

**Entergy Operations, Inc.** 1448 S.R. 333 Russelville, AR 72801 Tel 501 858-5000

October 27, 1999

1CAN109903

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Mail Station OP1-17 Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit - 1 Docket No. 50-313 License No. DPR-51 Licensee Event Report 50-313/1999-004-00

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), enclosed is the subject report concerning the Fuel Handling Area Ventilation System.

Very truly yours,

Jimmy D. Vandergrift

Director, Nuclear Safety

JDV/tfs

enclosure

IEDD

U. S. NRC October 27, 1999 1CAN109903 PAGE 2

4

4

 cc: Mr. Ellis W. Merschoff Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

> NRC Senior Resident Inspector Arkansas Nuclear One P.O. Box 310 London, AR 72847

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-5957

							a en conse	ant and			··.		<u>.</u>			
NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150 (5-92) EXPIRES 5/31/95									3150-0 1/95	104						
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)										ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON. DC 20503.					
FACILIT	FACILITY NAME (1)										DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3)					
Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 1 05000313 1 OF 4									1 OF 4							
Movement Was In Progress Due To Inappropriate Installation Of A Temporary Device To Restrain The Exhaust Damper Open And A Work Plan Deficiency																
EVEN	T DATE	(5)		LER NUMBER (6	)		REPO	RT DATE	(7)			OTHER FACI	LITIES IN	VOLVED	(8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISI NUMBE	ON R	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME DOCKET NUMBER			NUMBER			
09	28	1 <b>999</b>	1999	004	00		10	27	1999	FACILITY NAME DOCKET NUMBER					NUMBER	
OPERA	TING		THIS RE	PORT IS SUBMITT	ED PURSU	JANT	TO THE	REQUIR	MENTS	OF 1(	D CF	R: (Check on	e or more	) (11)		
MODE	(9)	N	20.4	20.402(b)			20.405(c)			50.73(a)(2)(i			iv) 73.71(		71(Ь)	
	(10)	000	20.4	20.405(a)(1)(i)			50.36(	(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)			73.71(c)		
			20.4	05(a)(1)(iii)		x	50.30(	$\frac{c}{2}$		50.73(a)(2)(vii)			(11)			
			20.4	05(a)(1)(iv)			50.73(	a)(2)(i)	, i)	50.73(a)(2)(V111)(A				) Specity in		
			20.4	05(a)(1)(v)			50.73(	a)(2)(i	ii)	50.73(a)(2)(x)				and in Text		
					LICENS	EE	CONTACT	FOR THI	S LER	(12)						
NAME											Т	TELEPHONE NUM	BER (Incl	ude Are	a Code)	
Thomas	F. Scott	, Nucl	ear Safet	y and Licensing	Specia	lis	t					501-858-4623				
	I		COMP	LETE ONE LINE F	OR EACH	CO	MPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRI	BED	IN 1	THIS REPORT (1	3)			
CAUSE	CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPOR			TAB	.E CAUSE			SYSTEM COMPONENT		MANUFA	CTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX				
							V VEAD									
YES								NO			0.0				I IEAK	
	yes, con			SUBMISSION DATE	)						DA	IE (15)				
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16) On September 28, 1999, the flow rate of the Fuel Handling Area Ventilation System was below the Technical Specifications requirement while irradiated fuel was being moved in the Spent Fuel Pool. Ventilation flow rate was reduced below requirements at approximately 1810, and fuel handling was stopped at 1938 when the condition was discovered. A rope had been installed to restrain the ventilation exhaust damper in the open position during an outage of an electrical bus. The rope allowed the damper to close enough to reduce flow rate by automatic adjustment of fan blade pitch due to system backpressure. Installation of the rope was not addressed by the work plan (procedure) for the bus outage or authorized under the administrative controls for temporary alterations. The root causes of this condition are inappropriate work practices by the individual installing the restraining device and the deficient work plan. Lessons learned from this event are being reviewed with Operations personnel of both ANO units. The deficient work plan will be corrected and other work plans for bus outages will be reviewed prior to their next use to verify adequate controls for temporary alterations.																

· . . A

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR RE (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND RUDGET WASHINGTON DC 20503					
FACILITY NAME (1)	FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2)					
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 1	05000313	1999	004	00	2 OF 4	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

#### A. Plant Status

At the time of this event, Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 1 (ANO-1) was in a refueling shutdown condition. The reactor core was being reloaded with fuel assemblies from the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) [DA].

## B. Event Description

On September 28, 1999, the flow rate of the Fuel Handling Area Ventilation System [VF] was below Technical Specifications (TS) requirements while irradiated fuel was being moved in the SFP.

Ventilation from the fuel handling area is exhausted to the Reactor Building (RB) [NH] flutes through a multi-filter unit consisting of a roughing filter, a HEPA filter, a charcoal adsorber, and two fans, one of which serves as a standby. Technical Specification 3.15 requires the system to be in operation, with a flow rate within ten percent of the design value of 39,000 CFM (between 35,100 and 42,900 CFM), whenever irradiated fuel handling operations are in progress in the fuel handling area (SFP) of the Auxiliary Building [NF].

