From:	Richard Guzman
То:	Jurek, Shane
Cc:	RidsNRRLIC109 Resource; Hipo Gonzalez; Audrey Klett
Subject:	Salem Generating Station, Units 1 and 2 - Acceptance of Requested Licensing Action Re: License Amendment Request to Adopt 10 CFR 50.69 Risk-Informed Categorization and Treatment of SSCs (EPID L-2025-LLA-0022)
Date:	Monday, March 10, 2025 10:20:53 AM

Facility: Salem Generating Station, Units 1 and 2 Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311 Licensee: PSEG Nuclear LLC

## Mr. Jurek,

By letter dated January 31, 2025 (ADAMS Accession No. ML25031A371), PSEG Nuclear LLC submitted a license amendment request for Salem Generating Station, Units 1 and 2 (Salem). The proposed amendment would modify the Salem licensing basis, by the addition of a License Condition, to allow for the implementation of the provisions of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 50.69, "Risk-Informed Categorization and Treatment of Structures, Systems and Components for Nuclear Power Reactors." The NRC staff performed the acceptance review to determine if the application has sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of 10 CFR, an application for an amendment to a license must fully describe the changes requested and, following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in the application, the NRC staff has estimated that it will take approximately 900 hours to complete its review of the request. The NRC staff expects to complete this review by March 10, 2026. These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and could change based on several factors, including requests for additional information, unanticipated addition of scope to the review, and review by NRC advisory committees or hearing-related activities. If emergent complexities or challenges cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the NRC licensing project manager will communicate the reasons

for the changes and the new estimates with licensee staff.

Please contact me if you have any questions. A copy of this email communication will be made publicly available in ADAMS.

Richard Guzman Senior Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Phone: (301) 415-1030 Richard.Guzman@nrc.gov