

**From:** [V Sreenivas](#)  
**To:** [Henry, Michael:\(Constellation Nuclear\)](#); [Hasanat, Abul M: \(Constellation Nuclear\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Hipo Gonzalez](#); [Matthew Hamm](#); [Jay Robinson](#); [Summer Sun](#); [Fred Forsaty](#); [John Parillo](#); [V Sreenivas](#); [Shivani Mehta](#)  
**Subject:** R.E. Ginna: License Amendment Request to Extend the Completion Time for Sodium (EPID: L-2025-LLA-0087)  
**Date:** Thursday, June 12, 2025 11:45:12 AM

---

By letter dated May 20, 2025 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. [ML25140A128](#)), Constellation Energy Generation, LLC submitted a license amendment for R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant. The proposed change extends the Completion Time for Technical Specification 3.6.6 "Containment Spray, Containment Recirculation Fan Cooler, and NaOH Systems, "Required Action B Sodium Hydroxide system inoperable. The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine whether the application contains sufficient technical information to allow the NRC staff to complete a detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify any readily apparent deficiencies related to the characterization of the regulatory requirements or plant licensing basis.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), an application for an amendment to a license (including the technical specifications) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it includes technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the more limited scope and depth of the acceptance review compared to the detailed technical review, issues that affect the NRC staff's ability to complete the technical review may still be identified despite the acceptance review being deemed adequate. If additional information is needed, you will be notified by separate correspondence.

The NRC staff has evaluated precedence related to this request and determined that, on average, the reviews have required 345 hours and 11 months. To support a more efficient process, the NRC is setting a goal of achieving a 15% improvement. Based on that, our estimate for this review is 293 hours and 9.35 months. The NRC staff expects to complete the review by March 31, 2026.

If emergent complexities or challenges arise during the NRC staff's review that affect the initial forecasted completion date or result in significant changes to the estimated review hours, the reasons for those changes—along with updated estimates—will be communicated during routine interactions with the assigned project manager. These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and may change due to several factors, including requests for additional information, unanticipated expansion of the review scope, involvement of NRC advisory committees, hearing-related activities, or if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance of or concurrently with

industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

---

V. Sreenivas, Ph.D., CPM.,  
Project Manager for Beaver Valley and Ginna  
Division of Operating Reactors Licensing, LPL1  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
[V.Sreenivas@nrc.gov](mailto:V.Sreenivas@nrc.gov)