At 1752 on September 28, 1999, fuel-handling activities in the RB and SFP resumed. Subsequently, while taking normal log readings on the radiation monitors for the ventilation flow path, the Operations Shift Engineer noticed that the flow rate for the fuel handling ventilation pathway was below the minimum requirement at approximately 20,000 CFM. Fuel movement was suspended at 1938. An investigation revealed that a rope was being used to maintain the outlet damper for the operating exhaust fan open to facilitate maintenance on an electrical bus while fuel movement was in progress. The electrical bus supplies a solenoid valve in the air supply that normally keeps the exhaust damper open. The bus had been de-energized at 1800. The temporary rope allowed the damper to close enough to increase backpressure on the fan with a resulting automatic pneumatic adjustment to fan blade pitch to compensate with lower flow. The ventilation flow rate was determined to have degraded below the minimum requirement at approximately 1810. Fuel handling was stopped at 1938 after the condition was discovered. The electrical bus was restored to service at 2057. At 2105, fuel handling in the SFP resumed with ventilation flow rate meeting Technical Specifications requirements.

### C. Root Causes

The rope being used as a restraining device for the damper was not controlled by the work plan (procedure) for the bus outage and was not authorized under the temporary alteration program. The individual installing the rope, a licensed operator, recognized the work plan deficiency but did not recognize that the activity was a temporary alteration. He did not take action to ensure that the work plan was corrected or that installation was reviewed and

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR RE	APPROVED BY ONB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMA THIS FORWARI THE II (MNBB WASHIN REDUCT MANAGEI	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND REPORT VASUANCE 20507					
	FACILITY NAME (1)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Arkansas Nucle	ar One - Unit 1	05000313	1999	004	00	3 OF 4		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

approved. One factor that may have influenced the decision not to use the temporary alteration process was the unusual plant configuration with multiple outage activities in progress. Also, the individual was aware of the use of a rope to restrain a similar damper during a previous outage. On that occasion, the device had been controlled by a procedure and its failure may have had a less significant impact on system operation. The individual stated that time pressure and outage schedule adherence were not factors contributing to the event. One root cause of this event is attributed to inappropriate work practices by the individual who installed the damper restraining device.

The second root cause of this event was a deficiency in the work plan being used to control the electrical bus outage. The work plan did not identify the impact of the power outage on the Fuel Handling Area Ventilation System. The specific reason that this impact was overlooked could not be determined by the individuals involved in the preparation of the work plan.

#### D. Corrective Actions

This event will be reviewed with appropriate Operations personnel from both ANO units by December 17, 1999 to provide lessons learned, including requirements concerning installation of devices that are not part of the approved design configuration of the plant.

The deficient work plan will be corrected and other bus outage work plans will be reviewed and revised, as appropriate, prior to their next use to ensure that controls for temporary alterations are adequate. Bus outage work is not anticipated until the outage scheduled to start in March 2001 for ANO-1 and September 2000 for ANO-2.

# E. Safety Significance

The Fuel Handling Area Ventilation System is designed to filter the Auxiliary Building atmosphere during fuel handling operations to limit the release of activity should a fuel handling accident occur. Operation of the fans significantly different from the design flow rate changes the removal efficiency of the HEPA filter and charcoal adsorbers. The fuel handling accident analysis contained in the Safety Analysis Report documents that total integrated doses are a small fraction (less than ten percent) of 10CFR100 limits assuming filter efficiencies for iodine removal are 90 percent for organic and 70 percent for inorganic. Technical Specifications surveillance tests demonstrate greater than 99 percent DOP and halogenated hydrocarbon removal for HEPA filter and charcoal adsorber banks when tested within 10 percent of design flow. Surveillance test results of laboratory carbon sample analysis demonstrate greater than 90 percent radioactive methyl iodine removal at a velocity within 20 percent of design. While the deviation from acceptable system flow rate was significant, this condition is judged to have had minimal actual safety significance because of its short duration.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REF	APPROVED BY ONB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95					
LIC	ENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND RUDCET WASHINGTON DC 20503					
F	ACILITY NAME (1)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Arkansas Nuclear One	- Unit 1	05000313	1999	004	00	4 OF 4	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

F. Basis for Reportability

Technical Specification 3.15 requires that, if the Fuel Handling Area Ventilation flow rate is not within ten percent of the design value, "irradiated fuel movement shall not be started (any irradiated fuel assembly movement in progress may be completed)." Since more than one fuel assembly was moved between 1810 (when flow rate was reduced below the minimum value) and 1938, operation prohibited by Technical Specifications resulted. This report is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a) (2)(i)(B).

G. Additional Information

There have been no previous similar Licensee Event Reports submitted by ANO.

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].