

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. T	OPIC AI	REA: Risk-Informe	d and Performance-Based Regulation	1
	A.		valuation of Industry Proposals and Rulemaking	
	B.		ilot Applications	
	C.	Specific Issue: P	lant-Specific Licensing Reviews	6
	D.		uidance Documents	
II. T	opic Are	ea: Reactor Inspec	ction and Enforcement	11
	Α.		isk Informed Baseline Inspection Program	
	B.		gram Initiatives	
	C.		ement Program Initiatives - "Regulatory Significance"/Risk .	
III. <sup>.</sup>	Topic Ar	ea: Reactor Licen	see Performance Assessment	17
	Α.		erformance Assessment Process Improvements (IRAP,	
		Industry's Propos	al, and Performance Indicators)	17
IV.	Topic A	ea: Reactor Licen	sing and Oversight	20
	Α.		icense Renewal (includes Calvert Cliffs, Oconee and Generic	
		Process Improve	ments)	20
	B.	Specific Issue: 5	0.59 Rulemaking	23
	C.	Specific Issue: F	SAR Update Guidance	25
	D.	Specific Issue: D	efine Design Basis	26
	E.		nproved Standard TS	
	F.	Specific Issue: G	eneric Communications	30
	G.		ALs	
	Н.		pplicability of Backfit Rule to Decommissioning Activities	
	I.		equests for Additional Information	
	J.		.206 Petitions	
	K.	Specific Issue: A	pplication of the Backfit Rule	35
٧.	Topic A		ational Structure and Resources	
	A.		eorganization - Restructuring Line Organizations	
	B.		chieving 1:8 Supervisor/Manager-to-Employee Ratios	
	C.	Specific Issue: Ir	ncreased Employee Involvement	39
VI.	Topic A		Programs and Areas of Focus	
	A.		icense Transfers	
	B.		P600 Design Certification Rulemaking	
	C1.		N-68 (Dual Purpose) Cask Review	
	C2.		NFL/SNC TranStor (Dual Purpose) Cask Review	
	C3.	•	oltec HISTAR 100 (Dual-Purpose) Cask Review	
	C4.		estinghouse WESFLEX (Dual Purpose) Cask Review	
	C5.		AC-STC/MPC (Dual Purpose) Cask Review	
	C6.		AC-UMS (Dual Purpose) Cask Review	
	C7.		N-West MP-187 (Dual-Purpose) Cask Review	
	D1.		ecommissioning Decisions	
	D.2	Specific Issue: D	ecommissioning Regulatory Improvements	55

	E.	Specific Issue:	PGE-Trojan Reactor Vessel Shipment Application	56
	F.	Specific Issue:	Event Reporting Rulemaking	57
	G.	Specific Issue:	Proposed KI Rulemaking	59
	H.	Specific Issue:	NEI Petitions - Petition for modifying 50.54(a)	62
	l.	Specific Issue:	Revised Source Term Rulemaking	64
			•	
VII.	<b>TOPIC</b>	AREA: Uraniun	n Recovery Issues	66
	A.	Specific Issues	: Uranium recovery concerns raised in Senate report	66
\/III	TOPIC	ARFA: Change	s to NRC's Hearing Process	60
v 111.			Adjudicatory Procedures	

### I. TOPIC AREA: Risk-Informed and Performance-Based Regulation

SES Managers: Gary Holahan, Director, DSSA/NRR, and Thomas King, Director, DST/RES

# A. Specific Issue: Evaluation of Industry Proposals and Rulemaking

Objective: The objectives are enhancing safety decisions, efficiently utilizing NRC resources, reducing unnecessary conservatism, as well as soliciting industry insights.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
Conduct discussions with ACRS on risk-informed, performance-based Regulation initiatives	Ongoing 4/8/99C	R. Barrett, DSSA/ M. Cunningham, RES	

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
2. Report to the Commission on the status of proposed revision to Safety Goal Policy (9700262)	(see note) 7/99	J. Murphy, RES	
Conduct meetings between Industry PRA Steering     Committee and NRC Steering Committee	Ongoing	T. King, RES	
Conduct meetings between Industry RI Licensing     Panel and NRC RI Licensing Panel	Ongoing 2/17/99C	G. Holahan, DSSA	
5. Meet with ACRS Subcommittee to discuss risk-informing Part 50	7/99	T. Bergman, DRIP M. Cunningham, RES	
6. Conduct public meetings to discuss staff response to SRM on modifying Part 50 to be risk informed	9/99	T. Bergman, DRIP/ T. King, RES	
7. Proposed revision to Safety Goal Policy (9700262)	(SeeNote) 11/99	J. Murphy, RES	

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
8. Issue safety evaluation on EPRI ISI topical report	10/31/99	S. Ali, DE NRR	
9. Endorse ASME RI-ISI code cases via Regulatory Guide 1.147, contingent upon ASME completing code case by 12/31/99.	9/00	D. Jackson,RES / S. Ali, DE, NRR	
10. Commission paper in response to June 8, 1999 SRM regarding SECY-98-300. (See Note) (9900061-NRR) (9900062-RES)	10/99	T. Bergman, DRIP M. Cunningham, RES	
11. Meet with ACRS and request letter with views and recommendations in regard to modifying Part 50 to be risk-informed per SRM	10/99	T. Bergman, DRIP M. Cunningham, RES	

- 7. In the Commission paper currently with the EDO, the staff is proposing a completion date of 11/99 for the revision to the Safety Goal policy statement.
- 8. The staff schedule to issue its safety evaluation report (SER) by 9/30/99 was based on presentation of its draft SER to the ACRS in August 1999. The ACRS presentation is now scheduled for September, 1999, since ACRS does not have a scheduled meeting in August. Based on this change, the staff schedule to issue its SER has been revised to 10/31/99.
- 9. The staff schedule to endorse ASME RI-ISI Code Cases via RG 1.147 was contingent upon ASME completing Code Cases by 6/31/99. The staff had a meeting with NEI and industry representatives on October 8, 1998. In that meeting, the ASME representatives informed the staff that the ASME plans to complete revisions of the RI-ISI Code by 12/99. Based on this, the staff schedule to endorse ASME RI-ISI Code Cases via RG 1.147 has been revised to 9/00.
- 10-11. The SRM for SECY 98-300 identified a number of staff activities to risk-inform Part 50. Additional items will be added to this document (the CTM) in the August update to more comprehensively track these activities. Old Milestones 2-4 have been subsumed into Milestone 10 and will be tracked as part of Milestone 10.

<u>Additional Activities</u>: The Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) is conducting a study of the NRC regulatory process. Chairman Jackson and Commissioner McGaffigan are members of the Steering Committee. Ashok Thadani is on the working group. The CSIS final report is expected by mid-July.

### I. TOPIC AREA: Risk-Informed and Performance-Based Regulation

SES Manager: Gary Holahan, Director, DSSA/NRR

### B. Specific Issue: Pilot Applications

Objective: The goal of the pilot programs is to complete first of a kind risk-informed licensing reviews such that lessons learned may be utilized for future staff reviews. The pilot applications have provided a forum for developing guidance documents for both the staff and the industry.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
Risk-Informed Licensing Panel (RILP) Meetings - assists in focusing management attention, as necessary, to identify other pilots and ensure lessons learned are developed from pilots	Ongoing 2/17/99C	G. Holahan, DSSA	

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
2. Issue safety evaluation on ANO-1 ISI pilot	07/99 (see note)	S. Ali, DE	
3. Issue safety evaluation on SONGS H2 Recombiner	7/99 (See note)	M. Snodderly, DSSA	

#### Comments:

2 and 3. All licensing actions dates are contingent upon timely, technically acceptable industry responses to staff inquiries.

3. DSSA and Southern California Edison (SCE) briefed the ACRS on June 2, 1999 on San Onofre's request for exemption from hydrogen control requirements. The meeting produced a consensus for removing the hydrogen recombiners and hydrogen purge from the licensee's Technical Specifications and FSAR. During the presentation, SCE verbally committed to maintaining the equipment as part of the severe accident management guidelines with the caveat if available. SCE would also notify the staff if they were to ever abandon their efforts to maintain the equipment.

