DRAFT

1 Historic and Cultural Resources

2 Historic and Cultural Resources Affected Environment

- 3 The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (NHPA), requires federal agencies
- 4 to consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties; the remaining license
- 5 termination and decommissioning activities at Crystal River Unit 3 (CR3) are an undertaking that
- 6 could potentially affect historic properties, should such properties be present. The NHPA defines
- 7 historic properties as any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object
- 8 included in, or eligible for, inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Historic
- 9 properties are a subset of cultural resources that are considered during the National
- 10 Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), as amended, process. Cultural resources include, but are not
- 11 limited to, properties that may not be NRHP-eligible or listed; places or landscapes of traditional
- 12 cultural importance; and sacred, ceremonial, and religious sites.
- 13 Construction of CR3 was started in 1968 and completed in 1976, beginning commercial
- 14 operation in March 1977. Construction of CR3 involved excavation to a depth of approximately
- 15 18.3 m [60 ft] below grade and then constructing the nuclear power station, backfilling around
- 16 the deeper structures to form a berm (i.e., elevated area) constructed of crushed limestone
- 17 placing CR3 approximately 6.4 m [21 ft] above the surrounding structures (ADP 2023). CR3
- stopped producing power in 2009 and was officially retired in 2013 (ADP 2023). At present, CR3
- occupies approximately 11 ha [27 ac] of previously disturbed land within the approximately 358
- 20 ha [884 ac] licensed boundary (i.e., the controlled area) (ADP 2023).
- 21 Decommissioning activities within the current CR3 license boundary and areas previously
- 22 disturbed by the original construction in 1968 would include the removal of all above grade
- 23 structures and some paved surfaces, decontaminating, backfilling deep basements, and
- 24 removing all buried structures to a depth of 1 m [3 ft] below ground surface from the elevated
- berm. There would be no disturbance to native soil nor any tree clearing. In addition, there
- 26 would be limited disturbances associated with settling pond 1 and the former shooting range
- 27 where remedial activities have already been completed under Florida Department of
- 28 Environmental Protection oversight (ADP 2024). Furthermore, the independent spent fuel
- 29 storage installation (ISFSI) would remain undisturbed and under an NRC license.
- 30 The NRC staff has determined the direct area of potential effects (APE) to be the same as the
- 31 11-ha [27-acre] disturbance area. The APE for indirect effects would be the remaining
- 32 approximately 347 ha [857 acres] within the 358-ha [884-acre] NRC-licensed area, or controlled
- 33 area.
- 34 The NRC staff reviewed the current and historic land use within and immediately adjacent to the
- 35 CR3 site. In addition, the NRC staff reviewed numerous previously conducted cultural resources
- 36 surveys that cover the direct and indirect APE and surrounding vicinity (ADP 2024); and
- 37 conducted independent research with the Florida Master Site File. From this research, one
- 38 previously recorded cultural resources site, the Seaboard Coast Line Railroad, (Cl01455), was
- 39 identified within the direct and indirect APE.
- 40 Information provided in the previous survey coverage and site recordation indicate that the rail
- 41 line was constructed in approximately 1966 associated with the development of the Crystal
- 42 River Nuclear Plant (Arbuthnot, et al 2014). The east-west spur rail line connects the nuclear

DRAFT

- 1 plant with the main rail line to the east and runs along the south side of West Powerline Street.
- 2 The rail corridor consists of a single-track, standard-gauge rail with wooden cross ties and metal
- 3 tie plates set on a bed of crushed-stone ballast. At the western end of the rail line there is a loop
- 4 that circles to the south and a branch that arcs to the north. Originally a straight branch to the
- 5 south side of the plant, the line was altered to form a continuous loop by 1980, likely to allow
- 6 trains to exit more efficiently as there appeared to be no other turnaround within the plant. The
- 7 original straight branch was removed, likely at the time that the line was reconfigured. To be
- 8 considered NRHP-eligible, a Florida railroad must have served a historic transportation function
- 9 and have been constructed during one of Florida's historic railroad periods. NRHP eligibility
- must also be supported by association with an important local historical event or person.
- 11 Additionally, the railroad must retain its original appearance to a high degree (Johnston and
- Mattick 2001). The Seaboard Coast Line Railroad (CI01455) was built as a spur line solely to
- provide access to the Crystal River Nuclear Plant, never functioned as a major transportation
- 14 corridor, and was constructed during the mid-twentieth century, which is not considered a
- 15 historic Florida railroad period (Johnston and Mattick 2001). Furthermore, the railroad lacks
- association with any local historical event or individual. The section of the rail line in the direct
- 17 and indirect APEs has been altered since it was originally constructed, including the removal of
- 18 the original rails and cross ties and the reconfiguration of the line to form a loop. Therefore, the
- 19 NRC staff has determined that Cl01455 is not eligible for listing on the NRHP.
- 20 The NRC staff consulted with four federally recognized Tribes that have historic or current ties
- 21 to the project area to assist in identifying cultural resources within the direct and indirect APEs.
- 22 The four tribes included the Seminole Tribe of Florida, the Seminole Nation of Oklahoma, the
- 23 Muscogee Nation, and the Miccosukee Tribe of Florida.

