


EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	Kirkland	Facility:	Grand Gulf	Date of Written Exam:	1/29/2024
U/I:		Start of Op Test:	1/22/2024	End of Op Test:	1/26/2024
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility			Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
5/22/2023	Examination administration date confirmed	4/11/23	<i>flk</i>	For NRC prepared exams, arrangements made for the facility to submit reference materials	
6/26/2023	NRC examiners and facility contact assigned	4/11/23	<i>flk</i>		
6/26/2023	Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements	4/11/23	<i>flk</i>		
6/26/2023	Reference material due for NRC prepared exams	11/6/23	<i>flk</i>	ES-2.1.F	
6/26/2023	Corporate Notification Letter sent	4/12/23	<i>flk</i>	Letter 2.1-1 produced by CE	
7/10/2023	NRC developed written examination outline sent to facility contact	4/11/23	<i>flk</i>	Forms 4.1-BWR/PWR and COMMON	
8/21/2023	Operating test outline(s) and other checklists due	8/28/23	<i>flk</i>	Forms 1.3-1, 2.3-1, 3.2-1, 3.2-2, 3.4-1, 3.3-1	
9/4/2023	Operating test outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee	9/1/23	<i>flk</i>	Form 2.3-1 signed by CE & BC	
10/16/2023	NRC-prepared examinations approved by the NRC supervisor and forwarded for facility licensee review	N/A	N/A		
11/6/2023	Proposed exams, outlines, supporting documentation, and reference materials due.	11/6/23	<i>flk</i>		
11/20/2023	Peer review of written exam complete	11/6/23	<i>flk</i>	Form 2.3-5	
12/4/2023	Written exam and operating test reviews completed	11/28/23	<i>flk</i>	Document review on Forms 2.3-1 and 2.3-5	
12/18/2023	Feedback approved by Branch Chief	11/28/23	<i>flk</i>		
12/18/2023	Examination review results discussed between NRC and facility licensee	12/14/23	<i>flk</i>		
12/11/2023	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	12/14/23	<i>flk</i>		
12/25/2023	Preliminary license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	12/14/23	<i>flk</i>	NRC Forms 398/396	
1/1/2024	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	12/14/23	<i>flk</i>		
1/8/2024	Final license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	1/12/24	<i>flk</i>	Form 2.2-1	
1/15/2024	Written exams and operating tests approved by Branch Chief	1/16/24	<i>flk</i>		
1/15/2024	Facility licensee management feedback on the examination requested by the NRC supervisor	N/A	N/A		
1/15/2024	Final applications reviewed and audited; exam approval and waiver/excusal letters sent	1/16/24	<i>flk</i>	BC signs Exam Approval Letter (Form 2.3-1)	
1/15/2024	Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee	1/16/24	<i>flk</i>		
1/15/2024	Approved scenarios and JPMs distributed to examiners	1/16/24	<i>flk</i>		
1/22/2024	Administer Operating Test	1/25/24	<i>flk</i>		
2/9/2024	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	2/2/24	<i>flk</i>		
2/18/2024	Post exam comments and graded exams received	2/8/24	<i>flk</i>		
2/26/2024	Post exam written comments reviewed and incorporated and NRC grading completed	2/8/24	<i>flk</i>		
2/26/2024	Post exam operating test comments reviewed and ES-303-1 updated	2/8/24	<i>flk</i>		
3/4/2024	Chief examiner review of operating test and/or written exam grading completed	2/8/24	<i>flk</i>	Signed ES 303's to BC	
3/11/2024	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	2/12/24	<i>flk</i>		
3/18/2024	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	N/A	N/A		
3/18/2024	License/Proposed Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	2/15/24	<i>flk</i>		
3/18/2024	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	2/8/24	<i>flk</i>	print Report-21	
4/8/2024	Final Denial Letters mailed (if no request for informal review)	N/A	N/A	21 days after proposed denial letters mailed.	
4/15/2024	Examination Report Issued	2/26/24	<i>flk</i>	produced by CE	
4/22/2024	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A	N/A		
Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 12, Forms 2.1-1 and 5.1-1					

