

## PROPOSED OUTLINE COMMENTS

Facility: Waterford 3

First Exam Date: 8/21/2023

| <b>Written Exam Outline</b> |                                   |                                |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| (4/6/23)                    |                                   |                                |
|                             | <b>Comment</b>                    | <b>Resolution</b>              |
| 1                           | NRC Generated                     | None Required                  |
| 2                           | Verify Written and Ops Admin Date | Written Date –<br>Admin Date – |
| 3                           | Did all take a separate GFES?     | None have                      |

| <b>Administrative JPM Outline</b> |   |                                       |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| (4/6/23)                          |   |                                       |
|                                   | <b>Comment</b>  | <b>Resolution</b>                     |
| 1                                 | A1, A3, A7, and A8 – discuss modifications being made to JPMs | Discussed; ensured parameters changed |
|                                   |   |                                       |

| <b>Control Room / In-Plant System JPM Outline</b> |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| (4/6/23)  |   |   |
|   | <b>Comment</b>                              | <b>Resolution</b>   |
| 1   | S8 – discuss modification being made to JPM | Discussed – not modified, but new; and it is alternate path |
|   |   |   |

| <b>Simulator Scenario Outline Comments</b><br>(4/6/23) |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Comment</b>   |   | <b>Resolution</b>   |
| 1  | All – Review critical tasks to ensure bounding criteria are as specific as is possible, including detailed explanation for bounding criteria (time limit, even if an approximation, if possible). (Discussion item) | Discussed   |
| 2  | All - Discuss any potential similarities with past exams (Discussion item)  | Discussed   |
| 3  | Scenarios 1 has no contingency action procedure entry   | None required (noted for tracking)  |
| 4  | Scenario 1, CT 2: Add limiting condition (RCS safeties) in CT chart; with no operator action, how long before safety valves open?   |   |
| 5  | Scenario 2 has no contingency action procedure entry  | None Required (noted for tracking)  |
| 6  | Scenario 2 CT table lists both CTs as CT1   | Typo; revised   |
| 7  | Scenario 2, CT 2: discuss establishing a better binding criteria than “before exiting step 7”   |   |
| 8  | Scenario 2 has no MC for the ATC  | None Required (noted for tracking); ensure scenario set arranged for appropriate “beans” for all applicants   |
| 9  | Scenario 3 has no contingency action procedure entry  | None Required (noted for tracking)  |
| 10   | Scenario 3 CT 1: discuss possibility of better binding criteria than “prior to entering loss of offsite power procedure”  |   |
| 11   | Scenario 3 CT2: discuss possibility of better binding criteria than “prior to entering station blackout procedure”  |   |
| 12   | Scenario 4 is the only scenario that contains entry into contingency action procedures  | Scenario 4 must be used in the scenario set. Additionally, scenario 4 must be administered on crews where the SRO is an applicant (not a surrogate) |
| 13   | Scenario 4 has no MC for the ATC  | None Required (noted for tracking); ensure scenario set arranged for appropriate “beans” for all applicants   |
| 14   | Scenario 4 CT1: binding criteria a little vague. How long do we intend to give them to recognize an incorrect CEA pattern?  |   |

|    |  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 15 | Scenario 4 CT2: discuss possibility of better binding criteria than “prior to exiting appendix 28” |  |
|----|--|--|

**DRAFT SCHEDULE**

- Draft schedule indicates exam starts 8/17/2020?
- We will very likely only have four examiners