### I. TOPIC AREA: Risk-Informed and Performance-Based Regulation

SES Manager: Gary Holahan, Director, DSSA, NRR

### C. Specific Issue: Plant-Specific Licensing Reviews

Objective: The use of probabilistic risk assessment in risk-informed decision making for changes to plant-specific licensing basis is intended to enhance safety decisions, efficiently utilize NRC resources and reduce unnecessary conservatism. The goal is to complete first of a kind risk-informed licensing reviews such that lessons learned may be utilized for future staff reviews.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
Risk-Informed Licensing Panel (RILP) Meetings - assists in focusing management attention, as necessary, on risk-informed licensing actions.	Ongoing 2/17/99C	G. Holahan, DSSA	
Issue safety evaluation on Sequoyah proposal on EDG AOT extension	12/18/98C	O. Chopra, DE DSSA support	

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
Issue reliefs from augmented examination requirements for various licensees on BWR reactor pressure vessel circumferential welds	Ongoing	G. Carpenter, DE	
4. Issue safety evaluation on Browns Ferry 2/3 proposal on EDG AOT extension	8/99 (see note)	O. Chopra, DE, W. Long PD2, DSSA support	
5. SER to be issued on CE Owners Group Joint Applications Report for HPSI system AOT extensions for 8 sites	9/99	W. Lyon	
SER to be issued on CE Owners Group Joint     Applications Report for CS system AOT extensions for     8 sites	8/99	R. Goel	
7. SER to be issued on B&W Owners Group Topical Report for LPI/RBS systems AOT extensions for 5 sites	8/99	S. Brewer	

3. The staff issued Generic Letter 98-05, dated November 11, 1998, which informed BWR licensees that the staff had completed its review of the "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Reactor Pressure Vessel Shell Weld Inspection Recommendations (BWRVIP-05)," and that BWR licensees may request relief from the inservice inspection requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a(g) for the volumetric examination of circumferential reactor pressure vessel (RPV) welds. These reliefs will only be effective for the remaining term of operation under the current license. The staff will continue to expeditiously review these requests as they are received.

As stated in Generic Letter 98-05, "Boiling Water Reactor Licensees Use of the BWRVIP-05 Report to Request Relief From Augmented Examination Requirements on Reactor Pressure Vessel Circumferential Shell Welds," dated November 10, 1998," ... licensees of BWRs may request permanent (i.e., for the remaining term of operation under the existing, initial, license) relief from the inservice inspection requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a(g) for the volumetric examination of circumferential reactor pressure vessel (RPV) welds." To date, the staff has issued about twelve reliefs, and may issue approximately two dozen more, if the remaining licensees request this relief. The conclusion date reflects this expected additional work load.

4. Licensing action dates are contingent upon timely, technically acceptable industry responses to staff inquiries. Additional information has recently been received on the Browns Ferry 2/3 proposal.

# I. TOPIC AREA: Risk-Informed and Performance-Based Regulation

SES Manager: Gary Holahan, Director, DSSA, NRR and Thomas King, Director, DST/RES

# D. Specific Issue: Guidance Documents

Objective: To provide guidance for the staff and the industry which will enhance consistency and provide a infrastructure for use in risk-informed regulation.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
Initiate work on Phase 2 PRA draft standard	6/99C	M. Drouin, RES	

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
2. Issue GQA inspection procedure for use at the discretion of the Regions to verify the implementation of GQA on an as-needed basis.	7/99 (see note)	J. Peralta, DIPM	
3. Integrate risk attributes into revised licensee performance assessment process (9700238) (NRR), (SECY-99-007 and 99-007A)	3/22/99C	DISP G. Parry, DSSA	

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
4. Phase 1 PRA standard comments received and final draft developed	12/99 (See Note)	M. Drouin, RES
5. Phase 1 PRA standard issued as final by ASME	3/00	M. Drouin, RES
6. First Phase 2 PRA standard developed	TBD (See note)	M. Drouin, RES
7. Completion of Phase 2 PRA standard	TBD (See note)	M. Drouin, RES

- 2. The staff met with the CRGR on June 22, 1999 to discuss changes that had been made to the inspection procedure since the last meeting. The staff is currently revising the document to address comments raised at the meeting and expects to issue the procedure in July 1999.
- 4 and 5. Phase 1 is a standard for full power operation (internal events only) which is an ASME initiative and, therefore, the schedules are set by ASME. Phase 2 is for external events and shutdown. The schedule for completion of Phase 1 has been revised based on the large number of public comments received and must be addressed. ASME anticipates re-issuance of Phase 1 standard by 12/99 for consensus review. The staff is concerned that ASME may not meet this schedule and may not adequately address the staffs comments in the updated standard.
- 1, 6, and 7. Phase 2 of the PRA standard covers internal fire, external events and low power shutdown (LPSD). ANS will be developing a standard for LPSD conditions and external events (i.e., seismic). ANS has initiated work and is currently developing a plan with anticipation of issuing a schedule for completion of LPSD and seismic by 10/99.

### II. Topic Area: Reactor Inspection and Enforcement

SES Manager: William M. Dean, PIPB/DIPM/NRR and J. Lieberman, Director, OE

### A. Specific Issue: Risk Informed Baseline Inspection Program

Program Manager: Alan L. Madison, NRR and John Flack, RES

Objective: To develop and implement a more risk informed, efficient, and effective baseline inspection program. By risk informed, it is meant that the inspection program's scope will be defined primarily by those areas that are significant from a risk perspective and that the inspection methods used to assess these areas will take advantage of both generic and plant specific risk insights.

Coordination: Issues II.A. "Risk Informed Baseline Inspection Program," II.B. "Enforcement Program Initiatives," II.C. "Escalated Enforcement Program," III.A. "Performance Assessment Process Improvements," and VI. F "Event Reporting Rulemaking," require close coordination and the integration of specific tasks by the NRC staff. Responsible project managers are coordinating these activities by assessing the impact of proposed program changes with the other ongoing activities and ensuring that the overall objectives for each project are achieved. Examples include, intra-project task force participation, workshop attendance, concurrent review of projects and periodic senior management briefings. In addition, industry-developed initiatives such as the NEI New Regulatory Oversight Process are being reviewed by all project groups and evaluated for impact.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Begin drafting program changes and start conducting training of staff	2/99C	A. Madison, DIPM
Begin pilot implementation of new baseline inspection program	5/30/99C	W. Dean, DIPM

Milestone	Date	Lead

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
2.b. Monitor pilot implementation of new baseline inspection program and review results     3. Complete pilot implementation of new baseline inspection program	12/99 12/99	A. Madison, DIPM A. Madison, DIPM
Complete transition to risk informed baseline inspection program	4/00	W. Dean, DIPM

2.b., 4. The staff is implementing the pilot baseline inspection program through November 1999. The SRM for SECY 99-007 and SECY 99-007A directed the staff to extend implementation of the new oversight program to April 1, 2000, to allow additional time for staff to review results of the pilot program, develop lessons learned from the pilots, and solicit feedback on process changes.

#### **Deferrals and Suspensions:**

#### SALP Program.

The SALP process suspension will be continued indefinitely.

RES and NRR work assessing the effectiveness of the station blackout and anticipated transient without scram rules and generic safety issue A-45 (decay heat removal) has been deferred. The assessment of the Station Blackout Rule will be completed by 9/99, and the assessment of the ATWS Rule and resolution of USI A-45 (Decay Heat Removal) will be completed by 9/00.

July 2, 1999

### II. Reactor Inspection and Enforcement

SES Manager: James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement

### **B. Enforcement Program Initiatives**

Issues/Lead Individual:

- 1) NRC-licensee documentation and disposition of non-risk significant violations (C)
  Mark Satorius
- 2) Severity Level IV violations
  David Nelson
- 3) Industry Enforcement Process Proposals Renee Pedersen

Due to the manner that these three issues are linked, all are being considered under one Plan of Action.

Objective: Reduce unnecessary licensee burdens associated with responding to non-risk significant violations (Issues Nos. 1 and 2) utilizing initial stakeholder inputs and proposals and soliciting stakeholder feedback following implementation of Enforcement Program changes (Issue No.3), without losing the NRC's ability to detect licensee problems in a timely manner.