Form 2.3-1 Examination Outline Quality Checklist

Facility: Grand Gulf		Date of Examination: Jan 2024		
Item	Task Description	(Y)es / (N)o		
		a	b*	c#
WRITTEN	a. The outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with the instructions in Section B of ES-4.1, and all knowledge and ability (K/A) categories are appropriately sampled.	Y		Y
	b. The outline does not overemphasize any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	Y	Y	Y
	c. Justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are acceptable.	Y	Y	Y
SIMULATOR	a. Using Form 3.4-1, Events and Evolutions Checklist, verify that the proposed scenario set contains the required number of normal evolutions, reactivity evolutions, instrument and component failures, manual control evolutions, technical specifications, and major transients.	Y	Y	Y
	b. There are enough scenarios (and spares) for the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity. Ensure that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	Y	Y	Y
	c. Ensure that all scenarios are new or significantly modified in accordance with ES-3.4 and that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s).	Y	Y	Y
	d. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conforms with the qualitative and quantitative simulator set criteria specified on Form 2.3-2.	Y	Y	Y
JPMS	a. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified in the instructions on Form 3.2-1 and that no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s).	Y	Y	Y
	b. Verify that the control room and in-plant systems outline meets the criteria specified in the instructions on Form 3.2-2 and that no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s).	Y	Y	Y
	c. Determine whether the number of job performance measures (JPMs) and JPM types is sufficient for the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Y	Y	Y
GENERAL	a. Assess whether the appropriate exam sections cover plant-specific priorities (including probabilistic risk assessment and individual plant examination insights).	Y	Y	Y
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41, 10 CFR 55.43, and 10 CFR 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	Y	Y	Y
	c. Check whether K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are greater than or equal to 2.5.	Y	Y	Y
	d. Check for duplication and overlap across the exam and with the last two NRC exams.	Y	Y	Y
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	Y	Y	Y
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (reactor operator or senior reactor operator).	Y	Y	Y

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Author	Tom Hooper / 	8/18/2023
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Cabe Hargan / 	8/24/23
c. NRC Reviewer (#)	John Kirkland / 	8/31/23
NRC Chief Examiner	John Kirkland / 	8/31/23
NRC Supervisor	Heather Gepford / 	9/6/23

* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.
An independent NRC reviewer performs the steps in column "c." This may be the NRC Chief Examiner if he/she did not develop the outline under review.





Form 2.3-4 Written Examination Quality Checklist

Facility: Grand Gulf		Date of Exam: January 2024		Exam Level: RO / SRO		
Item Description				(Y)es / (N)o		
				a	b*	c#
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility. Each question includes a technical reference.				Y	Y	Y
2. a. All questions reference NRC knowledge and abilities (K/As) requirements. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. c. All questions include an explanation of the correct answer explanation and a distractor analysis.				Y	Y	Y
3. Senior reactor operator (SRO) questions test at the SRO license level.				Y	Y	Y
4. The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than four reactor operator (RO) or two SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, including full (100-question) retake examinations, consult the NRC Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation operator licensing program office.)				Y	Y	Y
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ☒ The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed. ☐ The audit exam was completed before the license exam was started. ☐ The examinations were developed independently. ☒ The licensee certifies that there is no duplication. Other (explain):				Y	Y	Y
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75% from the bank, at least 10% new (at the comprehension/analysis level), and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution >				Bank 30 / 12	Modified 8 / 0	New 37 / 13
7. Between 38 and 45 questions of the questions on the RO exam and at least 13 of the questions on the SRO-only portion of the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution >				Memory 36 / 10		C/A 39 / 15
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.				Y	Y	Y
9. Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the K/A statements' assigned tier; deviations are justified.				Y	Y	Y
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the instructions and guidelines in ES-4.2.				Y	Y	Y
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple-choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.				Y	Y	Y
Printed Name/Signature				Date		
a. Author	Rich Bolduc / <i>Richard Bolduc</i>			1/8/2024		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Gabe Hargrove / <i>Gabe Hargrove</i>			1/8/24		
c. NRC Reviewer (#)	John Kirkland / <i>John Kirkland</i>			1/9/24		
NRC Chief Examiner	John Kirkland / <i>John Kirkland</i>			1/9/24		
NRC Regional Supervisor	Heather Geptford / <i>Heather Geptford</i>			1/16/24		

* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.
An independent NRC reviewer performs steps in column c. This may be the NRC Chief Examiner if he/she did not develop the written examination under review.

Form 2.3-2 Operating Test Quality Checklist

Facility: Grand Gulf	Date of Examination: Jan 2024	Operating Test Number: 2024		
General Criteria		(Y)es / (N)o		
		A	b*	c#
a.	The operating test meets the criteria on the associated test outline.	Y	Y	Y
b.	There are enough test items so that test items will not be repeated on more than 1 day of the operating test.	Y	Y	Y
c.	The operating test does not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s).	Y	Y	Y
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is minimized.	Y	Y	Y
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	Y	Y	Y
Walkthrough Criteria				
a.	Each job performance measure (JPM) includes the following, as applicable: - task standard - initial conditions - initiating cues - references and tools, including associated procedures - reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if the facility licensee deems it to be time critical - alternate path JPMs are labeled as "alternate path" - operationally important specific performance criteria that include the following: - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the JPM task standard - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable	Y	Y	Y
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved JPM outlines (Forms 3.2-1 and 3.2-2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last two NRC examinations) specified on those forms.	Y	Y	Y
Simulator Scenario Set Criteria for Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3				
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				
1.	The initial conditions are realistic in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	Y	Y	Y
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	Y	Y	Y
3.	Each event description consists of the following: - the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated - the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event - the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew - the expected operator actions (by shift position) - the event termination point (if applicable)	Y	Y	Y

QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (continued)		(Y)es / (N)o			
		a	b*	c#	
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	Y	Y	Y	
5.	The sequencing and timing of events is reasonable and allows the examination team to observe and evaluate applicant performance.	Y	Y	Y	
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	Y	Y	Y	
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	Y	Y	Y	
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	Y	Y	Y	
9.	Scenarios are new or significantly modified in accordance with ES-3.4.	Y	Y	Y	
10.	Scenarios (as grouped) allow each applicant to be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients, events, and evolutions specified on the version of Form 3.4-1 submitted with the scenario set.	Y	Y	Y	
11.	Applicants are evaluated on a similar number of preidentified critical tasks across scenarios, when possible.	Y	Y	Y	
12.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	Y	Y	Y	
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES per Scenario (See ES-3.4)		Actual Attributes by Scenario No. 1 / 2 / 3	(Y)es / (N)o		
			a	b*	c#
1.	Malfunctions after emergency operating procedure (EOP) entry (1-2)	3 / 2 / 2	Y	Y	Y
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / 3 / 3	Y	Y	Y
3.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1	Y	Y	Y
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 1 / 2	Y	Y	Y
5.	Entry into a contingency EOP with substantive actions (≥ 1 per scenario set; set is the entire set of scenarios prepared for the scheduled exam)	1 / 1 / 1	Y	Y	Y
6.	Preidentified critical tasks (≥ 2)	2 / 3 / 3	Y	Y	Y
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a.	Author Rich Bolduc / 	1/8/2024			
b.	Facility Reviewer (*) Gabe Hargrove / 	1/8/24			
c.	NRC Reviewer (#) John Kirkland / 	1/10/24			
	NRC Chief Examiner John Kirkland / 	1/10/24			
	NRC Supervisor Heather Gepford / 	1/16/24			

- * The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.
- # An independent NRC reviewer performs the steps in column c. This may be the NRC Chief Examiner if he/she did not develop the operating test under review.

