From: Norma Garcia Santos

To: VESCOVI Peter (ORANO); Boyle, Rick (PHMSA)

Subject: Feedback--GP-01 RAI Unacceptable Responses

Date: Tuesday, September 12, 2023 9:41:00 AM

Attachments: Staff feedback GP-01 RAI-M-6 Response Stuctural Evaluation.pdf

## Good morning,

Attached is the document that I am referring to. I had is in the que, but did not send it.

Thanks for bringing this tome.

## Norma

From: VESCOVI Peter (ORANO) <peter.vescovi@orano.group>

Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2023 9:18 AM

To: Norma Garcia Santos < Norma. Garcia Santos @nrc.gov>; Boyle, Rick (PHMSA)

<rick.boyle@dot.gov>

**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: Feedback--GP-01 RAI Unacceptable Responses

Norma,

The comments NRC provided for RAI-M-6 says.

"See separate document related to the feedback on the structural evaluation."

What is this separate document and when was it provided?

Peter Vescovi +1 336 420-8325

From: Norma Garcia Santos < Norma. Garcia Santos @nrc.gov >

**Sent:** Thursday, September 7, 2023 2:42 PM **To:** Boyle, Rick (PHMSA) < rick.boyle@dot.gov>

**Cc:** VESCOVI Peter (ORN-NPS) peter.vescovi@orano.group>; Christopher Sydnor

<<u>Christopher.Sydnor@nrc.gov</u>>; Bharatkumar Patel <<u>Bharatkumar.Patel@nrc.gov</u>>; Tom Boyce

<<u>Tom.Boyce@nrc.gov</u>>; Yoira Diaz Sanabria <<u>Yoira.Diaz-Sanabria@nrc.gov</u>>; Juan Lopez

<<u>Juan.Lopez@nrc.gov</u>>

**Subject:** Feedback--GP-01 RAI Unacceptable Responses

## Security Notice: Please be aware that this email was sent by an external sender.

## Good afternoon,

I downloaded the staff's feedback of the reviewer(s) on the unacceptable RAIs related to the revalidation recommendation of the Model No. GP-01 into the NRC's Drop Box (Rick, please ask Orano to review the documents, mark any proprietary information, and return any documents with proprietary information mark ups to me; I have to add this information to the docket). In terms of the materials evaluation, RAI responses for RAI-M-1, RAI-M-2, RAI-M-3, RAI-M-4, RAI-M-5, RAI-M-7, RAI-M-9, and RAI-M-10 are all acceptable. RAI responses for RAI-M-6 and RAI-M-8 (both shown in blue italics print) are not acceptable. Therefore, the staff is providing detailed feedback (also in blue italics print) on the information still needed to resolve RAI-M-6 and RAI-M-8. The document with the feedback related to the structural evaluation explains in detail the information requested by the staff.

Please contact me if you have questions about this email.

Thanks,

**Project Manager** 

Storage and Transportation Licensing Branch Division of Fuel Management

Office of Nuclear Material Safety

Norma Garcia Santos

and Safeguards