

From: [Janine Katanic](#)
To: [Pete Hernandez](#)
Cc: [Earlon Shirley](#); [Lizette Roldan-Otero](#)
Subject: RE: RE: CONFIRMATORY ORDER MODIFYING LICENSE - NRC INSPECTION REPORT 030-30429/2022-002, PROTECHNICS DIVISION OF CORE LABORATORIES LP
Date: Tuesday, April 30, 2024 1:52:16 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Hi Pete,

Yes, we understand that your previous submittals that referenced Section III were meant to be in reference to Section V of the Order. Section III is the preliminary settlement agreement, whereas Section V is the actual license modification. Thanks for ensuring that future submittals reference Section V.

We are in agreement that your future submittals will include a signed cover letter on letterhead. We do not need for you to go back in time and create signed cover letters for your prior submittals. Just continue them going forward.

Dr. Roldan-Otero will be fully back in the Branch Chief role starting next week, so address any future email correspondence to her and Earlon.

Regards,
Janine

Janine F. Katanic, PhD, CHP
Acting Branch Chief
Materials Inspection Branch
Division of Radiological Safety and Security
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region IV
office: 817-200-1151
email: Janine.Katanic@nrc.gov

From: Pete Hernandez <Pete.Hernandez@corelab.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2024 12:26 PM
To: Janine Katanic <Janine.Katanic@nrc.gov>
Cc: Earlon Shirley <Earlon.Shirley@nrc.gov>; Lizette Roldan-Otero <Lizette.Roldan-Otero@nrc.gov>
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: CONFIRMATORY ORDER MODIFYING LICENSE - NRC INSPECTION REPORT 030-30429/2022-002, PROTECHNICS DIVISION OF CORE LABORATORIES LP

Good afternoon Janine,

I just spoke to Earlon and he suggested that I respond for clarification. First, the intent of our previous submittals was to demonstrate completion of the applicable commitments listed in both Section III and Section V of the Order. I did not cite Section V in our submittals, although the commitments are the same as Section III, so I am requesting credit for meeting those relevant parts of Section V as well. Further submittals will reference the appropriate section. Additionally, I

understood your email below to state that for future submittals a signed cover letter on company letterhead was appropriate. If a similar cover letter for the previous submittals needs to be submitted at this time, please let me know. Apologies for the confusion.

Regards,

Pete



Pete Hernandez

Radiation Safety Manager

ProTechnics, a Division of Core Laboratories

M: 346.391.4558 | Pete.Hernandez@corelab.com

6510 West Sam Houston Parkway N.

Houston, Texas 77041



From: Janine Katanic <Janine.Katanic@nrc.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, April 9, 2024 12:53 PM

To: Pete Hernandez <Pete.Hernandez@corelab.com>

Cc: Earlon Shirley <Earlon.Shirley@nrc.gov>; Lizette Roldan-Otero <Lizette.Roldan-Otero@nrc.gov>

Subject: CONFIRMATORY ORDER MODIFYING LICENSE - NRC INSPECTION REPORT 030-30429/2022-002, PROTECHNICS DIVISION OF CORE LABORATORIES LP

External Notice! This email is from an external source. **USE CAUTION** when dealing with links or attachments.

Pete,

This email is to follow up on the conversation with Earlon Shirley of my staff on February 27, 2024, and your submittals in partial fulfillment of the Confirmatory Order (Order). It is acceptable for you to submit the responsive documents to us via email. However, your email attachments providing the responsive documents must be provided via a letter signed by licensee management or a representative of licensee management. I noticed from your signature line that you are the Radiation Safety Manager and therefore a representative of licensee management. To clarify the manner in which these documents are to be provided to NRC, please include a cover letter, on letterhead, with a management representative signature, along with the documents that are responsive to the Order so that we can properly docket these submittals. Please do this going forward for submittals of documents that are responsive to the Order.

We have reviewed your January 25, 2024, submittal regarding your causal analysis. You provided the analysis regarding the reason for the apparent violation, the corrective steps taken and results achieved, and the corrective actions that will be taken, with a time frame for their completion. In order for us to more thoroughly review your submittal to address questions we have, please provide a copy of the causal analysis.

Regards,

Janine

Janine F. Katanic, PhD, CHP
Acting Branch Chief
Materials Inspection Branch
Division of Radiological Safety and Security
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region IV
office: 817-200-1151
email: Janine.Katanic@nrc.gov