Coordination: Issues II.A. "Risk Informed Baseline Core Inspection Program," II.B. "Enforcement Program Initiatives," II.C. "Escalated Enforcement Program," III.A. "Performance Assessment Process Improvements," and VI.F "Event Reporting Rulemaking," require close coordination and the integration of specific tasks by the NRC staff. Responsible project managers are coordinating these activities by assessing the impact of proposed program changes with the other ongoing activities and ensuring that the overall objectives for each project are achieved. Examples include, intra-project task force participation, workshop attendance, concurrent review of projects and periodic senior management briefings. In addition, industry-developed initiatives such as the NEI New Regulatory Oversight Process are being reviewed by all project groups and evaluated for impact.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
1. Following Commission approval of the staff's Enforcement Policy revision, the Revised Policy is published in the Federal Register, with the message to stakeholders that six months after implementation of the Revised Policy, public meeting/workshops will be held for stakeholder feedback.	2/9/99C	M. Satorius

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Conduct video conferencing with Regional managers to outline the changes to the Enforcement Policy and provide agency expectations.	2/22/99C	M. Satorius
3. Implement revised Enforcement Policy.	3/11/99C	M. Satorius

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
4. Collect enforcement data following the implementation of the Revised Enforcement Policy, for later use in determining the success of the changes in accomplishing the objectives	Monthly after 3/11/99	D. Nelson	
5. Solicit feedback from regional management, the inspection staff, and headquarters staff on the successes or failures of the Revised Enforcement Policy.	7/99	R. Pedersen	
6. Conduct public meetings/workshops with stakeholders to solicit feedback on the successes and shortcomings of the Revised Enforcement Policy.	10/99	R. Pedersen	
7. Assemble the collective views of the staff and stakeholders to determine whether the Revised Enforcement Policy has accomplished the objectives, or whether further staff action is needed. Submit Commission paper. (9800159) (OE)	11/99	R. Pedersen	

# **II. Reactor Inspection and Enforcement**

SES Manager: James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement

### C. Escalated Enforcement Program Initiatives - "Regulatory Significance"/Risk

Objective: Incorporate clearer risk-informed enforcement guidance in the treatment of escalated violations.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Conduct a second public meeting with stakeholders to discuss application of regulatory significance.	2/9/99C	M. Satorius
Conduct a public meeting with stakeholders to discuss application of risk-informed enforcement.	2/9/99C	M. Satorius
3. Submit a Commission Paper that addresses the use of "regulatory significance." (9800069) (OE) (SECY 99-087)	3/24/99C	M. Satorius
Develop (proposed) risk-informed (revisions to) the Enforcement Policy.	3/24/99C	M. Satorius
5. Discuss (revisions) with stakeholders and solicit feedback	3/11/99C	M. Satorius
Develop revised enforcement policy for use during trial implementation of Performance Assessment Program.	3/22/99C	B. Westreich

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
7. (Develop revised enforcement policy that addresses elimination of "regulatory significance." (9800069)	(8/16/99	R. Pedersen)

#### Comments:

7. The Commission's 6/15/99 SRM (SECY 99-087) approved the staff recommendation to forgo risk informing the enforcement supplements in view of the proposals to risk inform the enforcement process as part of the integrated reactor oversight effort. Item 9800155 was administratively closed. In addition, as a result of closing Item 9800155, Milestone I.D.4 was closed.

### III. Topic Area: Reactor Licensee Performance Assessment

SES Manager: William M. Dean, PIPB/DIPM/NRR

# A. Specific Issue: Performance Assessment Process Improvements (IRAP, Industry's Proposal, and Performance Indicators)

Program Manager: Alan L. Madison, PIPB/DIPM/NRR

Objective: The objective of this task is to develop and implement improvements to the NRC plant performance assessment process (and the overall reactor oversight process) to make it more risk-informed, efficient, and effective while combining the best attributes of the IRAP effort, the regulatory oversight approach proposed by NEI, and the staff efforts designed to develop risk-informed performance indicators.

Coordination: Issues II.A. "Risk Informed Baseline Inspection Program," II.B. "Enforcement Program Initiatives," II.C. "Escalated Enforcement Program," III.A. "Performance Assessment Process Improvements," and VI. F "Event Reporting Rulemaking," require close coordination and the integration of specific tasks by the NRC staff. Responsible project managers are coordinating these activities by assessing the impact of proposed program changes with the other ongoing activities and ensuring that the overall objectives for each project are achieved. Examples include, intra-project task force participation, workshop attendance, concurrent review of projects and periodic senior management briefings. In addition, industry-developed initiatives such as the NEI New Regulatory Oversight Process are being reviewed by all project groups and evaluated for impact.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Obtain Commission approval for pilot program implementation of recommended changes	6/99 C	W. Dean, DIPM
2. Obtain Industry approval to make public the data used in Industry's proposed Indicators for monitoring plant performance. Begin phase out of current Performance Indicator Program.	6/99 C	T. Wolf, RES
3. Complete development of implementation plan. Start phase-in (pilot) of the revised reactor oversight process.	6/99 C	A. Madison, DIPM
4. Begin trial application of risk-based performance indicators	6/99	T. Wolf, RES

Milestone	Date	Lead

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Continue pilot implementation of new reactor oversight process	7/99-12/99	A. Madison, DIPM
5. Begin trial application of risk-based performance indicators.	8/99	T. Wolf, RES
6. Complete NRC and licensee training on new reactor oversight process in preparation for full implementation	11/99-3/00	A. Madison, DIPM
7. Complete trial application, brief Commission, and publish candidate risk-based indicators for public comment. (9800160)(RES)	11/99-2/00	T. Wolf, RES
8. Commence full implementation of new reactor oversight process	4/00	W. Dean, DIPM
Publish last Performance Indicator Report using current PIs	1/00	T. Wolf, RES
10. Hold public workshop on candidate risk-based performance indicators.	2/00	T. Wolf, RES
11. Brief commission on proposed risk-based performance indicators developed cooperatively by NRC and industry (9800161) (RES)	10/00	T. Wolf, RES
12. Implement Commission approved risk-based performance indicators developed cooperatively by NRC and industry	1/01	T. Wolf, RES M. Johnson, DIPM
13. Complete evaluation of implementation and effectiveness of the revised assessment process	6/01	M. Johnson, DIPM

- 1, 4, 6, 8. The SRM for SECY-99-007 and SECY-99-007A was issued on June 18, 1999.
- . 1. A Commission briefing was held on March 26, 1999, to discuss Recommendations for Oversight Process Improvement (Follow-up to SECY 99-007).
- 3. A performance Indicator (PI) public workshop was held on April 12-15, 1999, to discuss PIs to be used during the pilot program for the revised oversight process.
- . 3. A public workshop was held on May 17-20, 1999, to discuss the oversight processes and pilot program .

- . 3. In conjunction with the above, a utility management public workshop to provide an overview of the oversight processes and pilot program was held on May 20,1999.
- 4. As part of its efforts to communicate with external stakeholders regarding the revised reactor oversight process, the NRC conducted public meetings in the vicinity of 5 pilot sites. This effort will also be conducted at 3 other pilot sites in the near future.
- 5, 7. During the initial definition phase it was determined that (a) additional expertise was needed and a separate support contract is being placed, and (b) the effort required to complete the identification of the indicators and associated thresholds was more complicated and will take longer than originally planned. The result is a delay in the dates for these two milestones but the delay is compensated for by incorporating the workshop into the trial application and comment period rather then holding the workshop after the comment period was closed.

#### Deferrals and Suspensions:

The SALP process suspension will be continued indefinitely.

SES Manager: Chris Grimes, Director, RLSB/DRIP/NRR

<u>A. Specific Issue: License Renewal</u> (includes Calvert Cliffs, Oconee and Generic Process Improvements)

Objective: Demonstrate that license renewal applications submitted under 10 CFR Parts 54 & 51 can be reviewed effectively, efficiently and promptly.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Issue §51.53 rule change to designate HLW transportation as a generic environmental impact for 60-day public comment (9800003)	2/99C	D. Cleary, DRIP
Issue Draft Environmental Statement for comment - Calvert Cliffs	2/24/99C	C. Grimes, DRIP
Complete Safety Evaluation Report (SER) and identify open items - Calvert Cliffs	3/21/99C	C. Grimes, DRIP
ACRS subcommittee meeting on Calvert Cliffs SER and open items	4/29/99 C	C. Grimes, DRIP
5. ACRS full committee meeting on Calvert Cliffs SER and open items	5/5/99C	C. Grimes, DRIP
6. Issue Draft Environmental Statement - Oconee	5/20/99 C	C. Grimes, DRIP
7. Complete SER and identify open items - Oconee	6/16/99C	C. Grimes, DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
8. Maintain Calvert Cliffs and Oconee schedules	Ongoing	C. Grimes, DRIP
Conduct management meetings with license renewal applicants	Monthly	C. Grimes, DRIP
10. Steering Committee bimonthly meeting with NEI Working Group	2/26/99C 3/30/99C 5/12/99C 7/28/99 9/28/99	C. Grimes, DRIP
11. ACRS subcommittee meeting on renewal process	Ongoing	C. Grimes, DRIP
12. Increased emphasis on renewal with EC and LRSC	Ongoing	C. Grimes, DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
13. Complete §51.53 final rule change to designate HLW transportation as a generic environmental impact for Commission approval (9800003)	7/30/99 See Note	D. Cleary, DRIP
14. ACRS subcommittee meeting on Oconee SER and open items	7/99	C. Grimes, DRIP
15. Upon Commission approval, publish §51.53 rule change designating HLW transportation as a generic environmental impact, to be effective in 30 days	8/99	D. Cleary, DRIP
16. ACRS full committee meeting on Oconee SER and open items	9/99	C. Grimes, DRIP

