PROCEDURE REVIEW FORM (PRF)							
1.0 Initiation							
Initiator: Joseph	n Fortma	n		Date: 05/18/2	2023	Procedure De	ept: EP
Document #: EIF	P-ZZ-002	40 Adder	ndum A	New Rev. #	800	(3 digits required	V
Title: Emergency Coordinator (EC) Checklist							
promote the control of the control o	Continuo		Reference	Information	☐Multip	ole 🔲	N/A
2.0 Reason for	Change/D	escription	of Change (L	ist commitments, CR.	s, eB Change Re	quests, OERs, P	lant Mods etc and record
numbers here.)	۰ مامام ما ۱ مامام ما	in procedur	ro to roviou n	lant avants that le	ad to prior EA	L call and add	ded reminder that if a
declaration is miss							Jed Terrinder that if a
					·		
							Continued:
3.0. Document D	ispositio	1					
Temp Char		New Doc	ument [Major Revision	⊠Mino	Revision [Cancellation
□Administra							the production of the state of
				nistrative Corrections	S (Signature/PIN/I		
4.0 Interim App	roval (Te	mp. Change	Only) Te	emp. Change #:		Expiration D	Pate:
			-				
Cogn	zant Superv	isor (Signatur	e/PIN/Date)		SRO (Si	gnature/PIN/Dat	te)
5.0 Comments:		(-18					
							_
(0 D :	101	AIOT	in d for A	durintenting Co.	uu aati aaa l		Continued:
6.0 Reviews and Reviews Required	Dept	PIN	equirea jor A	dministrative Con Signature	rrections)	Date	CA0139 Attached
Preparer	EP	14588	C-3=1	000		05/18/20	23 N/A
Technical Review	EP	14588	10	1	3	05/18/20	23 Yes No
Technical Review		75380		3 /14		05/23/20	23 Yes No
Add'l Review			1				☐ Yes ☐ No
Add'l Review				V		V AND PROGRAMMENT TRANSPORTE	Yes No
Additional Rev	iews (See	Attached CA	0139 forms)	Number of addi	tional CA013	39s:	
	Type		ordinator - PIN		nature	Date	CA0139 Attached
☐ Validation							☐ Yes ☐ No
50.59 Review 🖂	CA2510	□CA2511	□CA2512	N/A (per Al	PA-ZZ-00143)		A La Common A State
				**************************************		Screener Initial /	
(Dept. Head or Designated Approver) Is a Change Management Plan required? Yes No (IF YES, attach CA2773 or CA2774) Is a Cross-Disciplinary review required? Yes No							
7.0 Approval (Either approval must be qualified as PAT/APVR or PAT/GAPR in QualMaster)							
Senior Director Nuclear Operations Approval (per APA-ZZ-00101) ORC is required in accordance with APA-ZZ-00091 Department Head Final Review and Approval ORC Meeting:							
1 Shill	Uni	16397	5/24/202	,	to won	out 108	192 le 1/23
Dep	ot. Head or	Designated Ap	prover		Senio	or Director Nucle	
Note: Page 2 provides in		re/PIN/Date)	em and men he die	carded		(Signature/PIN	WDate)
wore. I age 2 provides in	on actions to t	отрые та 101	m ana may ve als	Lur utu			

