Form 2.1-1 Examination Preparation Checklist

-									
Facility:	Facility: Sequoyah Date of Examination: June 2024								
Develop	ed by: Written: Facility NRC 🖒 // Operating: Facility NRC ガ								
Target Date*	Task Description	Chief Examiner's Initials							
-240	1. Examination administration date confirmed (A.1-5). For NRC-prepared examinations, arrangements are made for the facility licensee to submit reference materials (B.4, C.4.g and F).	M							
-210	2. NRC examiners and facility licensee contact assigned (B.1 and C.1-3).	N							
-210	3. Facility licensee contact briefed on examination security and other requirements (D.4). As applicable, the facility licensee contact submits to the NRC any prescreened K/As for elimination from the written examination outline, with a description of the facility licensee's prescreening process (ES-4.1 A.1.a and ES-4.1 B.2).								
-210	4. Reference material due for NRC-prepared examinations (F).	M							
-210	5. Examination kick-off call held (C.4). The NRC sends the corporate notification letter (A.5).	\$v							
-195	6. Written examination outline developed by the NRC and sent to the facility licensee contact (must be on the examination security agreement) (B.2, ES-4.1 A.1.b and ES-4.1 A.2.a).	5							
-150	7. Operating test outlines and checklists due: Forms 1.3-1, 2.3-1, 3.2-1, 3.2-2, 3.3-1, and 3.4-1, as applicable (B.6). Facility licensee provides a draft operating test administration schedule to the NRC (B.18).	A							
-136	8. Operating test outlines reviewed by the NRC and feedback provided to the facility licensee (ES-2.3).	2							
-100	9. NRC-prepared examinations approved by the NRC supervisor and forwarded for facility licensee review (ES-2.3).	8V							
-75	10. Proposed examinations (written, JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable) and outline forms; quality checklists and supporting documentation (including Forms 2.3-2 and 2.3-4 and any Form 2.3-1, 1.3-1, and 3.4-1 updates); and reference materials due.	M							
-60	11. Preliminary waiver/excusal requests due (ES-2.2 E.1).	W/							
-50	12. Written examination and operating test reviews completed (ES-2.3). The NRC supervisor's authorization to proceed with the facility review granted (ES-2.3 D).	~							
-50	13. Examination review results discussed between the NRC and the facility licensee (B.14).	A.							
-35	14. Examination preparatory week conducted by the NRC and the facility licensee (I).	Br							
-30	15. Preliminary license applications, including any waiver/excusal requests, due (ES-2-2 C.1).	D							
-14	16. Final license applications, including any waiver/excusal requests, due and Form 2.2-1 prepared (ES-2.2 C and E).	M							
-7	17. Written examinations and operating tests approved by the NRC supervisor (C.9)	F							
-7	18. Facility licensee management feedback on the examination requested by the NRC supervisor (C.10).	2							
-7	19. Final applications reviewed; 10% of applications audited to confirm qualifications/eligibility (ES-2.2 G); and examination approval letter (Letter 2.3-1) and waiver/excusal letters sent.	£							
-7	20. Written examination administration guidelines reviewed with the facility licensee (D.14).	0							
-7	21. Approved scenarios and job performance measures distributed to NRC examiners (D.12).	N/							
	dates are based on facility licensee-prepared examinations and the examination date identified in the								