Form 3.4-1 Events and Evolutions Checklist

Facility: Grand Gulf				Date of Exam: Jan 2024				Operating Test No.: 2024							
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios													
		1			3						T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)			
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION							
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U	
U2	RX					2						1	1	1	0
	NOR	1										1	1	1	1
	I/C	3,4,5,7,8,9					3,5,8					9	4	4	2
	MAJ	6					6					2	2	2	1
	Man. Ctrl						5,8					2	1	1	0
	TS	3,4										2	0	2	2
U1	RX											0	1	1	0
	NOR	1										1	1	1	1
	I/C	3,4,5,7,8,9										6	4	4	2
	MAJ	6										1	2	2	1
	Man Ctrl											0	1	1	0
	TS	3,4										2	0	2	2
I3	RX					2						1	1	1	0
	NOR	1										1	1	1	1
	I/C	3,4,5,7,8,9					3,5,8					9	4	4	2
	MAJ	6					6					2	2	2	1
	Man Ctrl						5,8					2	1	1	0
	TS	3,4										2	0	2	2
I2	RX		2									1	1	1	0
	NOR					1						1	1	1	1
	I/C		3,5,7,8,9			3,4,5,7,8						10	4	4	2
	MAJ		6			6						2	2	2	1
	Man Ctrl		3,5,7,9									4	1	1	0
	TS					3,4						2	0	2	2

A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												
		1			3						T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)		
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
													R	I
R1	RX		2								1	1	1	0
	NOR						1				1	1	1	1
	I/C		3,5,7,8 ,9				4,7				7	4	4	2
	MAJ		6				6				2	2	2	1
	Man Ctrl		3,5,7,9				7				5	1	1	0
	TS										0	0	2	2

Form 3.4-1 Instructions for the Events and Evolutions Checklist

1. Mark the applicant license level for each simulator operating test number.
2. For the set of scenario columns, fill in the associated event number from Form 3.3-1, "Scenario Outline," to show the specific event types being used for the applicant while in the assigned crew position for that scenario.

* Minimums are subject to the instructions in Section C.2, "License Level Criteria."

KEY: RX = Reactivity Manipulation; NOR = Normal Evolution; I/C = Instrument/Component Failure; MAJ = Major Transient; Man. Ctrl = Manual Control of Automatic Function; TS = Technical Specification Evaluation; RO = Reactor Operator; SRO-I or I = Instant Senior Reactor Operator; SRO-U or U = Upgrade Senior Reactor Operator; SRO = Senior Reactor Operator; ATC = At the Controls; and BOP = Balance of Plan

IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 12/01/2023 to 03/30/2024

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

By Date						# Candidates							
Exam Start	Exam End	Site	Docket #	Insp Rpt #	Cac #	RO	LSRO	SROI	SROU	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiner
12/10/2023	12/16/2023	Grand Gulf	05000416-1	2024301	000956	0	0	0	0	FB-OR-ONSITE VALIDATION OF INITIAL LICENSE EXAMINATION (OV)		Kirkland, John	Kirkland, John; You, David; Williams, Russell; Murphy, Kevin
1/21/2024	1/27/2024	Grand Gulf	05000416-1	2024301	000500	1	0	2	2	FB-OR-INITIAL LICENSE EXAM ADMINISTRATI ON (EXAD)	NFF	Kirkland, John	Kirkland, John; You, David; Murphy, Kevin

Sites: GG

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

Prepared on 2/8/2024 2:40:44 PM

IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

Region/Site Summary	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
4	1	2	2	0	5
Exam Date/Site Summary	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
1/21/2024	1	2	2	0	5
Region/Docket Summary	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
4	1	2	2	0	5
Exam Date/Docket Summary	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
1/21/2024	1	2	2	0	5

Sites: GG

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD, NEXAD, NOV, OV

Prepared on 2/8/2024 2:40:44 PM

Form 1.3-1 Examination Security Agreement

(1) Pre-examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 1/22/24 & 1/29/24 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until the completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations, an enforcement action by the NRC against me or the facility licensee, or both. I will immediately report to facility licensee management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

(2) Post-examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/22/24 & 1/29/24. From the date that I entered into this examination security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC.

