

# ADAMS Template: SECY-067

DOCUMENT DATE: 04/25/1969

TITLE: PR-002 - 34FR06931 - INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM  
APPLICANTS AND LICENSEES RE: SAFEGUARDS AND  
PHYSICAL SECURITY MEASURES

CASE REFERENCE: PR-002  
34FR06931

KEY WORD: RULEMAKING COMMENTS

Document Sensitivity: Non-sensitive - SUNSI Review Complete

**GENERAL ELECTRIC**  
COMPANY

175 CURTNER AVE., SAN JOSE, CALIF. 95125 . . AREA CODE 408, TEL. 297-3000, TWX NO. 910-338-0116

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROPOSED RULE **PR-2**

*Info Received from Applicants  
& Licenses re Safeguards &  
NUCLEAR ENERGY Physical  
Security Measures*

DIVISION


Reactor Fuels and  
Reprocessing Department

June 23, 1969

U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Secretary  
Washington, D. C. 20545

Attention: Chief - Public Proceedings Branch

Subject: Comments on Proposed Changes to  
10CFR Pt. 2 34FR6931



Gentlemen:

We have reviewed the subject notice of proposed rule-making regarding Safeguards and Physical Security Measures for Nuclear Facilities and have no comments regarding the proposed changes to paragraph 2.790.

However, in the Statement of Policy accompanying the subject notice, the third paragraph speaks of detailed information being regarded as "confidential" in nature. It is not clear from the subject notice whether the use of the word "confidential" denotes confidential as applied to business proprietary information or confidential as used in denoting classified security data. We are assuming that the word "confidential" refers to business confidential or business proprietary material and treatment as same rather than as confidential classified security data. However, it appears that this should be clarified in the subject Statement of Policy.

Very truly yours,

C. W. Smith  
Manager - Licensing & Transportation  
Mail Code 143

CWS:cp

cc: H. E. Bose

*Acknowledged by user 6/27/69.ora*