A190.0001, 2, 5, 35 - A210.0062, 65, 69; M190.0001 Page 1 of 2 APA-ZZ-00101

APPLICABILITY DETERMINATION

Address the questions below for all aspects of the activity. If the answer is YES for any portion of the activity, apply the identified procedures to that portion of the activity. Note that it is not unusual to have more than one process apply to a given activity. Refer to Section 4 of the 10 CFR 50.59 Resource Manual (RM) and the instructions on pages 5-6 for additional guidance. Revision Number: 008 I. Activity/Document Number: EIP-ZZ-00240 Addendum A Title: Emergency Coordinator (EC) Checklist Brief Description of activity (what is being changed and why): In Initiation section, added new step 1) under SM Briefing to Discuss plant conditions that led to current EAL declarations. In Operations section, added new step 6 to inform the EC "If review of EALs identifies an EAL declaration was missed or was miss-classified, a potential 1 hour report to the NRC may exist. Review EIP-ZZ-00101 Note prior to section 5.5 for details." If YES, no further 50.59 (or 72.48) review beyond this Applicability Determination (AD) is required, but Does the proposed activity fall within the scope of an activity complete the remainder of this form. Response that has been previously approved by the NRC or for which a Identify the applicable 50.59/72.48 50.59/72.48 Screen or evaluation has already been performed? Evaluation Log No., License NO ☐ YES (See Section 4.2 of the RM.) Amendment No., or applicable document number. Document # See Section 4.2.1 of the RM. Does the proposed activity involve a change to the: Response III. If YES, process per APA-ZZ-00108 1. Technical Specifications or the Renewed Operating NO ☐ YES and FDP-ZZ-00103. License? (Sec 10 CFR 50.90.) If YES, process per APA-ZZ-00108 and GDP-ZZ-00600 and related NO ☐ YES 2. Quality Assurance Plan? (See 10 CFR 50.54(a).) facility or procedure change programs. If YES, process per APA-ZZ-00108 and SDP-ZZ-00030 for Security 3. Security Plan which also includes the Cyber Security Plan? NO ☐ YES Plan and related facility or (See 10 CFR 50.54(p).) procedure change programs. 4. Radiological Emergency Response Plan (RERP) or Emergency Action Levels (EALS)? (See 10 CFR 50.54(q).) If YES, process per APA-ZZ-00108 Note: If your proposed change alters a RERP and KDP-ZZ-00400 for RERP and implementing procedure [any EIP-ZZ-XXXX], equipment \ \ \ NO \ \ YES related facility or procedure change identified as important to emergency response [refer to programs. KDP-ZZ-00013], or could alter response time, staffing, or other items covered in the 16 Planning Standards or in Appendix E to Part 50.47(b) [refer to KDP-ZZ-00400],

APA-ZZ-00143 A170.0010

answer this question YES.

Page 1

CA2510 Rev. 005

APPLICABILITY DETERMINATION (Continued)						
5. IST Program Plan? (See 10 CFR 50.55a(f).)	⊠ NO □ YES	If YES, process APA-ZZ-00356 for ASME code compliance and related facility or procedure change programs.				
6. ISI Program Plan? (See 10 CFR 50.55a(g).)	⊠ NO □ YES	If YES, process per EDP-ZZ-01003 for ASME code compliance and related facility or procedure change programs.				
7. Fire Protection Program? (See Operating License Condition 2.C(5).)	⊠ NO □ YES	See FP Screening questions in EDP-ZZ-04044. If any of the screening questions are answered YES, a Fire Protection review is needed in accordance with EDP-ZZ-04044.				
8. Storage Cask Certificate of Compliance (CoC), including appendices? (See 10 CFR 72.244.)	⊠ NO □ YES	IF YES, then contact Regulatory Affairs/Licensing to determine next steps.				
 Does the proposed activity impact other programs (e.g., the ODCM) that are controlled by regulations, the Operating License (including Orders and License Conditions) or the Technical Specifications? (See Section 4.2.1 of the RM.) 	⊠ NO □ YES	If YES, indicate the applicable program in Brief Description Section.				
IV. Does the proposed activity involve:	Response	See Section 4.2.2 of the RM				
 Maintenance which restores SSCs to their original (as designed) condition? (See 10 CFR 50.65(a)(4).) Note: If the maintenance is on ISFSI or cask-related items, the Maintenance Rule does NOT apply, and the activity is subject to a 10 CFR 72.48 Screen on a CA3145. 	⊠ NO □ YES	If YES, process change per APA-ZZ-00101 for procedure changes or APA-ZZ-00320/330/340 for Maintenance activities.				
2. A temporary alteration (to procedures or the facility) supporting maintenance (TASM) that will be in effect during at-power operations for 90 days or less?	⊠ no □ yes	If YES, process per APA-ZZ-00101 for procedure changes or APA-ZZ-00605 for facility changes or Procedurally Controlled Temporary Modifications or MDP-ZZ-FS001 for Freeze Seals. Evaluate risk using APA-ZZ-00315, Appendix A.				