	Print Name	Job Title	Signature (1)	Date	Signature (2)	Date	Note
1.	Tom Hooper	Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/6/23	See Attached Post Exam Signoff Documentation		
2.	RICH BOLDUC	Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/26/23			
3.	STEVEN PURDON	HOW Exam SPC	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/26/23			
4.	Gabe Jackson	PRILIT REVIEW	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/22/23			
5.	Chad Rogers	Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/19/23			
6.	Mark Medbury	Sim Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/26/23			
7.	FRANK R. BEAN JR.	FINSRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/27/23			
8.	Tyler S. Brown	Sim Specialist	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-10-23			
9.	Robert E. Goldman	Sim Software	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-18-23			
10.	Mitchell S. Lawrence	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/23/23			
11.	Tody Cherani	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/23/23			
12.	Larry Rudy	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/23/23			
13.	Mark Watts	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-23-23			

NOTES:

Form 1.3-1 Examination Security Agreement

(1) Pre-examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 1/22/24 & 1/29/24 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until the completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations, an enforcement action by the NRC against me or the facility licensee, or both. I will immediately report to facility licensee management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

(2) Post-examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/22/24 & 1/29/24. From the date that I entered into this examination security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC.

	Print Name	Job Title	Signature (1)	Date	Signature (2)	Date	Note
1.	Tom Hooper	Exam Developer	[Signature]	3/6/23	See Attached	Post Exam	Signoff Documentation
2.	RICH BOLDOC	Exam Developer	[Signature]	6/26/23			
3.	Shannon Stuart	CRS	[Signature]	10/30/23			
4.	Michael Carr	RO	[Signature]	10-30-23			
5.	Robert Smith	RO	[Signature]	10-30-23			
6.	Jayson Kryska	Training Manager	[Signature]	11/06/23			
7.	Robert Mitchell	SM	[Signature]	11/16/23			
8.	Christina Brandon	CRS	[Signature]	11/16/23			
9.	Josh Hubbard	SM	[Signature]	11/16/23			
10.	Kenny Hale	CRS	[Signature]	11-16-23			
11.	Clayton Bastien	RO	[Signature]	11-17-23			
12.	Logan Carr	RO	[Signature]	11/17/23			
13.	Paul Brown	RO	[Signature]	11/17/23			

NOTES:

Form 1.3-1 Examination Security Agreement

(1) Preexamination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 01/22/24 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until the completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations, an enforcement action by the NRC against me or the facility licensee, or both. I will immediately report to facility licensee management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

(2) Postexamination

up to the best of my knowledge, did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/22&1/29/24. From the date that I entered into this examination security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC.

	Print Name	Job Title	Signature (1)	Date	Signature (2)	Date	Note
1.	FRANK WEAVER	Ops Instr	[Signature]	12-7-23	See Attached	Post Exam	Signoff Documentation
2.	Mickey Ellis	SIM	[Signature]	12/16/23			
3.	Anthony Watson	OPS SUPV	[Signature]	1-8-24			
4.	BRACE MEANS	OPS INSTR	[Signature]	1/24/24			
5.	TODD CHAMBERLAIN	IRS	[Signature]	1/22/24			
6.	Jay Smith	OPS INSTR	[Signature]	1/22/24			
7.	MELISSA LIMBECK	SM	[Signature]	1-22-24			
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							

NOTES:

