



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**
REGION I
475 ALLENDALE ROAD, SUITE 102
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-1415

August 12, 2025

Lauren Jenks, MPH, Assistant Secretary
Environmental Public Health Division
Washington Department of Health
111 Israel Road, S.E.
P.O. Box 47827
Olympia, WA 98504-7827

SUBJECT: FINAL WASHINGTON PERIODIC MEETING SUMMARY

Dear Lauren Jenks:

A periodic meeting was held with your staff on April 15, 2025, at your office in Tumwater, Washington. The purpose of this meeting was to review and discuss the status of the Washington Agreement State Program (Washington). The scope of the meeting was limited to activities conducted by the Office of Radiation Protection. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) was represented by Tamara Bloomer, Director, Division of Radiological Safety and Security, Randy Erickson, Regional State Agreements Officer, and me.

The NRC holds Special Management Review Board (MRB) Meetings to brief the MRB on the results of periodic meetings for Agreement State Programs on Enhanced Oversight. On July 15, 2025, the MRB met to consider the results of the April 15, 2025, periodic meeting. The enclosed final periodic meeting summary documents the results of the periodic meeting. The MRB Chair recognized Washington's efforts to improve performance and determined that the next Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program review be conducted in April 2026, as scheduled. The MRB Chair also agreed with a staff recommendation to adjust the heightened oversight call frequency from monthly to bi-monthly, based on Washington's improved performance and their efforts to complete corrective actions outlined in their Program Improvement Plan, as noted during the MRB.

I appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended during the periodic meeting. I also wish to acknowledge your continued support for the Agreement State program. I look forward to our agencies continuing to work cooperatively in the future. If you have any questions about the periodic meeting, please contact me at (610) 337-5143, or by email at Farrah.Gaskins@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Farrah C. Gaskins", is positioned above the typed name.

Signed by Gaskins, Farrah
on 08/12/25

Farrah C. Gaskins
Regional State Agreements Officer
Division of Radiological Safety and Security

Enclosures:

1. Final Washington Periodic Meeting Summary
2. MRB Meeting Participants



INTEGRATED MATERIALS PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM

PERIODIC MEETING WITH THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

TYPE OF OVERSIGHT: HEIGHTENED OVERSIGHT

FINAL

April 15, 2025

PERIODIC MEETING PARTICIPANTS

NRC

- Tammy Bloomer, Director, Division of Radiological Safety and Security, Region IV
- Farrah Gaskins, Regional State Agreements Officer, Division of Radiological Safety and Security, Region I
- Randy Erickson, Regional State Agreements Officer, Division of Radiological Safety and Security, Region IV (virtual only)

State of Washington

- Jill Wood, Director, Office of Radiation Protection
- John Martell, Deputy Director, Office of Radiation Protection
- Dane Blakinger, Materials Section Supervisor
- Jason Mickelson, Waste Section Supervisor
- Paul Schmidt, Summit Exercises and Training (ET) (Contractor)
- Angela Leek, Summit Exercises and Training (ET) (Contractor)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results of the periodic meeting held between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the State of Washington. The meeting was held on April 15, 2025, and was conducted in accordance with Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) Procedure SA-116, "Periodic Meetings between IMPEP Reviews," dated October 29, 2021.

The Washington Agreement State Program (the Program) is administered by the Radioactive Materials Section (Materials Section) and Waste Management Section (Waste Section) which are in the Office of Radiation Protection (the Office). The Office is located within the Environmental Public Health Division (the Division), which is in the Washington State Department of Health (the Department). At the time of the meeting, the Washington Agreement State Program regulated approximately 310 specific radioactive materials, radioactive waste processing, low-level radioactive waste (LLRW), and uranium recovery (UR) licenses authorizing possession and use of radioactive materials. The review focused on the Washington Agreement State Program as it is carried out under the Section 274b. (of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended) Agreement between the NRC and the State of Washington.