Form 2.1-1 Examination Preparation Checklist

-									
Facility:	Facility: Sequoyah Date of Examination: June 2024								
Develop	ed by: Written: Facility NRC 🖒 // Operating: Facility NRC ガ								
Target Date*	Task Description	Chief Examiner's Initials							
-240	1. Examination administration date confirmed (A.1-5). For NRC-prepared examinations, arrangements are made for the facility licensee to submit reference materials (B.4, C.4.g and F).	M							
-210	2. NRC examiners and facility licensee contact assigned (B.1 and C.1-3).	N							
-210	3. Facility licensee contact briefed on examination security and other requirements (D.4). As applicable, the facility licensee contact submits to the NRC any prescreened K/As for elimination from the written examination outline, with a description of the facility licensee's prescreening process (ES-4.1 A.1.a and ES-4.1 B.2).								
-210	4. Reference material due for NRC-prepared examinations (F).	M							
-210	5. Examination kick-off call held (C.4). The NRC sends the corporate notification letter (A.5).	\$v							
-195	6. Written examination outline developed by the NRC and sent to the facility licensee contact (must be on the examination security agreement) (B.2, ES-4.1 A.1.b and ES-4.1 A.2.a).	5							
-150	7. Operating test outlines and checklists due: Forms 1.3-1, 2.3-1, 3.2-1, 3.2-2, 3.3-1, and 3.4-1, as applicable (B.6). Facility licensee provides a draft operating test administration schedule to the NRC (B.18).	A							
-136	8. Operating test outlines reviewed by the NRC and feedback provided to the facility licensee (ES-2.3).	2							
-100	9. NRC-prepared examinations approved by the NRC supervisor and forwarded for facility licensee review (ES-2.3).	8V							
-75	10. Proposed examinations (written, JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable) and outline forms; quality checklists and supporting documentation (including Forms 2.3-2 and 2.3-4 and any Form 2.3-1, 1.3-1, and 3.4-1 updates); and reference materials due.	M							
-60	11. Preliminary waiver/excusal requests due (ES-2.2 E.1).	W/							
-50	12. Written examination and operating test reviews completed (ES-2.3). The NRC supervisor's authorization to proceed with the facility review granted (ES-2.3 D).	~							
-50	13. Examination review results discussed between the NRC and the facility licensee (B.14).	A.							
-35	14. Examination preparatory week conducted by the NRC and the facility licensee (I).	Br							
-30	15. Preliminary license applications, including any waiver/excusal requests, due (ES-2-2 C.1).	D							
-14	16. Final license applications, including any waiver/excusal requests, due and Form 2.2-1 prepared (ES-2.2 C and E).	M							
-7	17. Written examinations and operating tests approved by the NRC supervisor (C.9)	F							
-7	18. Facility licensee management feedback on the examination requested by the NRC supervisor (C.10).	2							
-7	19. Final applications reviewed; 10% of applications audited to confirm qualifications/eligibility (ES-2.2 G); and examination approval letter (Letter 2.3-1) and waiver/excusal letters sent.	£							
-7	20. Written examination administration guidelines reviewed with the facility licensee (D.14).	0							
-7	21. Approved scenarios and job performance measures distributed to NRC examiners (D.12).	N/							
	dates are based on facility licensee-prepared examinations and the examination date identified in the								

Form 1.3-1 Examination Security Agreement

(1) <u>Preexamination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of JVJC, ZOZI as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until the completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations, an enforcement action by the NRC against me or the facility licensee, or both. I will immediately report to facility licensee management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

(2) <u>Postexamination</u>

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 50 to 10 to

	Print Name	Job Title ,	Signature (1)	Dațe	Signature (2)	Date	Note	
1.	Aaron Forsha	SQN Exam Write,	len-	6/6/23	elin	6/26/24		
2.	Stacey L. Harrey	SQN SUPV	NOTE (1)	66/23	anin		rastived from damaged	Sin
3.	Russell Joplin	Fleet Exam May	ari (2)	6/20/23	Cha	7/1/24	(2) STEWED per helow	
4.	JR LAWING	Nuso '		7/4/23		6/26/24		
5.	CARL RUNISM	SIM ENGINEER	al Run	7 24 23	ann	7/2/24	perena.	
6.	Tyler Clock	Sian Manger	13///	7129/23	Can2	7/8/24	Per email	
7.	David Neff	SQN Exan Writer	Jan 21	7/24/23	Jaxoff	6/26/24		
8.	Chelsea Merryman	cim Engineer	Chile Mi	7/25/23	Conn	7/1/24	per enuil	
9.				17-7-5		110,00	y cr eroa;	
10.								
11.								
12.								
13.								
OTI	<u> </u>	ı	I.	1				

NOTES:

INITIAL

-Licensed Operator Requalification

Examination Development and

Implementation

NPG-SPP-17.8.1 Rev. 0020 Page 76 of 151

Attachment 9 (Page 1 of 2)

LIMITED EXAM SECURITY AGREEMENT

Page 1 of 4

The LIMITED Exam Security Agreement is used for personnel having knowledge of Exam Sensitive Material but DO NOT have unrestricted access to Exam Room(s) and Exam Storage Locations.

Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC Requalification exams scheduled for the week(s) of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these exams to any persons who have not been authorized. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those individuals scheduled to be administered these licensing exams from this date until completion of exam administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the Exam Lead (Example: acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in potential enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management any indications or suggestions that exam security may have been compromised.

Post-Examination (Any Licensed Operator Validators to remain on the agreement until all exams have been given for the cycle. See body of procedure.)

Printed Name	Pre-Exam Signature	Date	Post-Exam Signature	Date	Notes
Thomas Barrow	Elm Barrow	12/2/23	Com	7/8/24	per envil
Ben Hammargren	12/76	12/2/23	Com	7/1/24	Per email
Jacob Atwell	Jac AL	12/2/23	alm	7/1/24	Plr Emu.
	/]				

JULTAC
Licensed Operator Requalification
Examination Development and
Implementation

NPG-SPP-17.8.1 Rev. 0020 Page 77 of 151

Attachment 9 (Page 2 of 2)

LIMITED EXAM SECURITY AGREEMENT

PAGE 2 of 4

Non-QA Record, Retain until Exam Complete

Printed Name	Pre-Exam Signature	Date	Post-Exam Signature	Date	Notes
Tim WOIDA	JOH	12/9/23	Cara	7/1/24	per enu/
Kyan Radel	97MM	12/16/23	am	7/1/24	per enail
Henry Kyle Carlton	MARL COL	12/16/23	arn	7/1/24	per email
Robert Morey	5000	12-16-23	Ce 22-	7/1/24	per email
Christopher Blackwell	Untul Mertill	1/18/24	arn	7/1/24	Per Ema.
BRANDON < AMP	308	1/18/24	O22	7/2/24	Per envil
Kurt McCornach	Market	1118/24	a m	7/1/24	per email
ERANCIS DECAMBINA		1/26/24	Cerm	71,124	per email
Ibrian Jaros	15.5	1/26/24	a72-	7/3/24	per one!
Marty Benson	JeBuson	2/2/24	arm	7/1/24	per email
Cabrill Johnson	Half for	2-2-24	Cenn	7/2/24	per email
Grant Hancock	67 anue	2/2/24	arn	7/1/21	per email
Tim Gabosh	per tel con	2-14-24	am	7/3/24	per even

Non-QA Record, Retain until Exam Complete

Licensed Operator Requalification
Examination Development and
Implementation

NPG-SPP-17.8.1 Rev. 0020 Page 77 of 151

Attachment 9 (Page 2 of 2)

LIMITED EXAM SECURITY AGREEMENT

PAGE 3 of 4

Non-QA Record, Retain until Exam Complete

Printed Name	Pre-Exam Signature	Date	Post-Exam Signature	Date	Notes
Zachany L. Patterson	333	2/15/24	arn	7/1/24	Per ana 1
SOOT Thomas	A Comment	2/19/24	ann	7/1/24	per email
Joseph Welch		3/1/24	azzu	7/1/24	fer email
Jeremy Laymon	Total	3/5/24	cern	7/5/24	per env.
Gent Keon	affe	3/5/24	an	7/5/24	per eneril
(Kins Hinson	Clife	3/5/24	Con2	7/8/24	Per email
Lewis Gene Allen	I Bam	3/5/24	@77m	7/5/24	per enril
MATTHEW SLEETERS	2/1/64	3/6/24	arm	7/1/24	Per email
Tyler Quartes	138	3/17/24	Com	7/2/24	per enail
TED TYPY	Tylly	×5/6/24	ann	7/2/24	flr lan.
Heyder Hu	After the O	5/8/24	Cerm	7/6/24	per emuil
Peter Brad Garwell	500	5/8/24	Cern	7/8/24	Per enni
Lorena Boyle	Kall	4.10.24	arn	7/1/24	for email
<u> </u>	U				

Non-QA Record, Retain until Exam Complete

Licensed Operator Requalification
Examination Development and
Implementation

NPG-SPP-17.8.1 Rev. 0020 Page 77 of 151

Attachment 9 (Page 2 of 2)

LIMITED EXAM SECURITY AGREEMENT

Page 4 of 4

Non-QA Record, Retain until Exam Complete

Printed Name	Pre-Exam Signature	Date	Post-Exam Signature	Date	Notes
Michael Pexersen	1///	6/10/24	Cerm	7/2/24	per email
Bernie Geier	June Die	6/10/24	ann	7/1/24	per enq.
Francis Schulte	1/80	6/26/2024	1/1/2	6/26/2024	•
Walter WHarns	Clothan	6/26/29	arn	7/1/24	Per email
		1			
<u> </u>					