From: Bolduc, Richard

Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 10:49 AM

To: Tom Hooper; Bolduc, Richard; Purdon, Steven; HARGROVE, GABRIEL A; Rogers, Charles; McAdory, Marty; Brown, Frank; Scarborough, Tyler; Goldman, Robert; Lawrence, Mitchell; Cheroni, Jody; PURDY, LEROY; Mark Watts; Stuart, George; Carr, Michael; Smith, Robert; KRYSKA, JAYSON P; Mitchell, Robert; Brogdon, Christina; Hubbard, Joshua; Haley, Kenya D; Bowlin, Clayton Paul; Carr, Logan; Brewer, Paul; Weaver, Frank; Ellis, Mickey; Watson, Anthony; MEANS, BRACY E; Chamblee, Robert; Smith, Joseph

Cc: Bolduc, Richard; Stracener, James

Subject: ACTION REQUIRED: NRC EXAM SECURITY AGREEMENT SIGN-OFF: JAN 2024 ILT EXAM

Attachments: Updated Examination Security Agreement Forms (Form 1.3-1) 1-30-24.pdf

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Flagged

This email is being transmitted to individuals that signed an Exam Security Agreement for the January 2024 NRC Initial License Examination. (attached). Your signature on the Exam Security Agreement certified the following:

"I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of January 22, 2024, as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until the completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations, an enforcement action by the NRC against me or the facility licensee, or both. I will immediately report to facility licensee management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised. I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the GGN 2023 conducted from July 14 to August 31, 2023. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the Exam Team Leader. I understand that I am not to participate in any instruction, evaluation, scheduling, or other training-related activities involving those individuals scheduled to be administered these examinations from this date until completion of the examination listed above, except as authorized by the Training Superintendent (e.g., simulator booth operator or communicator). I further understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or Entergy. I will immediately report to the Training Manager any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised."

To close out the security agreement, vote APPROVE as a response to this email. By voting APPROVE to this email, you are certifying the following:

"To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of January 22, 2024. From the date that I entered into this examination security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below or authorized by the NRC."

Instructions for voting to this email are shown below.

When you have responded to this email, you are no longer under exam security and can remove your badge. Forward your badge to me or drop it off with any Operations Instructor.

Thank you.

Rich Bolduc
GGN Exam Writer
570-996-7361


Response to the email with voting button

There are a couple of methods to response the email message with voting buttons. The first method is to response the voting in Reading Pane directly.

- Step 1:** Select the email message with voting button, and show it in Reading Pane.
- Step 2:** Click the text of **Click here to vote** above the message header.
- Note:** In Outlook 2013, the voting message stays below the message header.
- Step 3:** In the drop down list, click one of response. In our case, click **Vote: Approve** or **Vote: Reject**.



- Step 4:** Then a dialog box pops up, just click **OK**.



If you need to edit your response, please check the **Edit the response before sending** option in the dialog box.

The alternative method is to response the voting after opening the email message.

- Step 1:** Double click the email message with voting button to open it in message window.

The screenshot shows the Microsoft Office Word 2010 ribbon, specifically the 'Message' tab. The 'Vote' button is highlighted with a red arrow. A context menu is open over the 'Vote' button, showing the options 'Approve' and 'Reject'. The 'Approve' option is selected. The ribbon also shows other buttons like 'Delete', 'Reply', 'Reply All', and 'Forward'. The 'Message' tab is active, and the 'Vote' button is the primary focus.

Step 4: In the pop up dialog box, click OK.

i This message was sent on 1/30/2024 10:49 AM.
Reply Totals: Approve 25; Reject 0