24 Historic and Cultural Resources Direct and Indirect Impacts

- 25 Decommissioning GEIS Determination
- 26 As discussed in the Decommissioning GEIS (NRC 2002), in most cases, the amount of land
- 27 required to support the decommissioning process is relatively small and is a small portion of the
- 28 overall plant site. Usually, the areas disturbed, or used to support decommissioning are within
- 29 the operational areas of the site and typically are within the protected area. For plants where the
- disturbance of lands beyond the operational areas is not anticipated, the impacts on cultural,
- 31 historic, and archaeological resources are not considered to be detectable or destabilizing. The
- 32 GEIS concluded the impacts of decommissioning on historic and cultural resources within the
- 33 operational area are SMALL. The staff does not anticipate any impacts beyond those discussed
- in the GEIS because all planned disturbances are within the CR3 operational area.
- 35 Site-Specific Activities
- 36 Although the Decommissioning GEIS concluded a site-specific inquiry was necessary outside
- 37 the operational area (NRC 2002), there would be no decommissioning activities outside of the
- operational area or on previously undisturbed land (ADP 2023). As part of the LTP application
- 39 and environmental report, ADP CR3 coordinated with the Florida State Historic Preservation
- 40 Office (SHPO) in September 2022 (ADP 2023). In November 2023, the Florida SHPO
- responded stating that "It is the opinion of this office that the proposed project will have no effect
- 42 on historic properties" (ADP 2024). Furthermore, the applicant implements an internal
- procedure, PRG-RNV-01-015, which provides for cultural resources awareness, archaeological
- 44 discovery procedures, and compliance with various local, state, and federal regulations (ADP

DRAFT

- 1 2023, 2024). Based on its cultural resources review, the NRC staff has made a determination of
- 2 No Historic Properties Affected and is coordinating with SHPO for concurrence on this
- 3 determination.

4

5

References

- 6 ADP (ADP, LLC). 2023. Response to Crystal River Unit 3 Supplemental Information Needed
- 7 for Acceptance on the Application for a License Amendment Regarding Approval of the License
- 8 Termination Plan. Revision 1. Crystal River, Florida. ADAMS Accession No. ML23160A296.
- 9 ADP (Accelerated Decommissioning Partners, LLC). 2024. Response to Request for Additional
- 10 Information for the Environmental Assessment of the License Termination Plan for Crystal River
- 11 Unit 3 Nuclear Generating Plant. Crystal River, Florida. ADAMS Accession No. ML24086A459.
- 12 Arbuthnot, Michael, Melissa Dye, and Ryan VanDyke. 2014. Cultural Resource Assessment
- 13 Survey of the Duke Energy 2018 CC Parcel, Citrus County, Florida. SEARCH, Newberry,
- 14 Florida. (non-public)
- 15 Johnston, Sidney, and Barbara Mattick. 2001. Florida's Historic Railroad Resources. Florida
- 16 Master Site File Survey No. 6289. MS on file, Tallahassee, Florida: Florida Division of Historical
- 17 Resources. (non-public)
- 18 NRC (U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission), 2002. NUREG-0586, Supplement 1, Vol. 1 –
- 19 "Generic Environmental Impact Statement on Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities."
- 20 Washington, D.C. ADAMS Accession No. ML023470304, ML023470323, ML023500187,
- 21 ML023500211, ML023500223.