

From: [Boyle, Rick \(PHMSA\)](#)
To: [Adrian Rony](#)
Cc: [Sumrall, Matthew \(PHMSA\)](#); [Norma Garcia Santos](#); [Bernie White](#); [Pierre Saverot](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Teleconference to discuss package design applications
Date: Friday, January 27, 2023 11:24:27 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Good morning Adrian,

I was planning to set up a conference call with you this week to discuss your applications for the JRF and NT-IV package designs but time got away from me. Below is a list of questions that would serve as the agenda for the meeting. As NRC would like to participate, is it possible for you to suggest available times for a teleconference to be held early next week? Thank you for your time and attention.

For the JRF Package:

- 1) Provide the date in which the applicant has a shipment scheduled using this package or the date in which a revalidation recommendation for the JRF package is needed. This information will be helpful for planning and managing staff's workload.
- 2) Provide an affidavit listing the documents and enclosures that are considered proprietary by the applicant.

The affidavit only mentions one document considered as proprietary (i.e., Enclosure 1, North American Version of the Safety Analysis for JRF), which does not seem to be accurate, since the submittal to DOT includes 7 documents in English (without counting DOT's cover letter) and more than one document may be considered proprietary by the applicant.

- 3) Clarify if documents Nos. 4 and No. 6 are considered proprietary by the applicant. If this is the case, submit an affidavit stating the documents submitted to DOT as part of the application for the JFR-90Y-950K package that are considered proprietary.

If document No. 6 is considered proprietary by the applicant, provide an affidavit including the document and send a public version of the document. Document No. 6 is not included in the affidavit provided with the application for the JFR-90Y-950K package. It is not clear if the entire document or portions of it may be considered proprietary by the applicant.

Also, NRC usually, when possible, ask for a public version of an application and related to documents to keep the public informed about the licensing actions submitted for review.

- 4) Provide documents submitted as part of the application must be fully translated to English. The following are some examples of text that need to be translated:

- a) Revision Comparison Table for the Type JRF-90Y-950K SAR, Figures in section D.
 - b) Revision Comparison Table for the Type JRF-90Y-950K SAR, a portion of the table of contents.
- 5) Clarify the following:
- a) Since the application provides a comparison of the 2017 and 2022 versions of the SAR with the 2022, does this application include any of the changes in the revalidation recommendation issued in April 2022 for this package
 - b) Do the text highlighted in gray and the text in blue boxes in different documents correspond to the changes to the SAR from the 2017 version?

There are instances in which there is a phrase “Modifications reflecting the changes to the contents” on the right-hand side of the page, but there is no text highlighted or marked as a change. It will be more efficient for the review to consistently mark/identify the proposed changes in the documents submitted in the application, including the SAR.

For the NT-IV Package:

1. What is different since 2010 (contents, design, operation/maintenance)?
2. What prompted change?
3. What contents do you plan to transport?
4. When do you plan to begin making shipments?

Rick Boyle

Chief, Radioactive Materials Transport

US Department of Transportation

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, 20590

Office: 202.366.2993 ◊ Mobile: 202.697.1301

[PHMSA Home](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [HAZMAT](#) | [OPS](#)



Know what's below.
Call before you dig.

