Indiana Michigan Power Company Cook Nuclear Plant One Cook Place Bridgman. MI 49106 616-465-5901

AEP INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER

October 29, 1999

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

> Operating License DPR-58 Docket No. 50-315

**Document Control Manager:** 

In accordance with the criteria established by 10 CFR 50.73 entitled <u>Licensee</u> <u>Event Report System</u>, the following report is being submitted:

LER 315/99-025-00, "Technical Specification Surveillance Requirements for Auxiliary Building Crane Not Met."

There are no commitments identified in this submittal.

Sincerely,

loons

A. Christopher Bakken, III Site Vice President

/srd Attachment

- c: J. E. Dyer, NRC Region III
  - R. P. Powers
  - P. A. Barrett
  - R. F. Godley
  - R. Whale
  - D. Hahn

Records Center, INPO

NRC Resident Inspector

IE22

PDR ADOCK. AEP: America's Energy Partner®

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001										
(6-1998)		LICI (S	ENSEE I ee revers digits/cha	EVENT REPO e for required racters for eac	DRT (L number h block)	<b>ER)</b> of				Estim collec licens estim Comi Proje If an the N the ir	nated ction r sing p nate to missic ect (31 inform IRC m forma	burden p request: 50 rocess and the Reco on, Washin 50-0104), nation collect ay not coll ation collect	per response to 0 hrs. Reported d fed back to indu rds Management B ngton, DC 20555 Office of Manage ection does not dis nduct or sponsor, a tion.	comply with lessons leasers, Forwa Branch (T-6 -0001, and ment and E play a curre and a perso	th this man arned are inc ard comments \$ F33), U.S. I to the Pap Budget, Wash ently valid OM on is not requ	datory information corporated into the s regarding burden Nuclear Regulatory perwork Reduction ington, DC 20503. AB control number, ired to respond to,		
FACILITY NAME (1)							DOC	KET	NUMBER	R (2)		PAGE (3)						
			Cook N	Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1					05000-315					1 OF 3				
TITLE (4)		Te	echnical	Specification	Survei	llance Red	quire	eme	ents fo	or Ai	uxilia	ary Bui	ilding Crane	Not Me	et			
EVENT DATE (5)		LER NUMBER (6)				REPORT DATE (7)						OTHER FACI	LITIES IN	VOLVED	(8)			
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	QUENTIAL REVISIO		DAY YEA		R	FACILITY NAME			Init 2	DOCKET NUMBER				
09	29	1999	1999	025	00	10	2	9	199	1999		ILITY NAM	E		DOCKET NUMBER			
OPERA	TING		т	HIS REPORT IS	SUBMI		UAN	T T		REQ	UIRE	MENTS	OF 10 CFR §	: (Check	one or mo	re) <b>(11)</b>		
MODE (9)		20.2201(b)		20.2203	20.2203(a)(2)(v)				X 50.73(a)(2)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(viii)					
POW	ER	0%	20.2	203(a)(1) 203(a)(2)(i)		20.2203	(a)(3) (a)(3)	)(i) Mi)			50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(iii)				50.73(a)(2)(x) 73.71			
		20.2	203(a)(2)(ii)	03(a)(2)(ii) 20.22			3(a)(4)				50.73(a	i)(2)(iv)		OTHER				
			20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)	50.36(c)(1)					50.73(a	ı)(2)(∨) ı)(2)(vii)		Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A			
			20.2	203(a)(2)(IV)		50.30(C)	(2) TAC	T E/		50.73(a)(2)(Vii)					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
NAME							IAC			TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)								
		Ms.	MB Dep	uydt, Regulat	tory Co	mpliance							(616) 46	5-5901	X 1589			
			COMPL	ETE ONE LINE	FOR EA	ACH COMPO	DNE	NT F	AILUR	e de	SCF	RIBED IN	THIS REPOR	T (13)				
CAUSE SYSTEM		COMPONENT MANUFACTURER F			REPORTAB TO EPIX	TO EPIX			SE SYSTEM COMPON			COMPONENT			TO EPIX			
- ir																		
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)									EXPECTED			ECTED	MONTH DAY		YEAR			
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE					ATE).													
ABSTR	ACT (l	imit to 140	0 spaces, i	.e., approximate	ly 15 sin	gle-spaced ty	ypew	ritte	en lines)	(16	j)							
On Se Buildin (TS). prever was no	pteml g Cra A rev its a v ot beir	per 29, 1 ane was iew of th veight of ng tested	999, with reportab e surveil more tha in accor	a both units o le per 10CF lance test fo an 2500 pour ding with T/S	lefueled R50.73 r Techr nds fror 5. The c	d, it was d (a)(2)(i)(B) nical Spec m being lif rane was	leter ) as :ifica fted not	rmi ation to f in u	ned th condit n (T/S the ho use at	at t ion ) 4. ok t the	he f pro 9.7. full t time	ailure f hibited 1 had up posi e the co	to test an in by the plat raised the c ition and mo oncern was	terlock nt's Teo oncern oved ov raised.	for the E chnical S that an er the sp	East Auxiliary Specifications interlock that bent fuel pool		
The ap rely or repairs test wa	pare the to th as suc	nt cause crane op e interloo ccessfully	of this c erator to ck, the T perform	ondition is th insure that /S surveilland ed.	at a de a load ce proc	cision was greater th edure was	s ma lat 2 s rev	ade 500 vise	e to no 0 pour ed to ir	t ta nds nclu	ke o is n ide v	credit fo not cari verifica	or the interlo ried over the ition of the i	ock on t e spent nterlock	the East fuel poo c and the	crane and to ol. Following surveillance		
The pr operat functio Theref	fimary for tra foral, a fore, t	purpose ining, pla and no ii he identif	of the c nt proce ncidents ied cond	rane interloci dures and tra have occurr ition had min	ks are f avel int ed whe imal sa	to protect a erlocks pro ere a load afety implic	aga ovid d gr catic	insi le t eat	t inadv parriers ter tha to the	rerte s to n 2 hea	ent a ina 500 alth	action ( dverter pound and sa	on the part on t action. A ds was take fety of the p	of the c Il other en over ublic.	rane ope interlock the spe	erator. Crane ks have beer ent fuel pool		

