Kris,

Responding to your email from July 2nd regarding several questions on the NAC-MPC Amendment 6, 7 and 8. Please see the responses below in red.

- Please clarify whether any general licensees currently use or store systems under CoC No. 1025, Amendment No. 6. NAC requests revisions to Amendment Nos. 6, 7, and 8. The application includes letters from 3 general licensees who use Amendment Nos. 7 and 8. So, I am just looking for confirmation that there are no general licensees who currently store NAC-MPC systems under Amendment No. 6. There are currently no sites operating to Amendment 6. The desire to include Amendment 6 was purely to ensure the completeness of the correction back through when we introduced the concrete cask lid.
- 2. In the application, one of the general licensees (Dairyland Power Cooperative) in its letter documenting voluntary acceptance/implementation of the CoC revision, noted an incorrect revision number in its letter (the subject of the letter noted "Rev. 2," but the requested revision will actually be Rev. 1). Please have the general licensee clarify the revision of the amendment that they are voluntarily accepting. New DPC letter referencing the correct Amendment and Revision number. The new letter is attached to assist in the backfit review.
- NAC did not request a particular implementation period for the CoC revisions after they are issued. The NRC plans to provide general licensees with a 180-day implementation period, as discussed in RIS 2017-05 on the subject of CoC revisions (ML17165A183). Please let me know if you have any concerns with a 180-day implementation period. All sites understand the expectation to implement the COC within 180 days from its effective date.

Any improvements to the schedule would be appreciated as we have several sites that would like to close out corrective actions for this NRC violation.

Heath Baldner Director, Licensing | NAC International Inc. 678.328.1252 | <u>hbaldner@nacintl.com</u>