A regulatory fee evaluation was completed for the Radioactive Materials Section to determine if a fee increase was warranted. As a result, in November of 2024 a 31 percent license fee increase went into effect. In addition to the increase, all unfunded discounts were ended such as multiple location discounts. This increase ensures the Section is sustainable and resilient for growth and stability.

The Program last underwent a follow-up Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) review from April 8-12, 2024. The report can be found in NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System under Accession No. [ML24264A149](#). The follow-up IMPEP review focused on the performance indicators Technical Staffing and Training; Status of Materials Inspection Program; Technical Quality of Inspections; Technical Quality of Licensing Actions; Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities; and Legislation, Regulations, and Other Program Elements.

Based on the results of the 2024 follow-up IMPEP review, the MRB chair found Washington's performance satisfactory for the two performance indicators: Technical Quality of Inspections; and Legislation, Regulations, and Other Program Elements. Washington's performance was found satisfactory but needs improvement for the two performance indicators: Technical Staffing and Training and Technical Quality of Licensing Actions. Washington's performance was found unsatisfactory for two performance indicators: Status of Materials Inspection Program and Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities. The team did not review the Sealed Source and Device (SS&D) Evaluation Program, LLRW Disposal Program, or UR Program indicators because they were found to be satisfactory during the 2022 IMPEP review. These three indicators were discussed at a periodic meeting held on April 11, 2024, concurrent with the follow-up IMPEP review. As a result of the 2024 follow-up IMPEP review, four new recommendations were opened, two recommendations from the 2022 IMPEP review were modified, and three remained opened. The two related to the SS&D and UR indicators were not reviewed during the 2024 follow-up IMPEP review.

The NRC's Management Directive 5.6, "Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP)," states "if the Management Review Board (MRB) finds a State's program is satisfactory but needs improvement for one or two performance indicators and is satisfactory for all remaining performance indicators, the MRB should consider whether the State's overall

Final Washington Periodic Meeting Summary

program is adequate or adequate but needs improvement to protect public health and safety.” Accordingly, because of the 2024 follow-up IMPEP review, the MRB Chair found Washington’s radiation control program adequate to protect public health and safety but needs improvement and compatible with the NRC’s program. The MRB Chair determined that the next periodic meeting take place in 1 year and the next IMPEP review will take place 2 years after the date of the last IMPEP review. Therefore, based on the results of the IMPEP review, the MRB Chair agreed with the team’s recommendation that the period of heightened oversight be continued.

On July 14, 2025, Washington sent a letter dated in [ML25195A277](#), that provided the most recent update to their Program Improvement Plan (PIP), in [ML25195A279](#).

2.0 COMMON PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Five common performance indicators are used to review the NRC’s Regional Office and Agreement State radioactive materials programs during an IMPEP review. These indicators are (1) Technical Staffing and Training, (2) Status of Materials Inspection Program, (3) Technical Quality of Inspections, (4) Technical Quality of Licensing Actions, and (5) Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities.

2.1 Technical Staffing and Training: (2024 IMPEP Rating: Satisfactory but needs improvement)

The Materials Section, when fully staffed, comprises 11 staff members, which includes 1 database manager, an administrative assistant, and 9 technical staff. The technical staff perform both licensing and inspection-related activities. At the time of the meeting, the Materials Section had no vacancies. Washington staff are continuing to work towards licensing and inspection qualifications. In addition, Washington has hired contractors to assist with performing licensing and inspection work to assist in the areas where staff are still undergoing qualifications. Washington maintains documentation that demonstrates that the hired contractors are qualified in accordance with Washington’s equivalent to Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) [IMC 1248](#) for licensing and inspection. Since the 2024 follow-up IMPEP review, a Section supervisor and two technical staff members were hired into the program.

There was one recommendation in this indicator for Washington to consider.

Recommendation: Washington should review, revise, and update the training and qualification requirements for all aspects of its Agreement State Program to ensure the essential objectives of the [IMC 1248](#) appendices A, B, E, H, and I are adopted.

