



Weapons Safety Assessment

Template

Chapters 3 and 4

AVAILABILITY OF REFERENCE MATERIALS IN NRC PUBLICATIONS

NRC Reference Material

As of November 1999, you may electronically access NUREG-series publications and other NRC records at the NRC's Library at www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html. Publicly released records include, to name a few, NUREG-series publications; *Federal Register* notices; applicant, licensee, and vendor documents and correspondence; NRC correspondence and internal memoranda; bulletins and information notices; inspection and investigative reports; licensee event reports; and Commission papers and their attachments.

NRC publications in the NUREG series, NRC regulations, and Title 10, "Energy," in the *Code of Federal Regulations* may also be purchased from one of these two sources:

1. The Superintendent of Documents

U.S. Government Publishing Office
Washington, DC 20402-0001
Internet: <https://bookstore.gpo.gov/>
Telephone: (202) 512-1800
Fax: (202) 512-2104

2. The National Technical Information Service

5301 Shawnee Road
Alexandria, VA 22312-0002
Internet: <https://www.ntis.gov/>
1-800-553-6847 or, locally, (703) 605-6000

A single copy of each NRC draft report for comment is available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request as follows:

Address: **U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission**
Office of Administration
Digital Communications and Administrative
Services Branch
Washington, DC 20555-0001
E-mail: Reproduction.Resource@nrc.gov
Facsimile: (301) 415-2289

Some publications in the NUREG series that are posted at the NRC's Web site address www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs are updated periodically and may differ from the last printed version. Although references to material found on a Web site bear the date the material was accessed, the material available on the date cited may subsequently be removed from the site.

Non-NRC Reference Material

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal articles, transactions, *Federal Register* notices, Federal and State legislation, and congressional reports. Such documents as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings may be purchased from their sponsoring organization.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at—

The NRC Technical Library

Two White Flint North
11545 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

These standards are available in the library for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from—

American National Standards Institute

11 West 42nd Street
New York, NY 10036-8002
Internet: www.ansi.org
(212) 642-4900

Legally binding regulatory requirements are stated only in laws; NRC regulations; licenses, including technical specifications; or orders, not in NUREG-series publications. The views expressed in contractor prepared publications in this series are not necessarily those of the NRC.

The NUREG series comprises (1) technical and administrative reports and books prepared by the staff (NUREG-XXXX) or agency contractors (NUREG/CR-XXXX), (2) proceedings of conferences (NUREG/CP-XXXX), (3) reports resulting from international agreements (NUREG/IA-XXXX), (4) brochures (NUREG/BR-XXXX), and (5) compilations of legal decisions and orders of the Commission and the Atomic and Safety Licensing Boards and of Directors' decisions under Section 2.206 of the NRC's regulations (NUREG-0750), (6) Knowledge Management prepared by NRC staff or agency contractors (NUREG/KM-XXXX).

DISCLAIMER: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any employee, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed in this publication, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

Weapons Safety Assessment

Template

Chapters 3 and 4

Manuscript Completed: June 2022
Date Published: March 2023

Prepared by:
P. Brochman
H. Stone
D. Davis

P. Brochman, NRC Project Manager

ABSTRACT

The regulations of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) require an applicant for combined preemption authority and enhanced weapons authority to submit a Weapons Safety Assessment (WSA) as part of its application. This document sets forth a process that the NRC staff finds acceptable for use by an applicant in developing a WSA. The information in this document can be used by an applicant to evaluate the potential onsite and offsite safety hazards, safety impacts, or safety risks and any onsite security risks that could arise from the deployment and potential use of enhanced weapons (e.g., machine guns) as part of a licensee's protective strategy for defending against malevolent acts. Based on its assessment of these hazards, impacts, or risks, an applicant should identify preventive or mitigative measures that it intends to implement upon the deployment of enhanced weapons.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENT

This NUREG provides voluntary guidance for implementing the mandatory information collections in 10 CFR Part 73 that are subject to the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995* (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). These information collections were approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under control number 3150-0002. Send comments regarding these information collections to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T6-A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by email to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0002), Attn: Desk Officer for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503; email: oira_submission@omb.eop.gov.