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
17. Issue Supplemental SER and Final Environmental Statement - Calvert Cliffs	11/16/99	C. Grimes, DRIP
18. ACRS subcommittee meeting on Calvert Cliffs Supplemental SER	1/00	C. Grimes, DRIP
19. ACRS full committee meeting on Calvert Cliffs Supplemental SER	2/00	C. Grimes, DRIP
20. Issue Supplemental SER and Final Environmental Statement - Oconee	2/12/00	C. Grimes, DRIP
21. ACRS subcommittee meeting on Oconee Supplemental SER	3/00	C. Grimes, DRIP
22. ACRS full committee meeting on Oconee Supplemental SER	5/00	C. Grimes, DRIP
23. License renewal decision complete for Calvert Cliffs	5/00	C. Grimes, DRIP
24. License renewal decision complete for Oconee	8/00	C. Grimes, DRIP
25. License renewal decision completed within 30-36 months of initial applications	Ongoing	C. Grimes, DRIP
26. Hearing (if request granted)	Per Comm. Sched.	

 $3\ \&\ 4$ . Steering Committee meetings with industry and ACRS subcommittee meetings with staff will continue periodically to ensure effective resolution of technical and process issues. The

Steering Committee will periodically report progress to the Executive Council in accordance with the memo to Chairman Jackson dated 3/6/98.

- 13. §51.53 rule change provided to the EDO on 6/23/99. As noted in an EDO memo to the Commission dated 6/28/99, Addendum 1 to the rule, which provides the supporting basis for the rule, will be provided by the end of July.
- 25. Next (third) application expected in 12/99 (ANO-1). Fourth application is expected in early 2000 (Hatch) and the fifth application by the end of December 2000 (Turkey Point).

SES Manager: Cynthia Carpenter, Branch Chief, RGEB/DRIP/NRR

# B. Specific Issue: 50.59 Rulemaking

Objective: To provide clarity and flexibility in existing requirements

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
1. Paper to Commission (SECY-99-054) summarizing public comments and forwarding recommendations on final rule language for Commission decision and providing recommendation on scope of 10 CFR 50.59 (9700191) and (9800044)	2/22/99C	E. McKenna, DRIP
2. Commission feedback received at briefing on 3/2/99 and in subsequent SRM on the briefing	3/31/99C	E. McKenna, DRIP
3. ACRS review of final rulemaking package	5/5/99C	E. McKenna, DRIP
4. CRGR review of final rulemaking package	5/6/99C	E. McKenna, DRIP
5. Issue paper containing final 10 CFR 50.59 rule to the Commission (9700191) SECY-99-130 (NRR)	5/12/99C	E. McKenna, DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
6. Meeting with industry/staff on guidance development plans.	7/99	E. McKenna, DRIP
.7. Publish final rule change 10 CFR 50.59	8/99	E. McKenna, DRIP
8. NEI provides draft revision of NEI 96-07 for staff review and comment	9/99	E. McKenna, DRIP

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
9. NEI submits revised NEI 96-07 for NRC endorsement	11/99	E. McKenna, DRIP

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
.10 Draft Regulatory Guide issued for public comment	1/00	E. McKenna, DRIP
11. Issue inspection guidance for internal review	1/00	E. McKenna, DRIP
12. Final Regulatory Guide sent to Commission for approval (9700191)	5/00	E.McKenna, DRIP
13. Issue final inspection guidance	5/00	E. McKenna, DRIP
14.Conduct training for NRC staff on rule and inspection guidance	6/00	E. McKenna, DRIP

- 7. The need for OMB review of final rule will determine schedule of publication of final rule.
- 8-14 Milestones adjusted to identify follow on activities after the rule is published consistent with Commission direction in SRM dated June 22, 1999. NEI is assumed to provide the revised guidance document within the expected time frames.

SES Manager: Cynthia Carpenter, Branch Chief, RGEB/DRIP/NRR

# C. Specific Issue: FSAR Update Guidance

Objective: To provide consistent guidance on information to be contained in FSAR

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Publish draft regulatory guide endorsing NEI 98-03 for comment(ends 4/30/99)	3/17/99C	T. Bergman, DRIP
Resolve issues identified during public comment period	5/24/99 C	T. Bergman, DRIP
3. Receive and review revised NEI 98-03	6/01/99C	J. Birmingham , DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
4. ACRS review of final regulatory guide	7/14-16/99	J. Birmingham , DRIP
5. CRGR review of final regulatory guide	7/13/99	J. Birmingham , DRIP
6. Submit paper and final regulatory guide to Commission (9700198) (NRR)	8/1/99	J. Birmingham , DRIP
7. Publish final regulatory guide	9/99	J. Birmingham , DRIP

#### Comments:

Lead staff member has been changed.

SES Manager: Cynthia Carpenter, Branch Chief, RGEB/DRIP/NRR

# D. Specific Issue: Define Design Basis

Objective: To provide a clear definition of what constitutes design bases information.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Meet with NEI Task Force to discuss draft criteria and additional examples	4/16/99C	S. Magruder, DRIP
2. Send letter to NEI with staff position	5/14/99C	S. Magruder, DRIP
NEI submits revised guidance for review and endorsement	6/25/99 C	S. Magruder, DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Resolve final staff comments and develop draft regulatory guide	7/99	S. Magruder, DRIP
ACRS and CRGR review of SECY and draft regulatory guide	9/99	S. Magruder, DRIP
6. Submit paper with draft regulatory guide to Commission (9800044) (NRR)	9/99	S. Magruder, DRIP
7. SRM to direct staff to publish draft regulatory guide for public comment (60 days)	10/99	S. Magruder, DRIP

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Resolve issues identified during public comment period	2/00	S. Magruder, DRIP
ACRS and CRGR review of paper and final regulatory guide	3/00	S. Magruder, DRIP
10. Submit paper and final regulatory guide (9800044) (NRR)	4/00	S. Magruder, DRIP

SES Manager: William Beckner, RTSB/DRIP/NRR

### E. Specific Issue: Improved Standard TS

Lead: RTSB Lead PM for each facility conversion

Objective: Conversion of facility technical specifications to the appropriate improved standard technical specifications will promote more consistent interpretation and application of technical specification requirements, thereby reducing the need for interpretations and frequent changes to the technical specifications. The goal for each milestone listed below is to complete the conversions currently under review such that the above objectives are met for the affected facilities.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Issue iSTS Amendments for Comanche Peak 1&2	2/26/99C	DRIP
2. Issue iSTS Amendments for:     a. Wolf Creek     b. Diablo Canyon 1&2     c. Callaway	3/31/99C 5/28/99C 5/28/99C	DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
3. Issue iSTS Amendment for Palisades	8/99	DRIP

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
4. Issue iSTS Amendments for Farley 1&2	10/99	DRIP
5. Issue iSTS Amendment for Fermi 2	10/99	DRIP

#### Comments:

4,5 The licensees were unable to support the previously agreed upon schedules. The staff has renegotiated the new schedules in line with current licensee needs as documented in letters dated 6/22/99 and 6/29/99 for Farley and Fermi, respectively.

