

NRC staff feedback on Blue Energy White Paper BE-IMSWP-02-P, “Blue Energy Integrated Monopile System,” Revision 0

1. The [[]] the Integrated Monopile System (IMS) is [[]] in Figure 1. However, the [[]] the text of the White Paper.
2. As stated in Section 3.1 “Classification of IMS Structures, Systems and Components,” the [[]] is a non-safety-related Seismic Category II structure that does not directly provide structural support to the containment vessel or other Safety-Related SSCs within the IMS. The staff notes that [[]] from flowing into the [[]] and should be designed to withstand the lateral stresses generated. Additional discussion will be useful to show [[]]
3. As stated in Section 3.1 “Classification of IMS Structures, Systems and Components,” the [[]] is a non-safety-related Seismic Category II structure as the [[]] is not needed to provide structural support for the IMS. However, in the absence of [[]] Additional discussion will be useful to show that [[]] would not be a safety-related structure.
4. As stated in Section 3.2 “Site General Layout and Monopile Arrangement,” the [[]] It is not clear if this [[]]
[[]] Further, it is unclear whether [[]]
[[]] Providing at least the range [[]]
[[]] will be useful.
5. As stated in Section 3.3, IMS [[]], the IMS will have [[]]
[[]] Addressing the following questions will be useful: (1) Is there any experience in other industries using monopiles with [[]]
[[]]; (2) Could construction of [[]] through the subsurface materials be a challenge due to variation of stiffness of the subsurface materials?; (3) What would be the minimum [[]]; and (4) Are there any limitations on [[]]
6. As stated in Section 3.4.1, the [[]] the IMS is [[]]
[[]] Addressing the following questions will be useful: (1) Will there be any restrictions on stiffness of the subsurface materials to [[]]; and (2) Will there be any pilot borings within the footprint of the monopile to identify and characterize different strata the monopile will be encountering?