Status: Washington has five associated tasks outlined in their PIP to address this recommendation. Washington updated their training procedure to address deficiencies identified during the review of their former procedure. This included adding training courses that were required for materials inspectors. The procedure was also updated to provide guidance on the justification and documentation of interim qualifications. Washington reported that the procedure was finalized, and training provided to the staff in February 2025. At the time of the meeting, Washington noted that the procedure had been implemented.

2.1.1 Status of the Materials Inspection Program: (2024 IMPEP Rating: Unsatisfactory)

Washington’s inspection frequencies are the same or more restrictive than the NRC’s inspection frequencies listed in [IMC 2800](#), “Materials Inspection Program.” The Radioactive Materials Section has performed 27 Priority 1, 2, and 3 inspections since the 2024 IMPEP review. At the

Final Washington Periodic Meeting Summary

time of the periodic meeting, there were no overdue priority 1 inspections, two overdue Priority 2 inspections and seven Priority 3 inspections. During the MRB meeting Washington noted that several overdue inspections had been completed and at the time of the MRB meeting they had no priority 1 inspections, two priority 2 inspections, and 2 priority three inspections overdue. Washington planned to prioritize the overdue inspections and perform them by December 31, 2025. In addition, there were three initial inspections completed since the 2024 IMPEP review. At the time of the periodic meeting, two additional initial inspections were planned to be completed by the end of April 2025. There were no initial inspections overdue.

Washington reported that since the 2024 IMPEP review, two candidate licenses were inspected under reciprocity. Washington reported that a risk basis is used for determining which candidates to inspect for reciprocity. The risk and safety significance of the licensee as well as the performance of the licensee in their home state is considered when determining which candidates to inspect.

The 2024 IMPEP review resulted in two recommendations in this indicator.

Recommendation: Perform a root cause analysis of the cause for overdue inspections and provide a list of corrective actions with a schedule to complete the implementation of the corrective actions within 6 months.

Status: Washington has seven associated tasks outlined in their PIP to address this recommendation. The Section established a team to perform a root cause analysis of the cause for overdue inspections. The team met three times to perform the analysis and identified root causes and corrective actions. The recommendation required Washington to submit the analysis to the director of the Division of Materials, Safety, Security, State, and Tribal Programs, which occurred on March 12, 2025. Washington identified six contributing factors which included workforce challenges, training issues, insufficient management oversight, operational efficiencies, database issues and impacts of major incidents. Washington has begun implementation and completed 55 percent of the corrective actions identified in the root cause evaluation and plans to complete the remainder by December 31, 2025.

Recommendation: Implement an effective management tool to track the status of the inspection program. Include a schedule of actions to complete implementation within 6 months.

Status: Washington has four associated tasks outlined in their PIP to address this recommendation. Washington continues to develop a database that will track inspection due dates. At the time of the periodic meeting, Washington reported that the database should be functional by the end of September 2025. In the interim, Washington has created an Excel spreadsheet that lists the inspections due through the end of 2025. Washington also reported that the inspection procedure was updated in April 2025 to include the use of the Excel spreadsheet.

2.1.2 Technical Quality of Inspections: (2024 IMPEP Rating: Satisfactory)

Washington uses inspection procedures that are consistent with the inspection guidance outlined in the NRC's Inspection Manual Chapter 2800. All inspection reports require the review and approval of the Section supervisor before issuance. While some staff have obtained partial qualifications, the Materials Section has contracted with experts external to the program for assistance with more risk significant inspections. The contracted staff also assist with training staff working toward full qualifications. Washington also plans to request assistance from the NRC to accompany inspectors to observe inspections in an effort to ensure consistency with

Final Washington Periodic Meeting Summary

NRC's inspection procedures. Washington had experienced significant turnover in the Section between the 2022 and 2024 IMPEP review which had resulted in a generally inexperienced team. The Section's most pressing challenge is qualifying staff in accordance with IMC 1248. At the time of the periodic meeting, Washington was fully staffed and actively working to achieve full qualifications for all staff.

