

Subsequent License Renewal for Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

Historic and Cultural Resources

Affected Environment

NEPA (TN661) requires Federal agencies to consider the potential effects of their actions on the affected human environment, which includes aesthetic, historic, and cultural resources as these terms are commonly understood, including such resources as sacred sites. Section 106 of the NHPA (TN4839) requires Federal agencies to consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties. While the NHPA emphasizes impacts on historic properties, for NEPA compliance, impacts on cultural resources that are not eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) would also need to be considered. In accordance with 36 CFR 800.8(c) (TN513), the NRC complies with NHPA Section 106 through its NEPA process.

Historic and cultural resources are the remains of past human activities and include precontact (i.e., prehistoric) and historic era archaeological sites, districts, buildings, structures, and objects. Historic properties are defined as resources listed on or eligible for listing in the NRHP. The NRHP is the Nation's official list of recognized buildings, structures, objects, sites, and districts of national, State, or local historical significance that merit preservation. The criteria for eligibility are listed in 36 CFR 60.4 (TN1682) and include (a) association with significant events in history; (b) association with the lives of persons significant in the past; (c) embodiment of distinctive characteristics of type, period, or construction; and (d) sites or places that have yielded, or are likely to yield, important information.

In the context of NEPA, the proposed action (i.e., undertaking) is HNP SLR, which would authorize an additional 20 years of operations. The direct area of potential effects (APE) consists of lands within the approximately 2,244 ac (908 ha) HNP site, including the transmission lines up to the first substation, that may be directly or indirectly affected by land-disturbing or other operational activities associated with continued operations and maintenance and/or refurbishment activities. The indirect APE is a 6 mi (10 km) radius based off the HNP center point, located equidistant between HNP, Units 1 and 2. These APEs are consistent with those identified in the applicant's ER (SNC 2025-TN12548).

This section describes the cultural background and the historic and cultural resources found at the HNP site and surrounding area. The chronology of the area is divided into the following periods: Paleoindian (prior to 8000 B.C.), Archaic (8000–1000 B.C.), Woodland (1000 B.C.–A.D. 1000), Mississippian (A.D. 1000–1600), Post-Contact (A.D. 1540–1732), Colonial Georgia and Early Statehood (A.D. 1732–1838), and Post-Cession Historic (A.D. 1838–present). The applicable cultural history background information described in the applicant's ER, Section 3.8.2.7 in Appendix E (SNC 2025-TN12548), remains accurate and is incorporated herein by reference.

The HNP initial license renewal EIS, Section 2.2.9 (NRC 2001-TN12684) and the applicant's ER (SNC 2025-TN12548) describe historic and cultural resources at the HNP site and surrounding area, based on historic and archaeological site file searches and field investigations. In addition

to its independent review, the NRC staff used this information to support its NHPA Section 106 and NEPA obligations and that information is incorporated herein by reference.

For the HNP initial license renewal EIS (NRC 2001-TN12684), a literature review was conducted through the Georgia Historic Preservation Division, University of Georgia State Archaeological Site Files, the National Park Service's National Register Information System, and National Archaeological Database. In addition, sources at the University of Hargrett Rare Book and Manuscript Library, the Map Library at the University of Georgia Science Library, the Vidalia Public Library, and Appling County Heritage Center were examined for applicable maps and literature. For the ER (SNC 2025-TN12548), a literature review was conducted through Georgia's Natural, Archaeological, and Historic Resources Geographic Information System (GNAHRGIS). These reviews identified no previously recorded archaeological sites or historic structures or architectural resources within the HNP site.

The NRiC staff conducted a confirmatory literature review through GNAHRGIS and the Georgia Department of Transportation cemeteries list to verify the information provided in the ER (SNC 2025-TN12548). This review covered the 2,244 ac (908 ha) HNP site and the 6 mi (10 km) indirect APE.

Thirty-seven previously recorded archaeological sites were identified within the 6 mi (10 km) radius, which include 2 NRHP-eligible sites, 8 NRHP-ineligible sites, and 27 sites of undetermined eligibility; no historic structures or architectural resources were identified within the review radius. Sixty-six cemeteries are located within the review radius, one of which occurs on the HNP site: Bell Cemetery. Furthermore, monitoring has been conducted for projects near the cemetery, and no findings related to the cemetery have been identified outside of the cemetery fence line (SNC 2025-TN12618). The Bell Cemetery is located south of the fenced area containing HNP, Units 1 and 2, adjacent to a former recreation area. Calvary Cemetery, although located adjacent to, is outside the western boundary of the HNP site.