Non-QA Record, Retain until Exam Complete

Form 2.3-2 Operating Test Quality Checklist

a. •	Each job performance measure (JPM) includes the following, as applicable: task standard		N/A	
•	initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if the facility licensee deems it to be time critical alternate path JPMs are labeled as "alternate path" operationally important specific performance criteria that include the following: - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the JPM task standard	Z		K
	identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable			
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved JPM outlines (Forms 3.2-1 and 3.2-2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last two NRC examinations) specified on those forms.	HZ.	N/A	D.
	Simulator Scenario Set Criteria for Scenario Numbers: 1/2/3/4/5			
QU	ALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Т	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	K	N/A	R
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	<u>m</u>	N/A	2
3.	Each event description consists of the following:		N/A	
	 the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	2	2	or
	ALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (continued)	()	/)es / (N	V)0
QU	ALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (continued)	а	b*	c#
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	Υ	N/A	Υ
5.	The sequencing and timing of events is reasonable and allows the examination team to observe and evaluate applicant performance.	Υ	N/A	Υ
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	Y	N/A	Υ
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	Υ	N/A	Υ
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	Y	N/A	Υ
9.	Scenarios are new or significantly modified in accordance with ES-3.4.	Υ	N/A	Υ

			_	1	
 Scenarios (as grouped) allow each applicant to number of transients, events, and evolutions sp submitted with the scenario set. 		Y	N/A	Υ	
 Applicants are evaluated on a similar number of scenarios, when possible. 	f preidentified critica	ıl tasks across	Y	N/A	Υ
12. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support lie	or each crew position.	Υ	N/A	Υ	
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES per Scen	(Y	′)es / (N	1)o		
	а	b*	c#		
Malfunctions after emergency operating proceeding (1–2)	cedure (EOP)	2/ 2/ 2/ 2/ 1		N/A	
2. Abnormal events (2–4)		4/4/4/4/4		N/A	
3. Major transients (1–2)		1/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1		N/A	
4. EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions	(1–2)	2/ 2/ 2 /1/ 1		N/A	
 Entry into a contingency EOP with substantion (≥ 1 per scenario set; set is the entire set of prepared for the scheduled exam) 	0/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 1		N/A		
6. Preidentified critical tasks (≥ 2)		2/ 2/ 2/ 2/ 2		N/A	
- Print	ted Name/Sign at ure		Ç	ate /	
a. Author <u>N. Lacy</u>	, 1	who of	4/2	4/6	化
b. Facility Reviewer (*)N/A	1	O		<u>' / </u>	_
c. NRC Reviewer (#) D. Lanyi		12	4/	4/20	<u> </u>
NRC Chief Examiner <u>D. Lanyi</u>	6/	4/2	24		
NRC Supervisor T. Stephen /	# 1		5/2	1/2	ביבו

 ^{*} The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.
 # An independent NRC reviewer performs the steps in column c. This may be the NRC Chief Examiner if he/she did not develop the operating test under review.

Form 2.3-4 Written Examination Quality Checklist

	Facility: Sequoyah		Date of Exam: Ju	ne 2024	Ex	am Level:	RO 🗸	SI	RO ∑	
		1	tem Description					(Y))es / (N	•
Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility. Each question includes a technical reference.									b* N/A	c# Y
a. All questions reference NRC knowledge and abilities (K/As) requirements. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. c. All questions include an explanation of the correct answer explanation and a distractor analysis.								Y	N/A	Y
3.	Senior reactor operator (S	SRO) question	ns test at the SRO I	icense leve	el.			Υ	N/A	Υ
4.	The sampling process wa two SRO questions were (100-question) retake exa operator licensing program	repeated fron aminations, co	the last two NRC	licensing e	xams, in	cluding full	,	Υ	N/A	Y
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed. The audit exam was completed before the license exam was started. The examinations were developed independently. The licensee certifies that there is no duplication. Other (explain):							pelow	Υ	N/A	Υ
6.		no more than 75% from the bank, at least		Bank	Modified	New	Υ	N/A	Υ	
	10% new (at the compreh or modified); enter the ac				22/5	7/2	46/18	Υ	N/A	Υ
7.	Between 38 and 45 quest and at least 13 of the que				Me	emory	C/A	Υ	N/A	Υ
	exam are written at the coactual RO/SRO-only ques			er the	;	32/6	43/19	Υ	N/A	Υ
8.	References/handouts pro	vided do not (give away answers	or aid in th	ne elimina	ation of distra	ctors.	Υ	N/A	Υ
9.	Question content conform outline and is appropriate						ition	Υ	N/A	Υ
10.	Question psychometric qu	uality and forn	nat meet the instruc	ctions and	guideline	s in ES-4.2.		Υ	N/A	Υ
11.	The exam contains the re and agrees with the value			tiple-choice	e items; tl	he total is co	rrect	Υ	N/A	Υ
			Printed Nam	e/Signatur	e /			Date		
a.								24_		
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>N/A</u>) 				
c.	NRC Reviewer (#)		D. Lanyi		di					
NR	C Chief Examiner	3/26/2024	_D. Lanyi) (>						
	C Regional Supervisor	3/26/2024	_T. Stephen		lones	az	Her L	_ 		
# /	he facility licensee signatu An independent NRC revieve not develop the written exa	ver performs	steps in column c.			C Chief Exa	miner if h	e/she		

did not develop the written examination under review.