The Materials Section staff continue to pursue interim qualifications for each modality, as outlined by internal procedures and in accordance with IMC 1248. Washington has been given priority status for the NRC training in an effort to qualify staff. To date, the training needs have been accommodated by the NRC. The section can independently qualify inspectors and will continue to utilize assistance from state partners and the NRC to accomplish this.

The Materials Section reported that the section supervisor is required to complete one accompaniment with each inspector per year. The section reported that they maintain that standard and all inspection accompaniments were completed in 2024. In addition, the Materials Section is on track to complete inspector accompaniments for all inspectors in 2025. There were no recommendations made for this indicator in the 2024 follow-up IMPEP.

2.1.3 Technical Quality of Licensing Actions: (2024 IMPEP Rating: Satisfactory but needs Improvement)

Since the 2024 IMPEP review, the Materials Section completed 157 licensing actions which included 106 amendments, 44 renewals, 2 new licenses, and 5 terminations. The Materials Section uses the NRC guidance documents (e.g. NUREG-1556 Series guidance, Pre-licensing Guidance, and Risk Significant Radioactive Materials Checklists) when completing licensing actions.

During the 2024 follow-up IMPEP review, the team found that most of the cases reviewed were thorough, complete, consistent and of high quality. Of the 23 cases reviewed during the follow-up IMPEP, the team identified inconsistencies in 9 of them regarding the use of non-standard license conditions, the implementation of quality assurance checklists, protection of sensitive information, and review of financial assurance. The radioactive material section has been working on a significant backlog of licensing actions. Washington reported that they have used an outside contractor with qualified license reviewers that assisted with reducing the backlog as well as training staff in licensing. Washington reported that with the assistance of the contractors, they have been able to reduce more than 85 percent of their licensing backlog. At the time of the meeting, there were ten licensing actions that had been in progress for over a year.

There were three recommendations made for this indicator in the 2024 IMPEP review.

Recommendation: Washington should revise their licensing procedure to be compatible with the NRC's NUREG-1556 licensing guidance. The revised licensing procedure should also include a periodic assessment or audit to review a smart sampling of completed licensing actions to ensure proper issuance of licenses with the appropriate NUREG-1556.

Status: Washington has nine associated tasks outlined in their PIP to address this recommendation. Washington conducted a review of the Materials Section licensing procedure to determine the inconsistencies with the NUREG 1556 series. Washington discussed the procedure with the NRC staff and Washington's contractors to ensure compatibility with the NRC's procedures. The Materials Section has started enhancing procedures as deficiencies are identified.

Final Washington Periodic Meeting Summary

Recommendation: Washington should develop and implement a process to ensure that financial assurance mechanisms are received and maintained for each licensee subject to financial assurance, and that the mechanisms meet the criteria of NRC's NUREG-1757, Volume 3, Revision 1, Financial Assurance, Recordkeeping and Timeliness. Perform an assessment to identify issues with financial assurance with existing licenses.

Status: Washington has eight associated tasks outlined in their PIP to address this recommendation. Washington reported that they are in process of developing a procedure and plan to have it updated and approved by September 30, 2025.

Recommendation: Washington should develop and implement a written procedure for the marking and handling of sensitive documents.

Status: Washington has seven tasks associated with this recommendation. Washington has assigned staff to form a working group to begin developing a procedure for the handling of sensitive materials. No additional actions were taken on this recommendation at the time of the periodic meeting.

2.1.4 Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities: (2024 IMPEP Rating: Satisfactory but needs improvement)

Since the 2024 IMPEP review, Washington reported that two allegations and three concerns were referred to them by the NRC. Allegations were closed after an investigation was conducted. The concerns were minor issues that presented no health and safety impacts to the public. Each of the concerns was investigated and resolved. There were five reportable events since the 2024 IMPEP review. Events are reported to Washington via a state-wide emergency response number. Initial information is recorded by the emergency response duty officer who then routes the initial event details to the administrative assistant in the Materials Section. All events were reported to the NRC in accordance with State Agreements Procedure (SA) [SA-300](#). Washington reported that there were two significant events that may have had generic implications. These events represented a possible overexposure from a radiography source due to a radiographer miscommunication. The two events were from the same licensee. The generic implication is that this licensee requires increased oversight from the department to ensure that public health is protected. A reactive inspection was conducted and a Notice of Correction which issued a violation (the highest severity).

The 2024 follow-up IMPEP team identified that Washington had updated its incident and allegations procedures to be compatible with the NRC procedures and directives. The team noted that those procedures had not been implemented. Washington had improved its protection of the identities of concerned individuals, under its allegation response program, but program staff were not consistently following up with those concerned individuals or documenting the completion of follow-up activities. As a result, the team revised two of the recommendations from the 2022 IMPEP.

Recommendation: Washington should revise its allegation and incident procedures to include necessary follow-up actions (e.g., ensure proper and complete documentation of the closure of incidents and allegations, ensure that follow-up inspections are scheduled and completed, ensure that management is consulted in follow-up and closure activities), and to assure that the updated procedures are implemented and followed.

Status: Washington has seven associated tasks outlined in their PIP to address this

Final Washington Periodic Meeting Summary

recommendation. The Materials Section is in the process of revising its allegation and incident procedures. Currently, all incidents and allegations must be reported to the section supervisor for determination of required follow-up and closure activities. This is captured on an internal incident reporting form that aligns section response with the requirements of SA-300. All incidents and allegations are reviewed by the section supervisor. Significant follow-up actions, or closure of any items are reported by the section supervisor to the Office management and federal partners.

Recommendation: Washington should locate all allegation records received during the review period and assess whether appropriate closure actions were taken; and verify that the allegation files were complete, accurate, and documented in the tracking system, including management oversight and approval of any audits of the electronic allegation files and tracking system.

Status: Washington has four tasks associated with this recommendation in their PIP. The Section has a database that is used to track incidents and allegations. Washington reported that many of the allegation files had been stored on the hard drive of a former staff member's computer and were not in a centralized location. Washington has been able to gain access to the file and have assigned staff to review the files to ensure the documentation is completed. These will be saved to the database at the conclusion of the review.

3.0 NON-COMMON PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Four non-common performance indicators are used to review Agreement State programs: (1) Compatibility Requirements, (2) SS&D Evaluation Program, (3) LLRW Program, and (4) UR Program. The NRC's Agreement with Washington relinquishes regulatory authority for all four, so all non-common performance indicators were discussed.

3.1 Legislation, Regulations and Other Program Elements: (2024 IMPEP Rating: Satisfactory)

The Program reported that there have been no legislative changes impacting the Program since the 2024 IMPEP review. At the time of the periodic meeting, there were no amendments overdue for adoption. Regulations applicable to the Washington Agreement State Program are not subject to sunset requirements. The office along with regulatory affairs staff conduct an annual review for any necessary regulatory updates.

At the time of the 2022 IMPEP review, the team found that Washington had placed non-standard license conditions on Washington licenses prior to sending them to the NRC for a compatibility review. As a result, that team recommended that Washington review all their license conditions, identify non-standard license conditions, and submit any non-standard license conditions identified to the NRC for a compatibility review. Washington informed the 2024 team that this task had been assigned to a former employee who had performed a review of all their license conditions and identified one non-standard license condition which Washington then submitted to the NRC for a compatibility review. During the 2024 review, the team identified additional non-standard license conditions that had not been previously identified during Washington's extent of condition review. Because of this, the team recommended that the recommendation remain open.

Recommendation: Washington should perform a review of all their license conditions, identify non-standard license conditions, and submit the non-standard license conditions to the NRC for a compatibility review.

