

From: sjguu@aol.com
To: [Marlayna Doell](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Public Comment RE: Palisades Nuclear Plant - Emergency License Amendment Request
Date: Thursday, October 8, 2026 4:29:46 PM

First and foremost, we need a real public comment period—60 days preferably, 30 acceptable, but certainly more than 8 days! This does not give enough time for those who know way more than I, and on whose expertise I rely, to analyze in depth and make a substantial comment.

For myself, I am very concerned after hearing about the tilted canister—it brings back memories of the whistleblower at a public hearing letting the cat out of the bag about Holtec's dropped canister at San Onofre years ago.

This is a company led by an arrogant CEO that had to pull back its proposed IPO, that has a record of cheap, unsafe operations, that was banned from government contracts based on their questionable actions with a Canadian company and lied about it to get a tax break in New Jersey—where, sadly, they are so tied into the political machine that they got away with it and got the break anyway. They seem to be unrealistically suggesting multiple small reactors at Oyster Creek, ignoring the hydrology and leaked tritiated water that would make such underground reactors virtually, possibly completely, impossible.

In short, do not give Holtec any breaks, any license modifications, hold them to the most stringent standards, as they cannot be trusted to do the right thing on their own. A closed reactor, dangerous to restart, is better served by delay than by rushing to take action.

Sally Gellert
Woodcliff Lake, N.J.
201 449 8077