Form 5.1-1 Postexamination Check Sheet

Postexamination Check Sheet

Facility: Sequoyah Dates of Examination: June 2024

	Activity Description	Date Complete
1.	*Received postexamination package from facility licensee and verified complete. (ES-4.4)	7/16/24
2.	*Reviewed and incorporated any necessary facility and applicant written examination comments. NRC grading of written examination completed. (ES-4.4)	N/A
3.	*Reviewed and incorporated any necessary facility and applicant operating test comments. NRC grading of operating test completed. (ES-3.6)	N/A
4.	*Completed NRC chief examiner review of operating test and written examination grading. (ES-3.6 and ES-4.4)	7/17/24
5.	Completed licensing official review.	7/24/24
6.	Mailed licenses, preliminary results, and pass letters.	7/25/24
7.	Notified facility licensee of results.	7/24/24
8.	Issued the examination report (refer to Operator Licensing Manual Chapter (OLMC) 510, "Operator Licensing Examination Reports").	7/30/24
9.	Returned reference material after final resolution of any informal NRC staff reviews, hearing demands, or both.	7/29/24
10.	Performed examination recordkeeping activities (refer to OLMC 520, "Operator Licensing Examination Records and Documentation," and Management Directive 3.53, "NRC Records and Document Management Program").	7/31/24

^{*} Activity may not be applicable for a retake examination. If this activity does not apply, place an "N/A" in the Date Complete column.



Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Post Office Box 2000, Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37384

July 1, 2024

10 CFR 55.5

Ms. Laura Dudes U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Marquis One Tower 245 Peachtree Center Avenue, NE., Suite1200 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1257

> Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-77 and DPR-79 NRC Docket Nos. 50-327 and 50-328

Subject: Reactor Operator and Senior Reactor Operator Initial Examinations - Post

Examination Documentation

Reference: Letter from NRC to TVA, "Sequoyah Nuclear Plant - Notification of Licensed

Operator Initial Examination 05000327/2024301 and 05000328/2024301,"

dated November 9, 2023

As authorized by the referenced letter, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) administered a written examination to a group of licensed operator applicants on June 26, 2024. In accordance with Examination Standard (ES) 4.4.B.7 "Instructions for Written Examination Grading and Evaluation" of NUREG-1021, "Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors," Revision 12, the enclosures to this letter provide information related to the examination:

Enclosure 1 – Graded Written Examination(s) and Applicant's Answer Sheet(s)

Enclosure 2 – Master Examination(s) and Answer Key(s)

Enclosure 3 – Questions Asked by and Answers Given to the Applicants

Enclosure 4 – Post Examination Substantive Comments

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 July 1, 2024

Enclosure 5 – Written Examination Seating Chart

Enclosure 6 - Results of Written Examination Performance Analysis With Recommended Changes

Enclosure 7 – NUREG-1021 Form 1.3-1 Examination Security Agreement

Because of the administratively confidential nature of the enclosed material, it is requested that the information contained in the enclosures be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with 10 CFR 2.390(a)(6). There are no commitments contained in this submittal. TVA's principal contact regarding Operations Training matters is Mr. Frank Schulte. Should you require additional information regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Schulte at (423) 843-4107 or fischulte@tva.gov, or contact Mr. Rick Medina, Site Licensing Manager, at (423) 843-8129 or rmedina4@tva.gov.

Sincerely,

Digitally signed by Marshall, Marshall, Thomas B. Thomas B.

Date: 2024.07.01 12:57:42 -04'00'

Thomas B. Marshall Site Vice President Seguoyah Nuclear Plant U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 3 July 1, 2024

ACM: SJH Enclosure

bcc: (w/o Enclosure):

R. E. Albertson

M. Augustin

J. Barstow

G. D. Garner

S. J. Hamrick

A. K. Jenkins

B. A. Jenkins

R. Medina

T. Rausch

F. J. Schulte III

J. C. Ward

ECM