Recipient	Delivery	Read	Response
Tom Hooper			Post Exam Sign-Off Approval Below
Bolduc, Richard	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM		Approve: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM
Purdon, Steven	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM		Approve: 1/30/2024 1:19 PM
HARGROVE, GABRIEL A	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM		Approve: 1/31/2024 8:49 AM
Rogers, Charles	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM		Approve: 1/30/2024 12:08 PM
McAdory, Marty	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM	Read: 2/1/2024 7:31 AM	Approve: 2/1/2024 7:31 AM
Brown, Frank	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 11:19 AM	Approve: 1/30/2024 11:20 AM
Scarborough, Tyler	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 12:24 PM	Approve: 1/30/2024 12:24 PM
Goldman, Robert	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM	Read: 2/1/2024 6:11 AM	Approve: 2/1/2024 6:11 AM
Lawrence, Mitchell	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM		Approve: 2/7/2024 7:25 AM
Cheroni, Jody	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 10:59 AM	Approve: 1/30/2024 11:01 AM
PURDY, LEROY	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 11:59 AM	Approve: 1/30/2024 12:00 PM
Mark Watts			Post Exam Sign-Off Approval Below
Stuart, George	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM		Approve: 2/8/2024 10:16 AM
Carr, Michael	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM		Approve: 1/30/2024 7:49 PM
Smith, Robert	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 8:37 PM	Approve: 1/30/2024 8:37 PM
KRYSKA, JAYSON P	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 11:36 AM	Post Exam Sign-Off Approval Below
Mitchell, Robert	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM		Approve: 1/30/2024 7:58 PM
Brogdon, Christina	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM		Approve: 2/6/2024 11:19 AM
Hubbard, Joshua	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 11:57 AM	Approve: 1/30/2024 11:56 AM
Haley,Kenya D	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 12:18 PM	Approve: 1/30/2024 12:18 PM
Bowlin, Clayton Paul	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM	Read: 2/6/2024 12:36 PM	Approve: 2/6/2024 12:36 PM
Carr, Logan	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM		Approve: 2/4/2024 10:13 PM
Brewer, Paul	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM		Approve: 2/2/2024 10:18 PM
Weaver, Frank	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 12:34 PM	Approve: 1/30/2024 1:08 PM
Ellis, Mickey	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM	Read: 2/2/2024 6:57 AM	Approve: 2/2/2024 6:57 AM
Watson, Anthony	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:51 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 11:47 AM	Post Exam Sign-Off Approval Below
MEANS, BRACY E	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 11:25 AM	Approve: 1/30/2024 11:25 AM
Chamblee, Robert	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM	Read: 1/30/2024 11:53 AM	Approve: 2/3/2024 7:33 AM
Smith, Joseph	Delivered: 1/30/2024 10:50 AM		Approve: 1/30/2024 2:34 PM

i This message was sent on 2/6/2024 11:06 AM.
Reply Totals: Approve 3; Reject 0

Recipient	Response
Bowlin, Clayton Paul	
Watts, William	Approve: 2/7/2024 7:35 AM
Lawrence, Mitchell	Approve: 2/7/2024 7:25 AM
Haley,Kenya D	Approve: 2/6/2024 2:54 PM

i This message was sent on 2/1/2024 7:27 AM.
Reply Totals: Approve 1; Reject 0

Recipient	Response
Watson, Anthony	Approve: 2/6/2024 7:27 AM

From: Tom Hooper <marathonnuclear@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 11:00 AM
To: Bolduc, Richard
Subject: Re: ACTION REQUIRED: NRC EXAM SECURITY AGREEMENT SIGN-OFF: JAN 2024 ILT EXAM

EXTERNAL SENDER. DO NOT click links, or open attachments, if sender is unknown, or the message seems suspicious in any way. DO NOT provide your user ID or password.

Approve

(Sorry, can't vote in gmail!)

On Tue, Jan 30, 2024 at 11:48 AM Bolduc, Richard <rbolduc@entergy.com> wrote:

This email is being transmitted to individuals that signed an Exam Security Agreement for the January 2024 NRC Initial License Examination. (attached). Your signature on the Exam Security Agreement certified the following:

i This message was sent on 2/8/2024 9:37 AM.
Reply Totals: Approve 1; Reject 0

Recipient	Response
KRYSKA, JAYSON P	Approve: 2/8/2024 9:41 AM