APA-ZZ-00143 A170.0010 Page 2

CA2510 Rev. 005

7.	Does the proposed activity involve a change to the:	Resp	alara de la companio	See Section 4.2.3 of the RM
	1. FSAR ⋈ NO ☐ YES If the above response is NO, check N/A in the Response column at right. If the above response is YES, does the proposed activity involve an FSAR change that may be excluded from the requirement to perform a 50.59 Scrcen/Evaluation by NEI 96-07 or NEI 98-03? (Provide a YES or NO response to this question in the Response column at right.) Note: In lieu of the exclusion criteria of RM Section 4.2.3, a YES response may also be provided if the FSAR change requires no further 50.59 review based on a YES response to the question in Section II of this Applicability Determination			Process the FSAR change per APA-ZZ-00108 and FDP-ZZ-00103 for revising License Documents. Note: A NO response in the Response column at left means that the FSAR/TS Bases change is one that requires further 50.59 review (i.e., is not excludable from further review). A YES response means that the FSAR does NOT require further 50.59 review.
	form.)	GHIHAN		Process the TS Bases change per
	2. Technical Specification Bases? ☑ NO ☐ YES If the above response is NO, check N/A in the Response column at right. If the above response is YES, does the proposed activity involve a TS Bases change comparable to that which may be excluded from the requirement to perform a 50.59 Screen/Evaluation by NEI 96−07 or NEI 98−03 (as described for an FSAR change)? (Provide a YES or NO response to this question in the Response column at right.) Note: In lieu of the exclusion criteria of RM Section 4.2.3, a YES response may also be provided if the TS Bases change requires no further 50.59 review based on a YES response to the question in Section II of this Applicability Determination form.)	□ NO	□ YES N/A	APA-ZZ-00108 and FDP-ZZ-00103 for revising License Documents. Note: A NO response in the Response column at left means that the TS Bases change is one that requires further 50.59 review (i.e., is not excludable from further review). A YES response means that the TS Bases Change does NOT require further 50.59 review.
VI.	Does the proposed activity involve a change to:	Res	oonse	See Section 4.2.4 / 4.2.6 of the RM.
	 Managerial or administrative procedures, controls, or activities (including software used only for the implementation of administrative procedures, controls, or activities) governing the conduct of facility operations (subject to the control of 10CFR50, Appendix B)? 	⊠ NO	YES	If YES, process per APA-ZZ-00101 or APA-ZZ-00109.
	Regulatory commitment not covered by another regulation based change process? (See NEI 99-04.)	⊠ NO	YES	If YES, process per APA-ZZ-00540.
/II.	Does the proposed activity notentially affect the design	ivity potentially affect the design, ance of the ISFSI facility as described in SAR or the 72.212 Report? (See FDPment 1 of APA-ZZ-00143.) If YES, perform APA-ZZ-00144 completely control to Section II. Note: Editorial or ad are excluded fin	If YES, perform a 72.48 Screen per APA-ZZ-00143 unless the change is completely covered by the response to Section II.	

APPLICABILITY DETERMINATION (Continued)

VIII. Justification/explanation for Response(s) (as needed):

The revision to EIP-ZZ-00240 ADD A includes changes that only improve the EC checklist to support EC implementation of their ERO position. This activity has no impact to other systems, structures or component functions. Therefore, this activity is controlled under 10CFR50.54(q) in its entirety as a Change to the RERP or Implementing Procedure and therefore 10CFR50.59 does not apply. TIF 14588 5-23-23

IX. Conclusion:

- All aspects of the activity are controlled by one or more of the procedures above, therefore a 50.59 Screen is not required.
- A portion of the activity is not controlled by one or more of the procedures above, therefore a 50.59 Screen is required.

