SUNSI Review Complete
Template=ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Tam Tran, Antoinette Walker-Smith, Ted Smith, Mary Neely
Comment (1714)
Publication Date: 12/13/2022
Citation: 87 FR 76219

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: April 05, 2023
Received: January 23, 2023
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. ld9-9i0f-htjo
Comments Due: January 30, 2023
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2022-0183
Vistra Operations Company LLC Comanche Peak Power Company LLC Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2

Comment On: NRC-2022-0183-0003
Notice of Intent To Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement; Vistra Operations Company LLC; Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2

Document: NRC-2022-0183-DRAFT-1714
Comment on FR Doc # 2022-27025

Submitter Information

Name: Payton Fletcher
Address:
Granbury, TX, 76048
Email: Payton@PaytonFletcher.com
Phone: 214-437-1563

General Comment

Dear NRC:

Don’t extend aging Comanche Peak nuclear licenses without allowing for additional research and verification of the requirement for these production facilities and the safety of their continued operation.

I urge you to reject the application by Vistra to extend the operating licenses for the Comanche Peak nuclear power reactors for an additional 20 years. To protect public health, safety, security, and financial health, the reactors should retire as licensed, on or before 2030 and 2033.

I request that in-person scoping meetings be held in Texas, as originally planned, when Covid risks subside. I request that a hearing opportunity be allowed for those seeking to intervene and that the deadline for requesting a hearing be postponed for 90 days past the current January 30 deadline.

Both an afternoon and evening public meeting were scheduled, but then postponed at the last moment, without adequate public notice. Four hours of in-person meeting time were reduced to only two hours online. There is now no evening opportunity to participate for people who work in the day, and not everyone can access online meetings. There will be no exhibits or opportunity to speak directly with NRC staff.

As is clear from the number of recent comments and the lack of earlier comments - information about this request was not publicly disseminated in a timely manner. It is the duty of the NRC to insure the population of the surrounding area are made aware of what is proposed its benefits and risks. This has not been done.

I am concerned about the increased safety and financial risks that would result from allowing another 20 years of Comanche Peak nuclear reactor operation. Risks from stress corrosion cracking, metal fatigue and embrittlement would all increase, and thereby increase accident risks and the potential for radiation releases. There are 7.76 million residents in nearby Dallas/Ft. Worth. Public health and safety should come first in this decision.

During construction a number if serious irregularities occurred and these have not been made public or followed up on recently.

Scoping should consider that there is no need for 20 more years of nuclear power. Renewable solar and wind generation are the most affordable and safest way to meet energy needs. Texas has abundant renewable resources to tap and a grid to move the power as needed.

Seismic concerns of both the reactors and the Squaw Creek Reservoir need to be re-examined in light of recent earthquake activity. Comanche Peak is within the Barnett Shale, a region with extensive fracking and numerous injection wells. There are fault lines in the area and a magnitude 3 earthquake had its epicenter only 20 miles from the site. The reservoir water contains radioactive tritium, and the integrity of the earthen dam must be included in the scoping, including the extent of inspections and past and anticipated impacts to the dam from seismic activity.

Please extend the deadline for intervention and host in-person meetings and hearings.