Thirteen cultural resources surveys have been previously conducted within the 6 mi (10 km) radius of the HNP center point, 4 of which were conducted on the HNP site. Of those four cultural resources surveys, only one (SNC 2025-TN12548 [conducted for the burial of a fiber optic line at HNP]) recommended one site within the HNP site as NRHP-eligible: two segments of a Baxley Back and Forth railroad spur. These segments were subsequently recommended as ineligible by Brockington's 2024 survey (see below). The other three previous surveys within the HNP site were conducted for the proposed widening of Highway 1 (Gresham 1996-TN12710), a proposed fiber optic line from Charlton County to Fulton County (Thomas et al. 2000-TN12712), and a proposed satellite dish and access road (Peltier and Capici 2021-TN12713).

During the week of March 24, 2024, Brockington (SNC 2026-TN12749) performed a field investigation conducted as part of the SLR process to identify and evaluate structures associated with HNP, Units 1 and 2 that could be NRHP-eligible. Of the 2,244 ac (908 ha) total HNP site, the architectural investigation evaluated a 1,169 ac (473 ha) parcel south of the Altamaha River and east of Highway 1 where the plant and associated structures are located. Fifty-eight properties were identified that were constructed between 1968 and 1979 (two additional properties, the Bell Cemetery and the Cork and Hook Building, pre-date HNP), 52 of which are within the fenced area of HNP (Table 3-5). In addition, there are 117 buildings that were constructed after 1979. All properties were evaluated for their individual NRHP-eligibility and for those within the fenced area, their NRHP-eligibility as part of a potential district was also evaluated. Brockington recommended that no buildings or structures were NRHP-eligible, either individually or as contributors to a potential district. The Bell Cemetery has an undetermined

NRHP-eligibility and will continue to be completely avoided during the SLR term. The applicant submitted the architectural inventory report to the Georgia State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) in July 2024. By letter dated December 17, 2025, the Georgia SHPO (GDCA 2025-TN12702) concurred that the evaluated buildings or structures were neither individually NRHP-eligible nor eligible as contributors to a potential district, and that the NRHP-eligibility of the Bell Cemetery is currently unknown.

Table 3-1 Architectural Resources Surveyed in the Area of Potential Effects Built Prior to 1980

Building Index No.	Building/Structure Name	Location	Year Built	Individually NRHP Eligible	District Contributing
11	Turbine Building Cooling Tower A	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No
15	Switchyard Support Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973–1974	No	No
19	Intake Structure	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
20	Diesel Generator Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No
21	Off-Gas Recombiner Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No
22	Service Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973	No	No
24	Unit 1 Turbine Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973	No	No
26	Unit 1 Radwaste Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No
29	Unit 1 Reactor Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973	No	No
30	Unit 2 Reactor Building	Inside Fenced HNP	1974	No	No

Building Index No.	Building/Structure Name	Location	Year Built	Individually NRHP Eligible	District Contributing
	Complex				
31	Unit 2 HPCI Pump Room	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	ca 1975	No	No
34-A	Water Treatment Plant	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1971	No	No
34-B	Water Treatment Plant Tank	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
34-C	Water Treatment Plant Tank	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
35	Fire Pump House	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1971	No	No
37	Retrofit (outage) Support Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974, large addition ca 1980	No	No
45	Unit 1 Circulation Water Pump	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973	No	No
46	Waste Gas Treatment Center	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No
47	Unit 2 Circulation Water Pump	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No
48	Hot Machine Shop	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	ca 1975	No	No
49-A	Cooling Tower Support Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No
49-B	Cooling Tower Support Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No

Building Index No.	Building/Structure Name	Location	Year Built	Individually NRHP Eligible	District Contributing
49-C	Cooling Tower Support Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No
49-D	Cooling Tower Support Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
49-E	Cooling Tower Support Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
49-F	Cooling Tower Support Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
50	Discharge Structure	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
51	Reactor Unloading Dock	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	ca 1972	No-Demolished	No-Demolished
52	Auxiliary Boilers	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	ca 1973	No	No
54	Off-Gas Stack	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973	No	No
56-A	Fire Protection Tank	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
56-B	Fire Protection Tank	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
57-A	Condensate Storage Tank	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No
57-B	Condensate Storage Tank	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No

Building Index No.	Building/Structure Name	Location	Year Built	Individually NRHP Eligible		District Contributing
				NRHP Eligible	No	
58-A	Unit 1 Cooling Tower	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972–1973 Upgraded ca 2005	No	No	
58-B	Unit 1 Cooling Tower	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972–1973 Upgraded ca 2005	No	No	
58-C	Unit 1 Cooling Tower	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972–1973 Upgraded ca 2005	No	No	
59-A	Unit 2 Cooling Tower	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974 Upgraded ca 2023	No	No	
59-B	Unit 2 Cooling Tower	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974 Upgraded ca 2022	No	No	
59-C	Unit 2 Cooling Tower	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974 Upgraded ca 2020	No	No	
60	NOAA Weather Control House	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No-Demolished	No-Demolished	
70	Unit 2 Turbine Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No	
71	Unit 1 Control Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973	No	No	
72	Unit 2 Control Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	No	
78	Central Alarm System Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	ca 1974	No	No	
98	Unit 1 Liquid Nitrogen Storage	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	ca 1975	No	No	
99	Unit 2 Liquid Nitrogen Storage	Inside	ca 1975	No	No	