Final Washington Periodic Meeting Summary

Status: With the help of contractors, Washington conducted a review of licenses for non-standard license conditions. Washington reported that non-standard conditions found during the extent of condition review were removed from licenses during license renewal or amendment. The management review of completed actions will include a review of non-standard conditions. Washington completed a review of all their standard license conditions and will do a review of the remaining licenses to ensure there are no non-standard conditions remaining.

3.2 SS&D Evaluation Program: (2024 IMPEP Rating: Not reviewed)

This indicator was not reviewed during the 2024 IMPEP review but was discussed in the periodic meeting held concurrently. While Washington's agreement with the NRC does authorize an SS&D, Washington does not have a highly active program. Washington is evaluating whether to continue to maintain an SS&D Evaluation Program. At the time of the periodic meeting, there were no qualified SS&D reviewers on staff. They previously used another agreement state to perform SS&D reviews and plan to reinstate the contract to maintain ongoing support. Since the 2024 IMPEP review, the state has not received any SS&D amendment requests.

3.3 UR Program: (2024 IMPEP Rating: Not reviewed)

This indicator was not reviewed during the 2024 IMPEP review but was discussed in the periodic meeting held concurrently. The UR Program is housed in the Waste Section. The UR Program has two hydrogeologists and a part-time environmental engineer. One of the hydrogeologists is fully qualified in inspection and licensing. The other is fully qualified in inspection and is work on becoming qualified in licensing.

At the time of the periodic meeting, there were no overdue inspections. There were also no overdue inspections performed since the 2024 periodic meeting. There were also no initial inspections required. The manager completed inspector accompaniments. There were no allegations referred by the NRC and no significant events since.

Since this indicator was not reviewed during the 2024 IMPEP review, the recommendation made during the 2022 IMPEP review remained open. The 2022 IMPEP team found that for the UR program indicator, Washington did not have a training and qualification program for UR staff that was equivalent to NRC's IMC 1248, Appendices H and I.

Status: Washington reported that this recommendation was completed in 2023. The recommendation will be addressed during the next IMPEP review.

3.4 LLRW Disposal Program: (2024 IMPEP Rating: Satisfactory)

At the time of the periodic meeting, the Waste Section licensed one site. There is one project lead that is fully qualified for inspection and licensing. At the time of the periodic meeting, there were no vacancies in the section. Washington reported that they have adequate full-time employees to cover the program.

There were no overdue inspections at the time of the periodic meeting. Since the 2024 periodic meeting, there were no inspections performed overdue and there were no initial inspections that needed to be performed. Inspector accompaniments had been completed for 2024 and 2025. The Waste Section continues to perform annually. The Waste Section supervisor reviews and approves all letters and inspection reports.

Final Washington Periodic Meeting Summary

The Program reported that no allegations were received directly by the Program or referred by the NRC in the LLRW Disposal Program or UR program areas. The Waste Section also experienced no significant events.

Since this indicator was not reviewed during the 2024 IMPEP review, the recommendation made during the 2022 IMPEP remained open. The 2022 IMPEP team found that for the LLRW program indicator, Washington did not have a training and qualification program for UR staff that was equivalent to NRC's IMC 1248, Appendix E.

Status: Washington reported that this recommendation was completed in 2023. The recommendation will be addressed during the next IMPEP review.

4.0 SUMMARY

Washington continues to work on items identified during the 2024 follow-up IMPEP review. At the conclusion of the 2024 follow-up IMPEP review, 11 recommendations remained open. Five of the 11 recommendations have now been completed, and Washington is making progress in the remaining actions with a plan for completion by the end of 2025.

Washington submitted an updated PIP and has been actively working to address recommendations. Washington hired contractors to assist with licensing and inspection casework, training inspection and licensing staff, and reducing the licensing backlog. Washington is now fully staffed and has been able to hire staff to fill key supervisory positions. Washington's staffing appears to be more stable and has not experienced significant turnover over the last year. The MRB recommended that Washington update the PIP to improve clarity on the status of actions.

