

From: [Natreon Jordan](#)
To: [Mack, Kenneth](#)
Cc: [Mack, Jarrett](#); [Falkiewicz, Timothy](#)
Subject: Acceptance Review for St. Lucie Unit 2 - Relief Request (RR) #14. Limited Coverage Exams Due to Impractical Inservice Inspection Requirements - Fourth Ten-Year Inservice Inspection Program Interval
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2024 10:36:00 AM

Mr. Mack,

By submittal dated August 7, 2024, (Agencywide Documents and Access Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML24220A148), pursuant to Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, (10 CFR 50.55a(g)(5)(iii)), Florida Power & Light (the licensee) requested relief from selected inservice inspection (ISI) requirements of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, for St. Lucie Nuclear Plant (St. Lucie) Unit 2. The licensee is requesting the relief on the basis that compliance with the ISI examination requirements is impractical.

The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the NRC staff's acceptance review of this relief request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

The NRC staff has reviewed the proposed relief request and concluded that the submittal provides technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to proceed with its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed relief request in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Instances may arise in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review, as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in your submittal the NRC staff has estimated that this licensing request will take approximately 160 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review in approximately 11 months, which is August 6, 2025. If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager.

These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application, and they could change, due to several factors including requests for additional information, unanticipated addition of scope to the review, and review by NRC advisory committees or hearing-related activities.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Thanks,
-Nate

Natreon (Nate) Jordan

Nuclear Engineer (Project Manager)

Plant Licensing Branch II-2

Division of Operating Reactor Licensing

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mail Stop O-8B1A

Washington, DC 20555

301-415-7410

natreon.jordan@nrc.gov