Building Index No.	Building/Structure Name	Location	Year Built	Individually NRHP Eligible	District Contributing
		Fenced HNP Complex			
114	Chlorine Building	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973	No	No
115	Sanitary Water Tank	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
116	Boiler	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	ca 1973	No	No
118	Neutralization Tank	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1972	No	No
N/A	500 kV Switchyard	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973–1974	No	No
N/A	230 kV Switchyard	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1973–1974	No	No
N/A	Cooling Tower Channels	Inside Fenced HNP Complex	1971–1974	No	No
5	Warehouse No. 3	Outside Fenced HNP Complex	1971	No	N/A
6	Warehouse No. 2	Outside Fenced HNP Complex	1971	No	N/A
7	Warehouse No. 1	Outside Fenced HNP Complex	1970	No	N/A
41	Backup Meteorological Tower	Outside Fenced HNP Complex	1974	No	N/A
Baxley B&F Railroad	Three Remaining Railroad Spurs	Outside Fenced	1969	No	No

Building Index No.	Building/Structure Name	Location	Year Built	Individually NRHP Eligible		District Contributing
				NRHP Eligible	District Contributing	
(Spurs)		HNP Complex				
SFR-1	Restrooms and Storage	Outside Fenced HNP Complex	ca 1970	No	N/A	
79	Cork and Hook Building (now Staff Development Building)	Outside Fenced HNP Complex	ca 1940	No	N/A	
N/A	Bell Family Cemetery	Outside Fenced HNP Complex	Mid-Nineteenth to Early Twentieth Century	Unknown Under Criterion D		N/A

B&F = Baxley Back and Forth Railroad; ca = circa; HNP = Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant; HPCI = High Pressure Coolant Injection; N/A = not available; NRHP = National Register of Historic Places.

The NRC staff reviewed the applicant's policies and procedures as part of the confirmatory review. Procedures addressing historic and cultural resources at HNP include annual cultural resources sensitivity training for timber harvesting staff and maintenance and construction staff, as appropriate. If any ground disturbance is planned at HNP, applicable procedures are followed to review the proposed activity on a project-by-project basis, and Environmental Affairs for GPC is contacted, if appropriate. Furthermore, HNP operates under the Georgia Power Company Unanticipated Discoveries Policy, which addresses unanticipated discoveries of archaeological sites and unanticipated discoveries of human remains. If there is a discovery situation involving human remains, appropriate parties, including federally recognized Indian Tribes, will be notified in compliance with applicable Federal, State, and local regulations. GPC employs a professional archaeologist that has stop work authority and is responsible for contacting Indian Tribes, as appropriate (SNC 2025-TN12618).

By letters dated July 22, 2025, the NRC initiated NHPA Section 106 consultation by sending letters to the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and the Georgia SHPO (NRC 2025-TN12715), 9 federally recognized Indian Tribes (NRC 2025-TN12716), and one State-recognized Indian Tribe (NRC 2025-TN12717). In these letters, the NRC provided information about the proposed action, defined the APE, and indicated that the NRC would use the process specified in 36 CFR 800.8(c) (TN513) to satisfy NHPA Section 106 requirements. The historic and cultural resource sections of this EA will be made available to the aforementioned parties for review and comment. Responses were received from the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and the Georgia SHPO (see Appendix B).

Environmental Consequences: Historic and Cultural Resources

Section 3.7 of the LR GEIS (NRC 2024-TN10161) provides background information for this Category 2 issue, which is incorporated herein by reference. No new construction or modifications are anticipated during the SLR term. Any facility operations and maintenance activities necessary to support continued operation would be limited to previously disturbed areas and would be expected to be similar to current operations. Additionally, SNC's

environmental protocols and procedures would be followed to identify and protect historic and cultural resources (SNC 2025-TN12618). Since no sites, buildings, or structures have been recommended NRHP-eligible at HNP, activities associated with operations and maintenance during the SLR term would have no impact on historic and cultural resources. Based on the above, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed action will result in No Historic Properties Affected as defined in 36 CFR 800.4(d)(1) (TN513). Additionally, under NEPA, the impacts to historic and cultural resources during the SLR term would be SMALL and not significant.