SES Manager: Tad Marsh, Branch Chief, REXB/DRIP/NRR

# F. Specific Issue: Generic Communications

Objective: Ensure the appropriate use of generic communications, increasing the efficiency of issuance, and utilizing the rulemaking process when appropriate.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
(a) Review policy of not taking credit for INPO SEE-IN products     (b) Prepare and issue Commission paper (9900001) (SECY 99-117)	2/24/99C 4/19/99C	R. Dennig, DRIP J. Lyons , DRIP
Review relationship of generic communications to the backfit rule (coordinate with CRGR)	2/25/99C	R. Dennig, DRIP
3. Draft Commission information paper incorporating review of basis for invoking 50.54(f), definition/purpose of generic communication products, and relationship of generic communications to backfit rule.	3/19/99C	R. Dennig, DRIP
4. Meeting with ACRS	4/7/99C	J. Lyons , DRIP
5. Meet with NEI to discuss comments on draft Commission paper	4/22/99C	J. Lyons, DRIP
6. Issue Commission information paper (9900020) (NRR) (SECY 99-143)	5/26/99C	J. Lyons, DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
7. Disseminate guidance to staff	7/99	J. Lyons , DRIP

SES Manager: Bruce Boger, Acting Associate Director for Projects, NRR

### G. Specific Issue: CALs

Objective: Confirmatory Action Letters (CALs) are issued to emphasize and confirm a licensee's or vendor's agreement to take certain actions in response to specific issues. The NRC expects licensees/vendors to adhere to any obligations and commitments addressed in a CAL and will issue appropriate orders to ensure that the obligations and commitments are met. The goal of the milestones listed below is to ensure that staff guidance on the use of CALs is appropriate and that the staff exercises appropriate discipline in the development and issuance of CALs.

25

#### Comments:

All actions associated with this task are complete as denoted on earlier updates of the CTM.

SES Manager: Stuart Richards, Director, LPD4/DLPM/NRR

### H. Specific Issue: Applicability of Backfit Rule to Decommissioning Activities

Objective: Resolve issue regarding proper interpretation and application of the Backfit Rule to decommissioning activities.

#### Comments:

All actions associated with this task are complete as denoted on earlier updates of the CTM.

SES Manager: Suzanne Black, Deputy Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, NRR

27

### I. Specific Issue: Requests for Additional Information

Objective: To refine/define RAI process and ensure that staff RAI's are adding value to the regulatory process.

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23	PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead	
Discuss issue of ensuring appropriateness of RAI's with management and staff (including content, quality and continued oversight). Conduct training on revised Office Letter 803 (milestone 9) when issued.	8/20/98C 1/5/99C 1/6/99C 1/14/99C Ongoing	Suzanne Black, DLPM	
2. NRR licensing action steering group formed to work with industry steering group on improvements to the license amendment process - conducting periodic meetings.	10/98C 11/23/98C 12/10/98C 1/13/99C 3/18/99C 4/14/99C Ongoing	Suzanne Black, DLPM	
Obtain feedback from industry licensing action task force on RAIs and develop metrics for RAIs	7/99 and 9/99	S. Black, DLPM	
Train staff on management expectations regarding RAIs	Periodic	S. Black, DLPM	
Revise Office Letter 803 to incorporate staff and industry feedback	12/99	S. Black, DLPM	

#### Comments:

Item 3 meeting rescheduled at industry's request.

SES Manager: Brian Sheron, Associate Director for Project Licensing and Technical Analysis, NRR

### J. Specific Issue: 2.206 Petitions

Objective: The objectives of the 2.206 Petition review process include ensuring the public health and safety through the prompt and thorough evaluation of any potential safety problem addressed by a petition filed under 10 CFR 2.206 and to ensure effective, timely communication with the petitioner (Management Directive 8.11). The objective of the actions listed below is to identify and implement measures to improve the timeliness of staff response to petitions.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Show measured improvement in timeliness of resolution of 2.206 petitions	1/28/99C	H. Berkow, DLPM
Revise MD 8.11 and implement additional process improvements.	7/1/99C	H. Berkow, DLPM

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone Date Lead			
None			

SES Manager: Tad Marsh, Branch Chief, REXB/DRIP/NRR

### K. Specific Issue: Application of the Backfit Rule

Objective: Ensure that the staff closely adheres to the backfit rule, 10 CFR 50.109, in evaluating all additional requirements, expansion in scope, or unique interpretations against actual impact on public health and safety. Focus will be directed on risk-informed, performance-based regulation; also coordinating with backfit-related concerns on Generic Communications (IV.F), and Decommissioning (IV.H), and Evaluation of Industry Proposals and Rulemaking (I.A).

29

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
CRGR Yearly Meeting with Nuclear Utility     Backfitting and Reform Group (NUBARG) on Backfit     Issues	TBD	CRGR
CRGR Annual Report - Includes Industry Feedback     on Effectiveness of Backfitting Process	Summer 1999	CRGR
3. Backfit training at Headquarters and Regions	FY99	RES/NRR/HR

#### Comments:

1. Dates for meeting are still being negotiated.

### V. Topic Area: NRC Organizational Structure and Resources

SES MANAGER: Paul E. Bird, Director, HR

### A. Specific Issue: Reorganization - Restructuring Line Organizations

Objective: To improve organizational effectiveness and align resources required to carry out NRC planned activities through internal functional realignments and human resource reallocations.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Partnering process completed for reorganization packages	2/12/99C	M. Fox; Office Directors & Regional Administrators
2. Reorganization plans finalized	3/18/99C	J. McDermott; Office Directors & Regional Administrators
3. Reorganization implementation begins	3/18/99C	J. McDermott; Office Directors & Regional Administrators
4. Reorganizations effective	3/28/99C	J. McDermott; Office Directors & Regional Administrators

- 6. All office partnerships have agreed that the reorganization proposals may proceed subject in some cases to further discussion of issues following implementation of reorganization plans. Post-reorganization implementation activities, such as personnel actions, physical moves, position description and performance plan updates, will occur between April-August 1999.
- 2&3. All pending reorganization/staffing plans were reviewed and accepted by HR as of 3/18/99.
- 4. All Commission-approved reorganizations became effective on 3/28/99.

# V. Topic Area: NRC Organizational Structure and Resources

SES MANAGER: Paul E. Bird, Director, HR

# B. Specific Issue: Achieving 1:8 supervisor/manager-to-employee ratios

Objective: To reduce supervisory and SES positions to achieve an agency-wide supervisor/manager-to-employee ratio target of one supervisor/manager for every eight NRC employees.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Complete implementation of reorganizations developed to achieve streamlining goals	3/31/99C	J. McDermott; Office Directors & Regional Administrators
Implement strategies to achieve supervisory ratio targets	4/30/99C	J. McDermott; Office Directors & Regional Administrators
Quarterly assessment of supervisor/manager-to- employee ratio	4/30/99C	J. McDermott

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestones	Date	Lead	
Continue existing supervisor/manager-to-employee ratio reduction efforts	Ongoing	J. McDermott; Office Directors & Regional Administrators	
5. Quarterly assessment of supervisor/manager-to- employee ratio	7/99	J. McDermott	
6. Implement strategies to achieve supervisory ratio targets	7/15/99	J. McDermott; Office Directors & Regional Administrators	

The milestones in the table above focus only on those aspects of the streamlining effort that address the supervisor/manager-to-employee ratio. Activity extends beyond the March 31, 1999, deadline established for the structural changes contained in Issue A to accommodate implementation of personnel placements.

3&4. HR memorandum to the EDO dated 4/30/99.

### V. Topic Area: NRC Organizational Structure and Resources

SES MANAGER: Paul E. Bird, Director, HR

### C. Specific Issue: Increased Employee Involvement

Objective: To enhance organizational effectiveness under the specific conditions imposed by the agency-wide streamlining effort -- including functional realignments, reductions in supervisory/managerial personnel, and increased spans of management control -- by delegating greater responsibility and accountability to individual employees and fostering greater interactive communications between employees and management.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
1. Provide guidance to managers and supervisors on employee involvement concepts, including direction and assignment of work, delegation of authority, quality control, and responsibility and accountability for outputs and outcomes.	3/25/99C	J. McDermott	
2. Begin interactive meetings between office managers/supervisors and staff consistent with EDO memorandum on employee involvement dated 3/25/99.	3/30/99C	J. McDermott; Office Directors & Regional Administrators; supervisors & managers	

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 30, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
3. Continue previous general efforts to foster delegations of responsibility and accountability to employees and more interactive communications between employees and managers. Monitor office progress.	Ongoing	J. McDermott; Office Directors & Regional Administrators	

#### Comments:

The milestones for this issue establish a time period, consistent with the schedule for restructuring provided in Issue A, for beginning the office/region process of increasing employee involvement and engaging staff in the transformation process to a new culture.

3. The 3/25/99 EDO memorandum (see item 3 above) requests managers and supervisors in each office and organizational unit that have not already done so to initiate interactive meetings with employees by June 30, 1999.

