

Lee Smith

From: Navara, Mary (MDH) <mary.navara@state.mn.us>
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2026 7:06 PM
To: Allyce Bolger; Lee Smith; ext_Michelle_Brewer; ext_Becky_Harisis; Dafna Silberfeld; Celimar Valentin Rodriguez; Adelaide Giantelli; Allyce Bolger; Darren Piccirillo; Robert Johnson; Lee Smith
Cc: ext_Brandon_Juran
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: MINNESOTA DRAFT IMPEP REPORT

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good afternoon.

The Minnesota Department of Health, Environmental Health - Radioactive Materials Program, reviewed the draft IMPEP Report and offers no comments or remarks for the Management Review Board.

Thank you again for the detailed review of the Minnesota program and for the professional approach of the evaluation team.

Regards,
Mary Navara

Mary B. Navara

Environmental Health Section Manager | Indoor Environments and Radiation

Minnesota Department of Health

Office: 651-201-5826



From: Elaine Raphael <Elaine.Raphael@nrc.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 10:58 AM
To: Kunas, Myra (MDH) <myra.kunas@state.mn.us>
Cc: Hogan, Tom (MDH) <tom.hogan@state.mn.us>; Higgins, Tom M (MDH) <Tom.Higgins@state.mn.us>; Navara, Mary (MDH) <mary.navara@state.mn.us>; Juran, Brandon (MDH) <brandon.juran@state.mn.us>; ext_Michelle_Brewer <Michelle.Brewer@deq.ok.gov>; ext_Becky_Harisis <Becky.Harisis@tn.gov>; Dafna Silberfeld <Dafna.Silberfeld@nrc.gov>; Celimar Valentin Rodriguez <celimar.valentinrodriguez@nrc.gov>; Adelaide Giantelli <Adelaide.Giantelli@nrc.gov>; Allyce Bolger <Allyce.Bolger@nrc.gov>; Darren Piccirillo <Darren.Piccirillo@nrc.gov>; Robert Johnson <Robert.Johnson@nrc.gov>; Lee Smith <Randolph.Smith@nrc.gov>
Subject: MINNESOTA DRAFT IMPEP REPORT

You don't often get email from elaine.raaphael@nrc.gov. [Learn why this is important](#)

This message may be from an external email source.

Good afternoon Myra Kunas,

Please find the enclosed Minnesota Draft Integrated Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) Report. The team found Minnesota's performance to be satisfactory for all performance indicators reviewed: Technical Staffing and Training; Status of Materials Inspection Program; Technical Quality of Inspections; Technical Quality of Licensing Actions; Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities; and Legislation, Regulations, and Other Program Elements. There were no recommendations from the 2021 IMPEP review for the team to consider, and the team did not make any new recommendations. Accordingly, the team recommends that the Minnesota radiation control program be found adequate to protect public health and safety and compatible with the NRC's program. Because Minnesota has had at least two consecutive IMPEP reviews with all performance indicators found satisfactory, the team recommends that a periodic meeting take place in approximately three years with the next IMPEP review taking place in approximately six years.

We are providing you with a copy of the draft report for you to review and comment prior to submitting the report to the Management Review Board (MRB). Comments are requested within 28 days from the date of this letter. Because the team found all performance indicators satisfactory, the draft IMPEP report is being forwarded to the MRB Chair to determine whether an MRB meeting is required. The team will incorporate any comments from Minnesota or the MRB Chair before issuing the final IMPEP report.

If you have questions, please contact Allyce Bolger at Allyce.Bolger@nrc.gov.

Thank you and have good day.

Elaine Raphael
Regulatory Review Specialist
Division of Materials Safety, Security,
State, and Tribal Programs
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission