Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: 723rd Meeting Advisory Committee on Reactor

Safeguards

Location: teleconference

Date: Wednesday, March 5, 2025

Work Order No.: NRC-0242 Pages 1-16

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1716 14th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20009 (202) 234-4433 _

DISCLAIMER

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION'S

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

The contents of this transcript of the proceeding of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, as reported herein, is a record of the discussions recorded at the meeting.

This transcript has not been reviewed, corrected, and edited, and it may contain inaccuracies.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	_
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	723rd MEETING
5	ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS
6	(ACRS)
7	+ + + +
8	WEDNESDAY
9	MARCH 5, 2025
10	+ + + +
11	The Advisory Committee met via Video
12	Teleconference, at 8:30 a.m. EST, Walter L. Kirchner,
13	Chair, presiding.
14	COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
15	WALTER L. KIRCHNER, Chair
16	GREGORY H. HALNON, Vice Chair
17	DAVID A. PETTI, Member-at-Large
18	RONALD G. BALLINGER
19	VICKI M. BIER
20	VESNA B. DIMITRIJEVIC
21	CRAIG D. HARRINGTON
22	ROBERT P. MARTIN
23	SCOTT P. PALMTAG
24	THOMAS E. ROBERTS
25	MATTHEW W. SUNSERI

		2
1	ACRS CONSULTANTS:	
2	DENNIS BLEY	
3	CHARLIE BROWN	
4		
5	DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL:	
6	CHRISTINA ANTONESCU	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	3
1	CONTENTS
2	
3	Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chair
4	1.1 Opening statement 4
5	1.2 Agenda and items of current interest 6
6	
7	Incorporation by Reference of IEEE 603-2018 Rulemaking
8	(Update to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations
9	(10 CFR) 50.55a(h))
10	2.1 Remarks from the Subcommittee Chair 7
11	2.2 Presentations and discussions with applicant
12	representatives and NRC staff
13	2.3 Committee deliberation
14	2.4 Preparation of reports
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

1 2 8:30 a.m. CHAIR KIRCHNER: 3 Good morning. The 4 meeting will now come to order. This is the first day 5 of the 723rd meeting of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards. 6 7 I'm Walt Kirchner, Chairman of the ACRS. 8 ACRS members in attendance in person are Ron 9 Ballinger, Greg Halnon, Robert Martin, Scott Palmtag, Dave Petti, Thomas Roberts, Craig Harrington, Vicki 10 Bier, and we expect Matt Sunseri to join us after 11 lunch. In attendance virtually is Vesna Dimitrijevic. 12 And we have, from our consultants, Dennis Bley and 13 14 Charlie Brown joining us virtually. If I've missed anyone, either ACRS members 15 or consultants, please speak up now. 16 Christina Antonescu of the ACRS staff is 17 the Designated Federal Officer for this morning's full 18 19 committee meeting. No member conflicts of interest were identified, and I note that we have a quorum. 20 The ACRS was established by statute and is 21 governed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, or 22 FACA. The NRC implements FACA in accordance with its 23

Committee's bylaws, the ACRS speaks only through its

these

regulations

Per

regulations.

24

25

and

the

1 published letter reports. Therefore, all member comments should be regarded as only the individual 2 opinion of that member, and not a Committee position. 3 4 All relevant information related to ACRS 5 activities, such as letters, rules for meeting participation, and transcripts are located on the NRC 6 public website, and can easily be found by typing 7 about us ACRS in the search field on the NRC's 8 9 homepage. 10 The ACRS, consistent with the Agency's value of public transparency and regulation of nuclear 11 facilities, provides opportunity for public input and 12 comment during our proceedings. 13 14 We have received no written statements or 15 requests to make an oral statement from the republic -- I'm sorry, the public. 16 17 (Laughter.) CHAIR KIRCHNER: And the republic 18 19 that's the broader public. Written statements may be forwarded to 20 today's Designated Federal Officer, and we have also 21 set aside time at the end of this meeting for public 22 23 comments. 24 The transcript of the meeting is being kept, and will be posted on our website. 25

When addressing the Committee, the participants should first identify themselves and speak with sufficient clarity and volume so that they may be readily heard. If you are not speaking, please mute your computer on Teams. If you're participating by phone, press star-six to mute your phone, and star-five to raise your hand on Teams.

The Teams chat feature will not be available for use during the meeting. For everyone in the room, please put all your electronic devices in silent mode and mute your laptop microphone and speakers. In addition, please keep sidebar discussing in the room to a minimum, since the ceiling microphones are live.

For presenters, your table microphones are unidirectional and you'll need to speak directly into the front of the microphone to be heard online.

Finally, if you have any feedback for the ACRS about today's meeting, we encourage you to fill out the public meeting feedback form on the NRC's website.

During today's meeting, the Committee will consider the following topic, incorporation by reference of IEEE 603-2018 Rulemaking. And this is an update to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations

Part 50.55 AH.

With that, if there are not any opening comments from members, we'll turn in a moment to Member Tom Roberts. But before we do, I would just point at that today's morning session is likely to be brief. After we're finished with this, for the court reporter we will not need you again until tomorrow morning.

Tomorrow morning we will take up the VC Summer subsequent license renewal application. This afternoon is devoted to our planning and procedures session.

So with that, I will now turn to Tom Roberts who chairs our Digital INC and Electrical Systems Subcommittee. Tom?

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good morning. We held a meeting of the Digital INC Subcommittee on February 20, about two weeks ago, to receive a briefing from the staff on proposed rulemaking that Walt mentioned to incorporate by reference the 2018 version of the IEEE standard 603 to regulation.

603 is titled the IEEE Standard Criteria for Safety Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations. And Title 10 of the Code of Federal

Regulations Part 50 subsection 55 AH currently incorporates by reference the 1991 version of that standard, as well as two versions of an earlier standard of the IEEE 279.

While this rulemaking may seem straightforward, the are several issues important safety that are of interest to us. For one, the 2018 version of IEEE Standard 603 includes guidance for mitigating common cause failures within a protection or safety system, and the proposed rulemaking intends to exclude all but the first sentence of this guidance.

Also, there was an earlier attempt in 2015 to incorporate the latest version of IEEE 603 into regulation, and this attempt resulted in several NRC staff non-concurrences, three suggestions from this Committee, and disapproval from the Commission to proceed with the rulemaking.

The staff covered that history in their briefing, including how each of the previous issues was resolved into this relatively straightforward proposed rulemaking.

Most of the discussion at our subcommittee meeting related to the scope of the accompanying quidance, that we replace the non-endorsed IEEE

guidance on common cause failure and resolve open items from the earlier ACRS letter report.

During the subcommittee meeting, we learned that the staff's rulemaking plan had changed shortly before the subcommittee meeting. In the original plan that we were presented before the meeting, staff was planning to request public input on the scope of guidance that should accompany the revised rule, with the intent of writing the guidance as part of the final rulemaking.

Shortly before our subcommittee meeting, the staff changed that plan to instead draft the accompanying guidance before requesting a vote from the public. With this change, the staff worked to prepare the proposed rulemaking doc which is not complete, and a detailed review by this Committee is therefore premature. Because of that, we are not asking the staff to make a presentation to the full Committee at this time.

So, I propose that our next action is to review the draft guidance when the staff is ready to provide it to us. At the meeting last month, they estimated June. But it's yet to be written and I'm sure the plan will evolve as they write the guidance.

When we get the guidance, we would

evaluate the need for the ACRS to review the guidance in the same manner that we would consider the need to review revisions to regulatory guidance. So, we have a process that has us consider the need to have a review before going out to public comment, and a second review is whether we should -- or second consideration is whether we need to review it after we get it back and they've incorporated public comments.

So, we -- I reckon we follow that same process. I'll review the guidance when it's provided, and I'll provide a recommendation in the planning and procedures portion of a full committee meeting as to whether or not we should review the guidance prior to release for public comment.

In the meantime, the ACRS staff has reserved a time slot in June as a placeholder, in case we determine a subsequent subcommittee review the guidance is necessary.

in summary, it's very, Ι premature to have more review of this, as the staff has changed their plan to write the quidance before they go out for public comment. I suggest we stand back, let them follow their process, come back to us when they've got the quidance ready, and then we'll reconsider should whether or not we have а

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	subcommittee review and then a subsequent full
2	committee review at that time, before it goes out for
3	public comment.
4	Do members have any comments or questions
5	on the plan?
6	MR. HARRINGTON: Just one, Tom this is
7	Greg. I may have missed it, but why did we spend four
8	hours talking about this already, instead of waiting
9	for the public comments? Which is typical what we
LO	typically do is wait for public comments.
L1	MR. ROBERTS: Sure. The rulemaking is
L2	provided to us, ask the public to suggest the scope of
L3	guidance, but they were going to go out this month
L4	you know, as I understand it, at least after we
L5	finished the meeting. So, they briefing us before
L6	they went out for public comment.
L7	MR. HARRINGTON: So, they requested the
L8	meeting to brief us
L9	(Simultaneous speaking.)
20	MR. ROBERTS: They requested the meeting
21	to brief, because they said they were ready they
22	had an unexpected change in their plan, part of the
23	management review, as I understand it, to write the
24	guidance first.
25	(Simultaneous speaking.)
ļ	I

MR. HARRINGTON: I was virtual for the -virtual for the meeting, and sometimes you miss
snippets and you don't have the opportunity to go back
and ask. Was there -- as I listened to it, I didn't
hear anything unique about this from the standpoint of
earthshattering safety issues, from the standpoint of
incorporating this IEEE into the rule. Did I miss
something there?

I understand the common cause issue, we've litigated that and adjudicated that, and every other word-itigated -- whatever word you want to put out there. What was -- what do we expect, I guess, coming down to -- is the public or the NEI and the industry in line with this? Are they okay with it? Or do we expect a huge pushback?

MR. ROBERTS: I think from my perspective, that's all future, it hasn't gone out for public comment. They've had some public meetings in preparation for setting the guidance out, and they've incorporated some of the NEI suggestions into the proposed rulemaking.

MR. HARRINGTON: But there's no -- I mean, from the results of those public meetings, there's nothing too toxic about this? There an anticipation of getting this done without a lot of extra time, our

review time put into it, or is there some issue that 1 we have to really focus in on? 2 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, I'll let -- the staff 3 is here, I'll let them answer, too. There's two 4 5 things I would point out, one is the scope of the common cause failure guidance is something that may be 6 7 either future work when they're done with this Because there is more, if you'll actually 8 quidance. 9 be working on the next revision of the 603 --10 (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, and this sort of 11 kicks the can down the road --12 (Simultaneous speaking.) 13 14 MR. ROBERTS: It may kick the can down the road. But we've had comments from this Committee last 15 16 year on this, on the treatment of common cause 17 failures, and this quidance will be a chance when we'll sum that up. You know, things like where the 18 19 echelons of defense that used to be in the branch faculty position via that NUREG CR 6303, where that 20 ends up -- you know, that may roll into this, it may 21 be a future effort. 22 But I think there is -- it's yet to come. 23 24 But I'm not aware of anything that's controversial, 25 beyond the questions that we were asking back in

1	February. And I'll let Sam talk.
2	MR. BLAS: Just to
3	MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, if you could either
4	stand under that green light there, or
5	CHAIR KIRCHNER: You can, better yet, just
6	take this mic. You can just come over here.
7	MR. BLAS: Whatever you guys prefer, I'm
8	just here to support.
9	MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, come up just give
10	us your name and then, if you could just
11	(Simultaneous speaking.)
12	CHAIR KIRCHNER: You can just come right
13	up here.
14	MR. BLAS: So, good morning. I'm Gilberto
15	Blas, one of the staff who presented on the
16	incorporation by reference of IEEE 603-2018. With
17	regards to the comment with regards to NEI, so we did
18	hold a meeting back in 2023 and we did receive a
19	letter from NEI supporting the incorporation by
20	reference of 2018 to the regulations at the time.
21	So aside from that, they have submitted
22	letters in response to the ADVANCE Act, 50.55 AH, but
23	that's being treated in parallel.
24	MR. HARRINGTON: Okay, so right now a
25	common cause issue, there's not a real hanging issue

1 out there? MR. BLAS: Not at this time, that we know 2 3 of. 4 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay, thank you. 5 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, I would observe that the ACRS wrote a letter in 2014 on the previous 6 7 version, and there were three suggestions. least one of them is going to be rolled into the 8 9 quidance that's yet to be written, and the other two 10 probably will also have some tentacles into the quidance. So, we'll be interested in seeing how the 11 previous ACRS comments will be incorporated into the 12 quidance. 13 14 CHAIR KIRCHNER: Okay, further comment? 15 (No audible response.) CHAIR KIRCHNER: Thank you, Tom. So, this 16 17 is somewhat unusual, and I see we have a number of people online, so let me just explain why we're in 18 19 this situation, why we have a truncated meeting this morning. 20 We provide, through the Federal Register, 21 a notice of our agenda well in advance of the meeting. 22 So, that ties us down a little bit in terms of our 23 24 flexibility. We try and write our Federal Register

notice as general as possible in anticipation of

1	sometimes a change coming within the after we've
2	already sent that out for public notice.
3	So, we will close this morning session
4	here and then reconvene at 1:00 o'clock this afternoon
5	in our planning and procedures session, and that is
6	also open to the public.
7	And with that, we are in recess until 1:00
8	eastern time.
9	PARTICIPANT: It's been noticed to start
10	at noon.
11	CHAIR KIRCHNER: Okay, my mistake, let me
12	make that clear again. We will then take up our
13	planning and procedures session at 12:00 o'clock
14	Eastern Time. Thank you.
15	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
16	off the record at 8:44 a.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
l	