SLS: Robert Wood, RGEB/DRIP/NRR SES Manager: Lawrence Chandler, OGC

# A. Specific Issue: License Transfers

Objective: To ensure that license transfers are conducted in a timely and technically correct manner and that review and submittal guidance is appropriately disseminated.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Commission provides comments on foreign ownership SRP through issuance of SRM	2/17/99C	S. Hom, OGC
Revised foreign ownership SRP sent to Federal Register for solicitation of public comments	2/24/99C	S. Hom, OGC
3. Complete technical review of TMI-1 transfer	3/4/99C	R. Wood, DRIP
Revised SRP based on public comments to Commission (SECY 99-159)	6/30/99C	S. Hom, OGC
5. Provide Commission with proposed final criteria for triggering a review under 10 CFR 50.80 regarding the transfer of operating authority to non-owner operators (i.e., use of contract service operating companies) (9800015) (NRR) (SECY 99-159)	6/21/99C	R. Wood, DRIP
6. Issue lessons learned from AmerGen TMI-1 transfer	7/1/99C See Note	R. Wood, DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
7. Commission approves final SRP on foreign ownership	8/1/99	S. Hom, OGC
8. Issue final SRP on foreign ownership	9/1/99	S. Hom, OGC R. Wood, DRIP

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Develop SRP on technical qualifications	12/99	DIPM
10. Develop integrated SRP on license transfer process reflecting lessons learned and process improvements (9800195)	12/99	R. Wood, DRIP S. Hom, OGC

- 3. The technical review of the TMI-1 transfer application was completed and sent to AmerGen on 3/4/99. AmerGen's comments on the proposed license conditions were provided to the NRC on 3/15/99. The final order approving the license transfer was signed on April 12, 1999.
- 6. The TMI-1/Pilgrim Lessons Learned Commission paper was submitted to the Commission on July 1, 1999.
- 10. SRP will integrate all license transfer review criteria (financial qualifications, decommissioning funding assurance, technical qualifications, foreign ownership, and antitrust).

SES Manager: Chris Grimes, Director, RLSB/DRIP/NRR

# B. Specific Issue: AP600 Design Certification Rulemaking

Objective: Issue final design approval (FDA) and design certification rule for AP600.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Submit proposed rule [PRM] to Commission (9200142) (SECY-99-101)	3/31/99C	J.N. Wilson, DRIP
Issue PRM for public comment and hearing opportunity	05/20/99C	J.N. Wilson, DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
3. Receive comments on PRM	08/99	J.N. Wilson, DRIP

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
4. Submit final rule to Commission (9200142)	10/99	J.N. Wilson, DRIP
5. Issue final rule (NRR)	12/99	J.N. Wilson, DRIP

SES Manager: Susan F. Shankman, Dep. Director, Licensing and Inspection Directorate, SFPO

## C1. Specific Issue: Transnuclear TN-68 (Dual Purpose) Cask Review

Objective: To issue a Part 72 (storage) SER and certificate of compliance (through rulemaking) and a Part 71 (transportation) certificate of compliance for the TN-68 dual purpose cask system

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Staff issues user need memorandum/rulemaking	03/09/99C	E. Easton, SFPO
2. Staff issues draft SER and CoC for rulemaking	05/20/99C	M. Ross-Lee, SFPO
3. Receive application for transportation cask	05/21/99C	M. Ross-Lee, SFPO

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
4. Staff Issues RAI #1	09/99	D. Tikinsky, SFPO

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
5. Applicant response to RAI #1	10/99	D. Tikinsky, SFPO
6. Staff Issues RAI #2, (if necessary)	01/00	D. Tikinsky, SFPO
7. Applicant response to RAI #2 (if necessary)	03/00	D. Tikinsky, SFPO
8. Staff completes rulemaking; issues CoC for use under Part 72	04/00	P.Eng, SFPO P. Holahan, IMNS
9. Staff Issues Part 71 SER and CoC	06/00	D. Tikinsky, SFPO

2. Milestones 3 through 9. The applicant submitted the transportation application on schedule. The staff has completed the acceptance review and established the review schedule.

39

SES Manager: Susan F. Shankman, Dep. Director, Licensing and Inspection Directorate, SFPO

## C2. Specific Issue: BNFL Fuel Solutions (BFS) TranStor (Dual Purpose) Cask Review

Objective: To issue a Part 72 (storage) SER and certificate of compliance (through rulemaking) and a Part 71 (transportation) certificate of compliance for the BFS dual purpose cask system

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Staff issues user need memorandum/rulemaking	05/27/99C	P.Eng, SFPO

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
2. Staff receives updated SAR from applicant	09/99	T. Kobetz, SFPO

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
3. Staff issues draft SER and CoC for rulemaking	10/99	T. Kobetz, SFPO
4. Staff issues Part 71 (transportation) CoC	02/00	T. Kobetz, SFPO
5. Staff completes rulemaking; issues CoC for use under Part 72	09/00	P.Eng, SFPO P. Holahan, IMNS

#### Comments:

General: On March 22, 1999, BNFL completed the purchase of the commercial nuclear power business of Westinghouse Electric Company. As a result, BFS will combine with Westinghouse Spent Nuclear Fuel Programs to form a single company that will oversee the design and licensing of the TranStor and Westflex dual purpose cask applications.

SES Manager: Susan F. Shankman, Dep. Director, Licensing and Inspection Directorate, SFPO

# C3. Specific Issue: Holtec HISTAR 100 (Dual-Purpose) Cask Review

Objective: To issue a Part 72 (storage) SER and certificate of compliance (through rulemaking) and a Part 71 (transportation) certificate of compliance for the Holtec HISTAR 100 dual purpose cask system

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
1. Staff issues transportation (Part 71) CoC	03/31/99C	M. Delligatti, SFPO

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Staff completes rulemaking; issues CoC for use under Part 72	08/99	P.Eng, SFPO P. Holahan, IMNS

SES Manager: Susan F. Shankman, Dep. Director, Licensing and Inspection Directorate, SFPO

### C4. Specific Issue: Westinghouse WESFLEX (Dual Purpose) Cask Review

Objective: To issue a Part 72 (storage) SER and certificate of compliance (through rulemaking) and a Part 71 (transportation) certificate of compliance for the Westinghouse WESFLEX dual purpose cask system

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Staff receives responses to RAIs	03/29/99C	M. Bailey, SFPO

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
2. Staff issues final RAI, if necessary	09/99	M. Ross-Lee, SFPO

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
3. Staff receives response to RAI, if necessary	11/99	M. Ross-Lee, SFPO
4. Staff issues user need memorandum/rulemaking	02/00	P.Eng, SFPO
5. Staff issues draft SER and CoC for rulemaking	03/00	M. Ross-Lee, SFPO
6. Staff complete rulemaking; issues CoC for use under Part 72	02/01	P.Eng, SFPO P. Holahan, IMNS

#### Comments:

General: On March 22, 1999, BNFL completed the purchase of the commercial nuclear power business of Westinghouse Electric Company. As a result, BFS will combine with Westinghouse Spent Nuclear Fuel Programs to form a single company that will oversee the design and licensing of the TranStor and Wesflex dual purpose cask applications.

1. Due to a 3-week delay by Westinghouse to respond to the RAI, staff did not resume review of the Wesflex application until April 30, 1999 (a 7-week delay from the original date of March 9, 1999). This allowed the staff to conduct other high-priority work during that period.

SES Manager: Susan F. Shankman, Dep. Director, Licensing and Inspection Directorate, SFPO

# C5. Specific Issue: NAC-STC/MPC (Dual Purpose) Cask Review

Objective: To issue a Part 72 (storage) SER and certificate of compliance (through rulemaking) and a Part 71 (transportation) certificate of compliance for the NAC-STC/MPC dual purpose cask system

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
1. Staff issues Part 71 (transportation) CoC	03/25/99C	T. McGinty, SFPO
Staff issues draft storage SER and CoC for rulemaking	03/25/99C	T. McGinty, SFPO

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
None		

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
3. Staff complete rulemaking; issue CoC for use under Part 72	02/00	P.Eng, SFPO P. Holahan, SFPO

SES Manager: Susan F. Shankman, Dep. Director, Licensing and Inspection Directorate, SFPO

# C6. Specific Issue: NAC-UMS (Dual Purpose) Cask Review

Objective: To issue a Part 72 (storage) SER and certificate of compliance (through rulemaking) and a Part 71 (transportation) certificate of compliance for the NAC-UMS dual purpose cask system

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Staff issues second storage RAI, if necessary	5/27/99C	T. McGinty, SFPO

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
2. Staff receives second storage RAI response	08/99	T. McGinty, SFPO
3. Staff issues user need memorandum/rulemaking	08/99	P.Eng, SFPO
4. Staff issues first transportation RAI	09/99	T. McGinty, SFPO

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
5. Staff issues draft storage SER and CoC for rulemaking	11/99	T. McGinty, SFPO
6. Staff completes rulemaking; issues CoC for use under Part 72	10/00	P.Eng, SFPO P. Holahan, IMNS
7. Staff issues second transportation RAI, if necessary or staff issues CoC and SER	4/00	T. McGinty, SFPO
8. Staff receives second transportation RAI response, if necessary	6/00	T. McGinty, SFPO
9. Staff issues transportation CoC and SER	8/00	T. McGinty, SFPO

SES Manager: Susan F. Shankman, Dep. Director, Licensing and Inspection Directorate, SFPO

# C7. Specific Issue: TN-West MP-187 (Dual-Purpose) Cask Review

Objective: To issue Part 71 (transportation) certificate of compliance for MP-187 transportation cask system

#### Comments:

All actions associated with this task are completed, as denoted on earlier updates of the CTM.

