII.B.6 of the NRC Enforcement Policy, for any incidents of past violation of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4). In the future we will enforce the schedular requirements as prescribed, or as modified by an exemption for Watts Bar Unit 1.

There is no need to respond to this letter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Robert E. Martin".

Robert E. Martin, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate II
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-390

cc : See next page

Mr. J. A. Scalice
Tennessee Valley Authority

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT

cc:

Mr. Karl W. Singer, Senior Vice President
Nuclear Operations
Tennessee Valley Authority
6A Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, TN 37402-2801

Mr. Paul L. Pace, Manager
Licensing and Industry Affairs
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
P.O. Box 2000
Spring City, TN 37381

Mr. Jack A. Bailey, Vice President
Engineering & Technical
Tennessee Valley Authority
6A Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, TN 37402-2801

Mr. William R. Lagergren, Plant Manager
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
P.O. Box 2000
Spring City, TN 37381

Mr. Richard T. Purcell, Site Vice President
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
P.O. Box 2000
Spring City, TN 37381

Senior Resident Inspector
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1260 Nuclear Plant Road
Spring City, TN 37381

General Counsel
Tennessee Valley Authority
ET 10H
400 West Summit Hill Drive
Knoxville, TN 37902

County Executive
Rhea County Courthouse
Dayton, TN 37321

Mr. N. C. Kazanas, General Manager
Nuclear Assurance
Tennessee Valley Authority
5M Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, TN 37402-2801

County Executive
Meigs County Courthouse
Decatur, TN 37322

Mr. Mark J. Burzynski, Manager
Nuclear Licensing
Tennessee Valley Authority
4X Blue Ridge
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, TN 37402-2801

Mr. Michael H. Mobley, Director
TN Dept. of Environment & Conservation
Division of Radiological Health
3rd Floor, L and C Annex
401 Church Street
Nashville, TN 37243-1532