٦

NRC	FORM	366A
(3-199	98)	

#### U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) **TEXT CONTINUATION**

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)		
Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000-315	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2	OF	3	
		1999	025	00				

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

# **Conditions Prior To Event**

Unit 1 was Defueled Unit 2 was Defueled

## **Description Of The Event**

In 1988 a single failure-proof crane, the East Auxiliary Building Crane, was installed to augment the original Auxiliary Building Crane for the Unit 2 Steam Generator Replacement. The original crane was upgraded to single failure-proof and was designated as the West Auxiliary Building Crane. The original Auxiliary Building Crane did not have the load/no load interlock, and relied on travel limits and administrative controls to prevent exceeding the 2500 pound limit. When the original crane was modified to become the West Auxiliary Building Crane, it was not supplied with the load/no load interlock because the crane cannot enter the Spent Fuel Pit exclusion zone. The East Auxiliary Building Crane main hoist has the ability to cross the Spent Fuel Pool and was supplied with the load/no load interlock. However, the installation and testing of the interlock was not completed.

The crane load/no load interlock for the East crane has not been functional since the new crane was installed. Design drawings for the crane, however, did show the interlock to be completely installed. A document prepared by the crane engineer following installation of the new East and West Auxiliary Building Cranes contained details of the operating characteristics for the new cranes. This document identified that the East crane had a load cell installed in the interlock circuitry that prevents a loaded hook from traveling over the spent fuel pool. The crane engineer stated that the load cell installation was not complete and that it would not be used as a parameter for the hook to go over the spent fuel pool. The document further stated that the crane operator would be relied on to verify that no load is carried over the spent fuel pool.

In April 1999 a concern was raised during a review of the surveillance procedure that the T/S surveillance for the 2500 pound interlock was not being met. An operability determination performed at that time stated that the administrative controls, that had been in place since before the new crane was installed, were the method by which the surveillance requirements was met, and therefore the crane was operable. The issue was raised again in late September just prior to testing of the interlock and a new operability determination was performed. It was subsequently determined that the failure to test the interlock for the East Auxiliary Building Crane that prevents a weight of more than 2500 pounds from being lifted to the hook full up position and moved over the spent fuel pool was not being tested in accordance with T/S and that the condition was reportable.