SES Manager: Stuart Richards, Director, PDIV/DLPM/NRR

# D1. Specific Issue: Decommissioning Decisions

Objective: Provide timely decisions on current issues and provide framework for decommissioning activities.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Meeting with NEI and industry to present     Commission integrated milestones for     decommissioning initiatives necessary for above rules     and existing rules	4/13/99 C	S. Richards, LPD4
2. Complete the following pending licensing actions:		
2a. Haddam Neck Technical Specification change to seismic monitoring	4/28/99C	T. Fredrichs, PDIV-3
2b. Maine Yankee Technical Specification change to spent fuel pool water level	3/16/99C	M. Webb, PDIV-3
2c. Maine Yankee Exemption from 10 CFR 70.24 Criticality Accident Monitoring Requirements	3/24/99 C	M. Webb, PDIV-3
2d. Zion Exemption from 10 CFR 70.24 Criticality Accident Monitoring requirements	2/9/99C	T. Markley, DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone Date Lead			
3. Complete the following pending licensing action:			

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Maine Yankee Modification of License Conditions  Technical Specifications change to liquid and gaseous release limits	5/5/99C 5/3/99C	M. Webb, PDIV-3

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	<del>Date</del>	<del>Lead</del>
4. Complete the following pending licensing action:		
	See Note	

4. By letter dated June 3, 1999, Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company forwarded a complete resubmission (with major revisions) of the proposed licensing action. The resubmission is being treated by the staff as a new application for a license amendment (for example it is being noticed in the Federal Register, the old TAC is being closed and a new TAC is being opened, etc). Further CTM monitoring of this one specific routine decommissioning licensing action is no longer considered necessary in light of the substantial progress made in developing the decommissioning program since the CTM was first created. CTM tracking is terminated.

SES Manager: Stuart Richards, Director, PDIV/DLPM/NRR

**D.2 Specific Issue: Decommissioning Regulatory Improvements** 

Objective: Initiate rulemaking activities based on an integrated approach to decommissioning nuclear power plants as discussed in SECY-99-168.

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
<u>Milestone</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Lead</u>
1. Conduct a workshop with NEI and public stakeholders regarding the staff's preliminary assessment of the risks from spent fuel pools at decommissioning reactors	<u>7/16/99</u>	R. Dudley, PDIV-3

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
<u>Milestone</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Lead</u>
2. Technical staff to finalize decommissioning spent fuel pool risk criteria that can used for decommissioning regulatory decision making	3/31/00	G. Hubbard, SPLB/DSSA
3. Submit an integrated, risk-informed rulemaking plan for decommissioning nuclear power plants that addresses emergency planning, insurance, safeguards, operator staffing & training, and possibly other areas (such as fitness-for-duty)	<u>5/31/00</u>	B. Huffman, PDIV-3
4. Submit a rulemaking plan for consolidating decommissioning regulations into a separate dedicated location with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (contingent on Commission approval of SECY-99-168	<u>8/31/99</u>	B. Huffman, PDIV-3

SES Manager: Susan F. Shankman, Dep. Director, Licensing and Inspection Directorate, SFPO

# E. Specific Issue: PGE-Trojan Reactor Vessel Shipment Application

Objective: To issue Part 71 (transportation) approval to ship the Trojan reactor vessel, with internals, for disposal in the State of Washington

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999			
Milestone Date Lead			
None			

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone Date Lead			
Inspection follow-up prior to and during shipment	08/99	B. Spitzberg, RIV	

#### Comments:

Part 71 approval to ship the Trojan reactor vessel was completed in October 1998. Inspection follow-up is scheduled for August 1999.

SES Manager: Cynthia Carpenter, Branch Chief, RGEB/DRIP/NRR

#### F. Specific Issue: Event Reporting Rulemaking

Objective: Revise event reporting requirements to reduce the reporting burden associated with events of little or no risk significance, obtain information better related to risk, and extend reporting time limits consistent with the need for prompt NRC action.

Coordination: Issues II.A, "Risk Informed Baseline Core Inspection Program," II.B, "Enforcement Program Initiatives," II.C, "Escalated Enforcement Program," III.A, "Performance Assessment Process Improvements," and VI.F, "Event Reporting Rulemaking," require close coordination and the integration of specific tasks by the NRC staff. Responsible project managers are coordinating these activities by assessing the impact of proposed program changes with the other ongoing activities and ensuring that the overall objectives for each project are achieved. Examples include, intra-project task force participation, workshop attendance, concurrent review of projects and periodic senior management briefings. In addition, industry-developed initiatives such as the NEI New Regulatory Oversight Process are being reviewed by all project groups and evaluated for impact.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
1. CRGR Briefing	3/11/99C	D. Allison, DRIP
2. ACRS Briefing	3/23/99C	D. Allison, DRIP
3. Proposed rule to the Commission including proposed enforcement policy changes (9800096) (NRR) (SECY 99-119)	4/19/99C	D. Allison, DRIP R. Borchardt, OE
4. Publish proposed rule (10 CFR 50.72 and 50.73)	7/6/99C	DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999				
Milestone	Date	Lead		
5. Conduct a public workshop 8/3-4/99 D. Allison, DRIP				

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone Date Lead			
6. Brief CRGR	About 6 months after publication of proposed rule	D. Allison, DRIP	

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
7. Brief ACRS	About 7 months after publication of proposed rule	D. Allison, DRIP
8. Final rule to Commission (9800096) (NRR)	About 8 months after publication of proposed rule	D. Allison, DRIP
9. Publish final rule	About 9 months after publication of proposed rule.	DRIP

SES Mangers: Cynthia Carpenter, Branch Chief, RGEB/DRIP/NRR (Rulemaking); Frank Congel, Director, IRO (Other Activities)

## G. Specific Issue: Proposed KI Rulemaking

Objective: To Implement Commission decision regarding the use of KI as a protective measure for the general public after a severe reactor accident. In addition, to work with other Federal agencies to revise the Federal policy on the use of KI in the event of a severe nuclear power plant emergency and to develop aids to assist the states in applying the revised Federal policy.

PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
1. Publish Proposed Rule (9800173) (NRR)	6/14/99C	M. Jamgochian, DRIP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
2. Revise KI technical paper (NUREG-1633) to address public comments and provide to Commission (9700193) (IRO)	9/99	A. Mohseni, IRO

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milestone	Date	Lead	
3. Final brochure on use of KI provided to Commission for review (9700193) (IRO)	10/99	A. Mohseni, IRO	
4. Brief CRGR and ACRS	10/99	M.Jamgochian, DRIP	
5. Develop final KI Federal policy FRN reflecting FRPCC review and send to Commission (9700193) (IRO)	10/99	A. Mohseni, IRO	
6. Publish final technical paper (NUREG-1633)	12/99	A. Mohseni, IRO	
7. Finalize the public brochure on use of KI and provide to FEMA for publication	1/00	A. Mohseni, IRO	
8. Publish KI Federal Policy FRN	12/99 (see note)	A. Mohseni, IRO	

9. Publish Final Rule (9800173) (NRR)	12/30/99	M. Jamgochian,DRI P
Establish procedures to access Federal stockpiles with FEMA	5/00 (see note)	A. Mohseni, IRO

SES Manager: Bill Kane, Associate Director for Inspection and Programs , NRR

# H. Specific Issue: NEI Petitions - Petition for modifying 50.54(a)

Objective: Complete the NEI Petition, accepting in part to modify 10 CFR Part 50.54(a), as it pertains to Quality Assurance Program Change Control and is intended to reduce burdens on industry.

	PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999			
Miles	tone	Date	Lead	
1.	Publication of a Federal Register Notice to accept in part the NEI petition for rulemaking and proposing a Direct Final Rule (9800166) (NRR) (SECY 98-279)	02/99C	R. Gramm, DRCH	
2.	Direct Final Rule effective if no significant adverse comments received.	04/26/99C	D. Dorman, DIPM	

	PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Miles	stones	Date	Lead
3.	Coordinate a workshop with NEI to discuss implementation aspects of Direct Final Rule.	TBD	D. Dorman, DIPM
4.	Issue Voluntary Option rule for public comment via Federal Register Notice.	TBD	D. Dorman, DIPM

	BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999			
Milest	tones		Lead	
5.	Hold meetings and workshops with stakeholders to fully develop voluntary option rulemaking. (9900004)	1/00	D. Dorman, DIPM	
6.	Evaluate public comments on Voluntary Option Rule and prepare Final Rule. (9900004)	1/01	D. Dorman, DIPM	
7.	Issue Voluntary Option Rule in Federal Register Notice.	TBD	D. Dorman, DIPM	
8.	Hold a workshop to discuss implementation aspects of Voluntary Option Rule.	TBD	D. Dorman, DIPM	

The Direct Final Rule was published on 2/23/99 and became effective on 4/26/99 based on a determination that no significant adverse comment was received by 3/25/99. Six comment letters were received. NEI and two licensees provided favorable comments on the Direct Final Rule. Other letters (from a licensee and members of the public) requested clarification of certain provisions of the rule. The staff determined that none of the comments constituted significant adverse comments, therefore the Direct Final Rule became effective 4/26/99.

QA plan change control, and 50.54(a), was a topic of a panel discussion during the Regulatory Information Conference.

SECY-98-279 stated that the voluntary option proposed rule will be developed one year after receipt of the SRM, and a final rule the following year.

SES Manager: Gary Holahan, Director, DSSA/NRR)

# I. Specific Issue: Revised Source Term Rulemaking

Objective: To revise Part 50 to allow holders of operating power reactor licensees to voluntarily amend the facility design basis to use revised source terms in design basis accident radiological analyses. This action would allow these facilities to pursue risk-informed licensing actions made possible through the use of the revised source term.

	PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999			
Mil	estone	Date	Lead	
1.	Publish in Federal Register	3/99C	T. Essig, DIPM	
2.	Complete draft guide; draft SRP section	5/99C	R. Barrett, DSSA	
3.	End of Public Comment Period	5/99C	R. Barrett, DSSA	

	PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Mil	estone	Date	Lead
4.	Office concurrence on final rule; draft guide; draft SRP	7/23	R. Barrett, DSSA
5.	ACRS review	9/99	R. Barrett, DSSA
6.	CRGR review	8/99	R. Barrett, DSSA
7.	Final rule; draft guide; draft SRP to EDO (9700025) (NRR)	8/99	R. Barrett, DSSA
8.	Final rule; draft guide; draft SRP to Commission	8/27/99	R. Barrett, DSSA

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
9. End of public comment period	12/99	R. Barrett, DSSA
10. Office concurrence on final guide; final SRP	1/00	R. Barrett, DSSA
11. ACRS review on final guide; final SRP	1/00	R. Barrett, DSSA
12. CRGR concurrence on final guide; final SRP	2/00	R. Barrett, DSSA

BEYOND SEPTEMBER 2	23, 1999	
13. Final guide; final SRP to EDO (9700025) (NRR)	2/00	R. Barrett, DSSA
14. Final guide; final SRP to Commission	3/3/00	R. Barrett, DSSA

Staff made presentation on source term issues at March 1999 R.I.C. Staff conducted a public meeting with NEI and Industry on 4/20/99.

- 1. As a result of the slippage in milestone #1, the staff requested that milestone #8 be revised to 8/27/99. This change in milestone #8 was approved in the SRM. Other intervening and subsequent milestones have been updated to reflect the new due date.
- 2. Milestone #5: The rulemaking package is on the agenda for an ACRS subcommittee meeting tentatively scheduled for 8/9-11. The full ACRS meeting is tentatively scheduled for the first week in September. The staff does not expect the change to milestone #5 to affect remaining milestones.
- 3. The office concurrence process has started. The staff expects to meet milestone #4

July 2, 1999

## VII. TOPIC AREA: Uranium Recovery Issues

SES Manager: John Surmeier, Branch Chief, Uranium Recovery and Low-Level Waste Branch

## A. Specific Issues: Uranium recovery concerns raised in Senate report

- Dual regulation of ground water at in situ leach (ISL) facilities
- Expanded use of mill tailings impoundments to dispose of other material
- Eliminate consideration of economics in the processing of alternate feedstock

## Objective: To look for ways to:

- 5. eliminate dual regulation of ISLs facilities;
- 6. reduce unnecessary regulatory burden on uranium mill wanting to expand the use of impoundments for disposal of other materials besides mill tailings; and
- 3. encourage uranium mills who want to engage in recycling of materials for their uranium content

	PRIOR TO JUNE 30, 1999		
Mi	lestone	Date	Lead
1.	Commission paper on ways to eliminate dual regulation at ISL facilities (9800176) (NMSS) (SECY 99-013)	3/12/99C	Charlotte Abrams, NMSS
2.	Commission paper on revising guidance for expanding disposal capability of uranium mill tailings impoundments, and ask for Commission policy on hearing orders concerning need to consider economics in alternate feedstock evaluations (9800180) (NMSS) (SECY 99-012)	4/8/99C	Charlotte Abrams, NMSS
3.	Complete hearing on alternate feedstock amendment to see how State of Utah concerns about staff not applying appropriate economics criteria is determined.	02/09/99C	P. Block, ASLBP

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999		
Milestone	Date	Lead
Issue revised draft guidance on disposal capability with Commission-approved revisions	6 months after Comm. Direction	Dan Gillen, NMSS

	PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999				
5.	Implement any changes in review of alternate feedstock that result from hearing and Commission review of previous hearing orders	6 months after Comm. Direction	Dan Gillen, NMSS		
6.	Complete Part 41 rulemaking plan, including recommendations on regulatory changes to address the three issues (9800177) (NMSS)	4 months after Comm. Direction	Mark Haisfield Mike Fliegel, NMSS		
7.	Revise ISL Standard Review Plan to implement staff recommendations if approved by Commission	6 months after Comm. Direction	Bill Ford, NMSS		

	BEYOND SEPTEMBER 23, 1999				
Milestone		Date	Lead		
8.	Publish proposed Part 41 for public comment, including regulatory changes to address three issues (9800177) (NMSS)	10/00	Mark Haysfield/ Mike Fliegel, NMSS		
9.	Publish final Part 41 codifying agency policy on resolution of three issues. (9800177) (NMSS)	08/01	Mark Haysfield/ Mike Fliegel, NMSS		

<u>General comment re: objective stated above</u>: Three issues raised in the Senate report are presented in the National Mining Association white paper that was presented to the Commission in April 1998.

The disposal paper was sent to the Commission on April 8, 1999.

- 3. The most recent alternate feedstock amendment issued by the staff is being contested by the State of Utah and Envirocare. One of the contentions is that the staff failed to conduct the appropriate economics test in accepting the amendment application. A decision from the presiding Officer in this hearing was received on February 9, 1999. The decision provides guidance that the staff factored into the portions of the Commission Paper (item 2 above) dealing with alternate feedstock. Utah appealed the presiding officer's decision to the Commission on April 26, 1999. The Commission agreed to review the decision. The staff will proceed after the Commission has ruled.
- 5, 8, 9 A draft of the Part 41 rulemaking plan and accompanying Commission paper was sent to the Commission on 1/15/99, SECY 99-011. After the Commission meeting on the uranium recovery program on June 17, 1999, the Commission should provide direction to the staff on Part 41. The staff will use that direction in proceeding with rulemaking on Part 41.

3,5,6,7 Based on the indeterminate dates for Commission direction on SECYs 99-011,012,and 013, these dates have been changed to 4-6 months after Commission direction.

# <u>VIII. TOPIC AREA: Changes to NRC's Hearing Process</u> SES Manager: Joe Gray, OGC

# A: Use of Informal Adjudicatory Procedures

PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 23, 1999				
Milestone	Date	Lead		
Commission Guidance	TBD	K. Cyr, OGC		
Prepare legislation for Commissioner review.	TBD	T. Rothschild, OGC		
Prepare notice of proposed rulemaking for Commission review.	TBD	T. Rothschild, OGC		
4. Prepare final rule	TBD	T. Rothschild, OGC		

### Comments:

Commission did not find a need for a briefing on the OGC paper on the hearing process.