At the time of the periodic meeting there were no vacancies in the program, and Washington has been working to train staff in licensing and inspection utilizing the contractors to assist.

The NRC staff recommended, and the MRB chair agreed, that Washington continue with a period of heightened oversight and to continue tracking the status of the remaining open recommendations. Because of the progress made by Washington, the NRC staff recommended and the MRB chair agreed to reduce the frequency of heightened oversight calls from monthly to bi-monthly, starting no later than September 2025, consistent with the guidance provided in SA-122, "Enhanced Oversight." The MRB chair also agreed with the staff's recommendation that Washington's next IMPEP review be conducted as previously scheduled in April 2026.

Washington Agreement State Program Management Review Board Meeting Participants
July 15, 2025, 1:00 p.m. – 2:20 p.m. (ET), via Microsoft Teams

Management Review Board Participants:

- Andrea Kock, the Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, and the Acting MRB Chair;
- Jessica Bielecki, the Assistant General Counsel for Rulemaking, Agreement States and Fee Policy;
- Kathryn Brock, the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards;
- Daniel Collins, the Acting Regional Administrator, NRC Region I; and
- James “Jim” Grice, the OAS MRB representative to the MRB, from the State of Colorado.

NRC, Agreement State, and Member of the Public Participants:

- Dafna Silberfeld, NMSS;
- Lisa Forney, NMSS;
- Adelaide Giantelli, NMSS;
- Robert Johnson, NMSS;
- Karen Meyer, NMSS;
- Lee Smith, NMSS;
- Farrah Gaskins, NRV Region I;
- Tammy Bloomer, NRV Region IV;
- Jackie Cook, NRV Region IV;
- Randy Erickson, NRC Region IV;
- John Monninger, NRV Region IV;
- Binesh Tharakan, NRV Region IV;
- Eckstein, Courtney, State of Indiana;
- Daisy Coffman State of Indiana;
- Patrick Turner State of Indiana;
- Kaci Studer State of Indiana;
- Sanderlin, Sarah, State of New Jersey;
- Dane Blakinger, State of Washington;
- James Killingbeck, State of Washington;
- John Martell, State of Washington;
- Jason Mickelson, State of Washington;
- Jill Wood, State of Washington;
- Angela Leek, Summit Exercises and Training (ET); and
- Paul Schmidt, Summit Exercises and Training (ET).

FINAL WASHINGTON PERIODIC MEETING SUMMARY DATE August 12, 2025

DISTRIBUTION:

DSilberfeld, NMSS

TBloomer, RES/DSA/AAB

CO'Keefe, R-IV/DRP/RPB-B

JCook, R-IV/DNMS/MIB

RErickson, R-IV/DNMS/MIB

FGaskins, R-I/DNMS

AGiantelli, NMSS/MSST/SMPB

AWhite, NMSS/MSST/SLPB

RJohnson, NMSS/MSST/SLPB

LSmith, NMSS/MSST

RidsNmssOdResource, NMSS

RidsRgn4MailCenterResource, RGN IV

IMPEP.Resource@nrc.gov

Astrainingandtravel.Resource@nrc.gov

ADAMS Accession No.: ML25206A407

OFFICE	NMSS/MSST/SLPB	R-I/DNMS	NMSS/MSST	NMSS/MSST/SMPB
NAME	RJohnson <i>RJ</i>	FGaskins <i>FG</i>	LSmith <i>LS</i>	AGiantelli <i>AG</i>
DATE	Jul 28, 2025	Jul 28, 2025	Jul 28, 2025	Jul 29, 2025
OFFICE	NMSS	NRR	R-I/DNMS	
NAME	DSilberfeld TBloomer for <i>TB</i>	AKock <i>AK</i>	FGaskins <i>FG</i>	
DATE	Jul 30, 2025	Aug 7, 2025	Aug 12, 2025	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY