

From: [Bill Marzella](#)
To: [Beau Goldstein](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: [External] FW: Notice of Availability of Generic Environmental Impact Statement Regarding Subsequent License Renewal for Point Beach Nuclear Plant
Date: Tuesday, May 27, 2025 1:17:49 PM
Attachments: image001.png

No comments, thanks Beau!

Bill Marzella
Program Analyst
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
bmarzella@achp.gov
(202) 517-0209

From: Beau Goldstein <Beau.Goldstein@nrc.gov>
Date: Tuesday, May 27, 2025 at 12:24 PM
To: Bill Marzella <bmarzella@achp.gov>
Subject: [External] FW: Notice of Availability of Generic Environmental Impact Statement Regarding Subsequent License Renewal for Point Beach Nuclear Plant

Good day,

I am following up to see if you have any comments.

Thank you,

Beau J. Goldstein, RPA
Environmental Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards



From: Beau Goldstein <Beau.Goldstein@nrc.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2025 11:35 AM
To: e106@achp.gov
Cc: Bill Marzella <bmarzella@achp.gov>
Subject: Notice of Availability of Generic Environmental Impact Statement Regarding Subsequent

License Renewal for Point Beach Nuclear Plant

Good day,

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is conducting a review of the environmental effects of the proposed renewal of the operating licenses for Point Beach Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2 (Point Beach) for an additional 20 years. Point Beach is operated by NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC (NextEra) and is located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin. NextEra submitted an application for Point Beach subsequent license renewal (SLR) by letter dated November 16, 2020, pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants."

As part of the review, the NRC staff has prepared a second draft report for the Point Beach SLR application to address new information obtained since the draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) was published. In accordance with 36 CFR 800.8(c), the NRC is using the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended process to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

As discussed in the second draft report, the NRC staff's preliminary determination is that SLR would have no adverse effect to historic properties. Further, the NRC's preliminary recommendation is that the adverse environmental impacts of SLR for Point Beach are not so great that preserving the option of license renewal for energy-planning decision-makers would be unreasonable.

Pursuant to 36 CFR 800.8(c), we are requesting your comments on the second draft report and on the NRC staff's preliminary determination and recommendation. Comments, questions, and concerns may be submitted to Beau Goldstein, staff archaeologist at Beau.Goldstein@nrc.gov.

Respectfully,

Beau J. Goldstein, RPA
Environmental Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards



Environmental
Center of Expertise