X. Signoffs:

Qualified Preparer: Joseph Fortman

(Print name)

Qualified Reviewer: Imothy

Ensure that once signed, this record is loaded into EB with the Activity/Document Number listed above or submit this form to either the Regulatory Affairs clerk or another member of Administration in accordance with APA-ZZ-00220.

50.54(q) Screening Form						
Document #, Rev #, & Title: EIP-ZZ-00240 Addendum A Revision 008, Emergency Coordinator (EC) Checklist						
EP Tracking #: 2023021						
Activity Description and References:						
CR202302005						
Page 5 - Initiation, Step 4.b.1						
 Added new Step 1 to 4.b – 1) Discuss plant conditions that led to current EAL declarations Reason for change to ensure EC fully understand issue and has opportunity to provide ac on validity for prior EALs. 	Iditional	check				
Page 7 - Operations, Step 6						
 Added new Step 6 - If review of EALs identifies an EAL declaration was missed or was miss-class potential 1 hour report to the NRC may exist. Review EIP-ZZ-00101 Note prior to section 5.5 for composition of the Provides reminder for EC that potential NRC notifications could occur if errors are identified. 	details.					
Activity Scope:	YES	NO				
Does this activity revise the Radiological Emergency Response Plan (RERP) or Implementing Procedures?						
Change Type:	YES	NO-				
Is this activity editorial or typographical?		\boxtimes				
Previously Approved Change:	YES	NO				
Is this activity being processed to conform to a previously approved activity?		\boxtimes				
If YES, what is the previously approved activity?						
Planning Standard Determination:		THE PERSON NAMED IN				
Does this activity impact any of the 50.47(b) Planning Standards or Part 50 Appendix E:	YES	NO				
50.47(b)(1) - Assignment of Responsibility (Organization Control)?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(2) - Onsite Emergency Organization?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(3) - Emergency Response Support and Resources?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(4) - Emergency Classification System?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(5) - Notification Methods and Procedures?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(6) - Emergency Communications?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(7) - Public Education and Information?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(8) - Emergency Facility and Equipment?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(9) - Accident Assessment?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(10) - Protective Response?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(11) - Radiological Exposure Control?		\times				
50.47(b)(12) - Medical and Public Health Support?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(13) - Recovery Planning and Post Accident Operations?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(14) - Drills and Exercises?		\times				
50.47(b)(15) - Emergency Responder Training?		\boxtimes				
50.47(b)(16) - Emergency Plan Maintenance?		\boxtimes				
Part 50 Appendix E?		\boxtimes				
Commitment Impact Determination:	YES	NO				
Does this activity impact a Site Specific EP Commitment not associated with a Planning Standard or Element?		\boxtimes				
If "Yes", record the Commitment number:						
Explanation of Determination: (Enter an explanation to each determination-answer that needs clarification.) This change is associated with Planning Standards 50.47(b)(4) Emergency Classification and 50.47(b)(6) Emergency Communication. However, the clarification around the Shift Manager briefing on plant events and the reminder of a potential 1 hour notification to the NRC has no impact on the EROs ability to implement the regulatory requirements of those planning standards. A 50.54(q) evaluation is not required.						

50.54(q) Screening Form					
Screening Evaluation Results: Based on the results of the information above, can the activity be implemented without performing a YES NO					
full 50.54(q) Effectiveness Evaluation?					
Review/Approval:					
Preparer (Sign/PIN):	Date: 5-23-23				
Reviewer (Sign/PIN):	Date: 5-23-23 Date: 5-23-23 Date: 5/24/2023				
Reviewer men bedyumlified Emergency Response Coordinator.	, ,				
Emergency Preparedness Manager (Sign/PIN): 16397	Date: 5/24/2023				
	ALL COLORS				