## Cause Of The Event

The apparent cause of this condition is that a decision was made to not take credit for the interlock on the East crane and to rely on the crane operator to insure that a load greater that 2500 pounds was not carried over the spent fuel pool. The original crane did not have this interlock and it was apparently believed that continued reliance on administrative controls was acceptable. The safety evaluation for the modification package which installed the new cranes could not be located, therefore it was not possible to determine whether the T/S surveillance requirement was reviewed.

## Analysis Of The Event

On September 29, 1999, the failure to test all Auxiliary Building Crane interlocks per T/S was determined to be a violation of T/S and reportable per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by the plant's T/S.

T/S 3.9.7 states "loads in excess of 2,500 pounds shall be prohibited from travel over fuel assemblies in the storage pool. Loads carried over the spent fuel pool and the heights at which they may be carried over racks containing fuel shall be limited in such a way as to preclude impact energies over 24,240 inch pounds if the loads are dropped from the crane."

T/S 4.9.7.1 states "crane interlocks which prevent crane travel with loads in excess of 2,500 pounds over fuel assemblies shall be demonstrated OPERABLE within 7 days prior to crane use and at least once per 7 days thereafter during crane operation."

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COM	MISSION						
VENT REPORT (LER) EXT CONTINUATION							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
ok Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000-315	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3	OF	3
		1999	025	00			
	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COM VENT REPORT (LER) XT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) K Nuclear Plant Unit 1	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION VENT REPORT (LER) EXT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2) DK Nuclear Plant Unit 1 05000-315	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION VENT REPORT (LER) EXT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2) Dok Nuclear Plant Unit 1 05000-315 YEAR 1999	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION VENT REPORT (LER) EXT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (0) NUMBER (2) YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER 1999 025	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION VENT REPORT (LER) EXT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (6) NUMBER (2) VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER 1999 025 00	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION VENT REPORT (LER) EXT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (6) F NUMBER (2) YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER 1999 025 00	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION VENT REPORT (LER) EXT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET (2) LER NUMBER (6) PAGE ( NUMBER (2) YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER 1999 025 00

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

#### Analysis Of The Event (cont'd)

Interlocks are not designed to counteract purposeful acts. The primary purpose of the crane interlocks is to protect against inadvertent action on the part of the crane operator. Crane operator training, plant procedures and travel interlocks provide barriers to inadvertent action. Since the other 3 crane travel interlocks were functional, the crane operator would have to make a conscious decision to raise the main hook to the full up position to move a load over the Spent Fuel Pool. No instances were identified where a load greater than 2500 pounds was taken over the spent fuel pool. Therefore, the identified conditions had minimal safety implications to the health and safety of the public.

#### **Corrective Actions**

Repairs were made to the load cell device, hook full up interlock, to make it functional.

The T/S 4.9.7.1 surveillance test procedure was revised to incorporate testing of the interlock.

The surveillance procedure was successfully performed for the East Auxiliary Building Crane and the crane was declared operable on September 28, 1999.

AEP:NRC:1260GH, "Enforcement Actions 98-150, 98-151, 98-152 and 98-186 Reply to Notice Of Violation October 13, 1998", dated March 19, 1999, responded to identified programmatic weaknesses in the Technical Specification Surveillance Program and the plant Design and Licensing Basis. As part of the Restart effort, the adequacy of the T/S surveillance program will be evaluated. This evaluation includes verification that T/S surveillance requirements for all modes of plant operation are incorporated into T/S surveillance test procedures. This is being tracked by Restart Action Plan # 001, "Programmatic Breakdown in Surveillance Testing".

Issues associated with the delay between time of initial identification and reporting of the condition are being addressed through the Corrective Action Program.

The Root Cause investigation for this event has not been completed. If significant changes are identified as a result of the completed investigation, a supplement to this LER will be submitted.

#### Similar Events

315/99-004-01 315/99-009-00 315/99-015-00 315/99-016-00 315/99-023-00 315/99-024-00