

From: Andrea Johnson
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2024 4:28 PM
To: Pournaras, DeLisa S.; Sparkman, Wesley A.
Cc: John Lamb; Michael Markley; Zach Turner
Subject: Acceptance Review: Farley 1 and 2 and Vogtle 1 and 2 - Proposed Inservice Inspection Alternative GEN-ISI-ALT-2024-002 for Steam Generator Welds in Accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(z)(1) (L-2024-LLR-0041)

Wes and DeLisa,

By letter dated June 18, 2024 (ML24170B057), Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC, the licensee) submitted an alternative request for Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant (Farley), Units 1 and 2, and Vogtle Electric Generating Plan (Vogtle), Units 1 and 2. This proposed alternative would increase the inspection interval of American Society of Mechanical Engineers Section XI Table IWB-2500-1 Examination Category B-B and Table IWC-2500-1 Examination Category C-A for item numbers B2.40, C1.10, C1.20, and C1.30 from every ISI interval to every other interval.

The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this alternative request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Pursuant to Sections 50.55a(z)(1) and 50.55a(z)(2) of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), the applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed alternatives would provide an acceptable level of quality and safety, or that compliance with the specified requirements of Section 50.55a would result in hardship or unusual difficulty without a compensating increase in the level of quality or safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in your submittal [and discussions during the pre-licensing meeting on April 1, 2024 (ML24093A061), the NRC staff has estimated that this licensing request will take approximately 120 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review by June 26, 2025. If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager.

These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application, and they could change, due to several factors including requests for additional information, and unanticipated addition of scope to the review. Additional delay may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance or in parallel with industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please contact me or John Lamb.

Andrea M. Johnson, Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch II-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission

John G. Lamb, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch II-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Hearing Identifier: NRR_DRMA
Email Number: 2538

Mail Envelope Properties (BY5PR09MB4867DB175BB76F681619FED497D62)

Subject: Acceptance Review Farley 1 and 2 and Vogtle 1 and 2 - Proposed Inservice Inspection Alternative GEN-ISI-ALT-2024-002 for Steam Generator Welds in Accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(z)(1) (L-2024-LLR-0041)

Sent Date: 6/26/2024 4:27:30 PM

Received Date: 6/26/2024 4:27:00 PM

From: Andrea Johnson

Created By: Andrea.Johnson@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"John Lamb" <John.Lamb@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Michael Markley" <Michael.Markley@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Zach Turner" <Zachary.Turner@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Pournaras, DeLisa S." <DSPOURNA@SOUTHERNCO.COM>

Tracking Status: None

"Sparkman, Wesley A." <WASPARKM@southernco.com>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: BY5PR09MB4867.namprd09.prod.outlook.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3755	6/26/2024 4:27:00 PM

Options

Priority: Normal

Return Notification: No

Reply Requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date: