

DETROIT MEDICAL CENTER / WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY



May 19, 2023

EA-22-135

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C.20555-001

NRC Region III Office 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532

Re: Response to the Apparent Violations in Inspection Report No. 03002045/2021001(DRSS); EA-22-135 for VHS Harper-Hutzel Hospital, Inc.

To Whom it May Concern,

This letter is in response to the letter from the agency dated April 20, 2023, with Document Number EA-22-135, License number 21-04127-02, regarding the inspection conducted on March 1, 2021, and the additional in office review through March 7, 2023 at the Detroit, Michigan facility. Please find our responses to each violation below.

- During our inspection, it was found that the failure of the licensee's procedure to provide high confidence that administrations of Y-90 microspheres were in accordance with the written directive, due to (1) inadequate verification that administrations of Y-90 microspheres were in accordance with the treatment plan and written directive; and (2) inadequate determination of whether a medical event had occurred, is an apparent violation of 10 CFR 35.41(a)(2).
 - The reason for the violation was that the pre- and post-treatment surveys to determine the residual fraction of the Y-90 microsphere dose was being done using a Bicron RSO-5 ionization chamber that was not sufficiently sensitive to measure less than 0.1 mR per hour. In addition, the effect of the background radiation where the surveys were performed was not taken into account. This only affected the rare cases where very small amounts of Y-90 microspheres were prescribed with the result that the residual fraction of the administered dose was apparently overestimated.
 - The corrective steps that have been taken include (1) the purchase and subsequent use of a new ionization chamber (Ludlum Model 9DP) sensitive enough to be in full compliance, and (2) modification of the Written Directive to include documentation of the residual fraction, delivered activity, and percent of the prescribed dose administered (copy of modified Written Directive attached).
 - These corrective steps will allow a more precise verification that the
 administration of Y-90 microspheres was in accordance with the treatment plan
 and written directive and allow documented verification by the authorized user to
 determine whether a medical event has occurred.

- The Written Directive was modified on March 2, 2021, the day after the date of inspection. The date when full compliance was achieved (with the purchase of the new ionization chamber and its subsequent use) was 5-10-2023.
- During our inspection, it was found that the failure to monitor occupational exposure
 to radiation from licensed and unlicensed radiation sources under its control that
 were received by an individual who was likely to receive, in 1 year from sources
 external to the body, a deep dose in excess of 10 percent of the limits in 10 CFR
 20.1201(a) and was therefore required to use individual monitoring devices is an
 apparent violation of 10 CFR 20.1502(a)(1).
 - The reason for the violation was that the primary individual (interventional radiologist) involved in performing Y-90 microsphere administrations worked at both Harper Hospital and another hospital and there was confusion as to which set of dosimetry badges should be worn. There was also insufficient monitoring as to when dosimetry badges were worn or not in the interventional radiology rooms.
 - The corrective steps that have been taken include (1) appointment of a specified individual to ascertain that dosimetry badges are correctly distributed to personnel and stored in easily accessible locations for their use, and then correctly returned to Landauer for evaluation, (2) addition to the "time-out" procedure for interventional radiology cases to require that all personnel are wearing their dosimetry badges before the procedure begins, (3) delegation of quarterly monitoring and reporting of dosimetry by Landauer, and (4) discussion with the AU in question regarding the importance of wearing his individual monitoring device (dosimetry badge) and wearing it correctly when RAM or radiation generating equipment is used.
 - The date when full compliance was achieved was 5/15/2023.

Thank you for reviewing our response. We are happy to respond to any further requests.

Sincerely,

Richard Joyrich, M.D.

Chair, Radiation Safety Committee

VHS Harper-Hutzel Hospital

3990 John R Street Detroit, MI 48201

Brady Dubois

CEO, Adult Central Campus Detroit Medical Center 4201 St. Antoine Street

Detroit, MI 48201

DMC

Harper University Hospital	DE	PARTME	ENT of NU	CLEAR MEDICIN r Y90 TheraSphe	IE	nt Sticker	
PATIENT INFORM	ATION:	TEN DIK	ECHVE FO	K 190 THERASPHE	RES		
Name:				Procedure Da	nte:	The second secon	
DOB:						Total Control of the State of	
Allergies:		_Weight: _	k				
Prescribed Dose :	and Administ	tration:					
Radiopharmaceutical	Dose	Route of Administrati	Assayed Dose	Technologist Signature	Date/Time:	Verifying Technologist Signature	Date/Time:
⁹⁰ Y TheraSphere	GBq		GBq				
Signature of Author Dosage and Radiop Company:	harmaceutical	l Ordered:			ate:		
Company Contact F							
Calculated Deliver					3.500		(T) / T (M + (T) +
Residual Activity = $\frac{\text{Average Fir}}{\text{Initial S}}$	nal Surface Reading = Surface Reading	Marrie - contribute de caso sido casa	=	R Deinered Activity = Assey	ed Activity *(1-R)=	GE	Bq
		% of	prescribed (dose delivered:			
Signature of Autho	orized User (I	rescribe	r):		Date:	Time:	
ent Identifier (Initial to	confirm)	Teo		Verifying N	leg. Pregnand	cy confirmed by BHCG	i: Yes
atient name announce inst requisition/prescri ctive			TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER	L	MP or Hyster	ectomy Date:	
atient birth date anno nst requisition/prescri ctive	unced and veril ption/Written	fied			: 10 II Vincenta s a	ure:	

Patient Identifier (Initial to confirm)	Technologist	Verifying Personnel
Patient name announced and verified against requisition/prescription/Written Directive		
Patient birth date announced and verified against requisition/prescription/Written Directive		
Patient wristband compared to requisition/prescription/ Written Directive and 1) and 2)		
Correct procedure verified		

LMP or Hysterectomy Da	radioae dado • y sego replantado notable — la replantada
Breastfeeding: Yes	No
Patient Signature:	

Ryan Craffey

From: Joyrich, Richard <RJoyrich@dmc.org>
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2023 10:36 AM
To: Rhex Edwards; Ryan Craffey

Subject: [External_Sender] Responses to Apparent Violations

Attachments: NRC Response (1)-signed.pdf; NRC Response (2)-signed.pdf

To Whom It May Concern,

Attached are our two responses to the Apparent Violations in Inspection Report No. 03002045/2021001(DRSS); EA-22-135

The first response is for Enclosure 1 of the letter we received from you and the second one (marked OFFICIAL USE ONLY) is for Enclosure 2.

Please contact me for any needed further information.

Thank you,

Richard N. Joyrich, MD Chair, Radiation Safety Committee VHS Harper-Hutzel Hospital 3990 John R Street Detroit, MI 48201

This message (including any attachments) is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the message (including any attachments) and notify the originator that you received the message in error. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifies and with authority, states them to be the views of Tenet Healthcare Corporation.