PUBLIC PROTECTION NOTIFICATION

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS

The four volumes of this NUREG are available through the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>, under package Accession Number ML18115A418 and the Federal e-rulemaking website at <https://www.regulations.gov> under Docket IDs NRC-2011-0017 or NRC-2011-0018. The associated regulatory analysis regarding this NUREG may be found under ML19045A003. The associated draft guidance titled "USACE PDC NRC TR 06-10.1 to 10.3" may be found under package ML103190273. Annotated public comments on this draft guidance may be found under ML22287A158. The NRC's responses to the public comments on this draft guidance may be found under ML17123A319.

FOREWORD

This NUREG describes an approach that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) considers acceptable for use by licensees (hereafter referred to as an “applicant”) in developing a weapons safety assessment (WSA) when applying for combined preemption authority and enhanced weapons authority. The NRC’s regulations in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 73.15, “Authorization for use of enhanced weapons and preemption of firearms laws,” require a completed WSA as a component of an application for such authority. The purpose of a WSA is to evaluate the onsite and offsite risks associated with the deployment and potential use of a specific enhanced weapon and identify needed preventive or mitigative measures to address those risks.

Applicants may wish to, but are not required to, use this NUREG to complete a WSA. If an applicant elects to develop its own weapons safety assessment process, the NRC staff recommends an applicant review this NUREG for guidance on the types of information that should be addressed in a completed WSA.

Under 10 CFR 73.15(c), the Commission has designated the classes of facilities, radioactive material being transported, and other property that are eligible to apply for combined preemption authority and enhanced weapons authority. Only an applicant within the designated classes of licensed facilities and activities is eligible to apply for combined preemption authority and enhanced weapons authority. Under 10 CFR 73.15(f)(1)(i) and (f)(2)(iv) an applicant must also include a new weapons safety assessment for each type of proposed enhanced weapon. The NRC staff will evaluate an applicant’s WSA to: 1) determine if the potential risks associated with the use of a specific enhanced weapon have been properly identified and any necessary mitigative measures implemented; 2) take into account the risks and proposed mitigative measures; and 3) determine whether an applicant’s requested enhanced weapon in specific deployments is appropriate.

In addition to this NUREG, applicants should also refer to the NRC’s regulatory requirements in 10 CFR 73.15 and supporting guidance in Regulatory Guide (RG) 5.86, “Preemption Authority, Enhanced Weapons Authority, and Firearms Background Checks.” This RG includes information on the application process and requirements for possessing, transferring, transporting, and using authorized enhanced weapons.

This WSA NUREG document consists of four publicly available volumes. The contents of each volume are as follows:

- *Volume 1: Template Instructions*—This volume provides detailed instructions for an applicant’s use in completing a WSA Volume 2 template.
- *Volume 2: Template*—This volume provides a template an applicant may use for evaluating the potential onsite and offsite safety hazards, safety impacts, or safety risks that could arise from the use of specific enhanced weapons.
- *Volume 3: Review Criteria*—This volume describes the criteria that the NRC staff will use in evaluating a WSA developed using the Volume 2 template process in an application for combined preemption authority and enhanced weapons authority.
- *Volume 4: Sample Template*—This volume provides an example of a completed WSA using the Volume 2 template process at a hypothetical power reactor site. Consequently, this sample template is intended only as a tool and visual aid to an applicant.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
FOREWORD	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xv
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	xvii
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	xix
VOLUME ONE — TEMPLATE INSTRUCTIONS	
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1 Purpose.....	1-1
1.2 Background	1-1
1.3 Limitations	1-2
1.4 WSA NUREG Structure.....	1-2
1.5 WSA Process Overview.....	1-3
1.6 Sensitivity of Information.....	1-4
2 WSA TEMPLATE INSTRUCTIONS	2-1
2.1 General Information.....	2-2
2.2 Desired Weapon for Submission	2-4
2.3 Ammunition Selection and Weapon Use.....	2-5
2.4 Weapons Deployment and Training.....	2-6
2.5 Map Information.....	2-9
2.6 Initial Area Danger Ring.....	2-10
2.7 Property Boundary Assessment and Encroachment Issues.....	2-12
2.8 Risk Identification, Evaluation, and Mitigation	2-16
2.9 Mitigated Area Danger Ring	2-25
2.10 Training and Weapon Maintenance	2-28
2.11 Risk Acceptability	2-29
2.12 Summary of Risk Identification, Evaluation, and Mitigation	2-30
VOLUME TWO — TEMPLATE	
3 APPLICANT INFORMATION.....	3-1
3.1 Facility Background Information.....	3-2
3.2 Transportation Activity Background Information.....	3-2
3.3 Information That Is Not Applicable.....	3-2
4 FILLABLE TEMPLATE.....	4-1
4.1 General Information.....	4-1

4.2	Desired Weapon for Submission	4-2
4.3	Ammunition Selection and Weapon Use.....	4-2
4.4	Weapons Deployment and Training.....	4-3
4.5	Map Information.....	4-4
4.6	Initial Area Danger Ring.....	4-4
4.7	Property Boundary Assessment and Encroachment Issues.....	4-4
4.8	Risk Identification, Evaluation, and Mitigation	4-5
4.9	Mitigated Area Danger Ring	4-25
4.10	Training and Weapon Maintenance	4-26
4.11	Risk Acceptability	4-26
4.12	Summary of Risk Identification, Evaluation, and Mitigation	4-26

VOLUME THREE — REVIEW CRITERIA

5	REVIEW CRITERIA INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.1	Purpose.....	5-1
5.2	Background	5-1
5.3	Sensitivity of Information.....	5-1
5.4	WSA Review Process Overview.....	5-2
5.5	General Notes	5-3
6	REVIEW PROCESS	6-1
6.1	General Information.....	6-1
6.2	Desired Weapons	6-2
6.3	Ammunition for Selected Weapon	6-5
6.4	Weapons Deployment and Training.....	6-7
6.5	Map Information.....	6-10
6.6	Initial Area Danger Ring.....	6-10
6.7	Property Boundary Assessment	6-11
6.8	Risk Identification, Evaluation, and Mitigation	6-12
6.9	Mitigated Area Danger Ring Map.....	6-15
6.10	Training and Weapon Maintenance	6-16
6.11	Risk Acceptability	6-17
6.12	Review Recommendation.....	6-18

VOLUME FOUR — SAMPLE TEMPLATE

7	APPLICATION EXAMPLE	7-1
7.1	Applicant Information.....	7-1
8	SAMPLE TEMPLATE.....	8-1
8.1	General Information.....	8-1
8.2	Desired Weapon.....	8-2
8.3	Ammunition Used	8-2
8.4	Weapon Deployment and Training	8-3
8.5	Map Information.....	8-4
8.6	Initial Area Danger Ring.....	8-4
8.7	Property Boundary Assessment and Encroachment Issues.....	8-5
8.8	Risk Identification, Evaluation and Mitigation	8-6
8.9	Mitigated Area Danger Ring	8-30
8.10	Training and Weapon Maintenance	8-31

8.11 Risk Acceptability	8-32
8.12 Summary of Risk Identification, Evaluation, and Mitigation	8-32
9 REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY	9-1
9.1 References	9-1
9.2 Bibliography.....	9-2
APPENDIX A AREA DANGER RINGS.....	A-1
A.1 Introduction	A-1
A.2 Firing from Fixed or Designated Position(s).....	A-1
A.3 Firing from Variable Positions.....	A-3
A.4 Mitigated Area Danger Rings	A-6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	Example of Question 27 Checkbox for Types of Ammunition Used.....	2-5
Figure 2-2	Example of Question 28-32 Weapon Deployment and Training	2-9
Figure 2-3	Example IADR for a Single Firing Point at a Hypothetical Facility	2-12
Figure 2-4	Example of Question 34 Quantifying Property Boundary Assessment and Encroachment Issues	2-15
Figure 2-5	Sample Portion of Discussion Items for Item 36.....	2-20
Figure 2-6	Sample Portion of Discussion Items for Item 37	2-21
Figure 2-7	Sample Portion of Discussion Items for Item 38.....	2-23
Figure 2-8	Sample Portion of Discussion Items for Item 39.....	2-24
Figure 2-9	Sample Portion of Discussion Items for Item 40.....	2-25
Figure 2-10	MADR with Series of Cones at a Hypothetical Facility (satellite photo)	2-27
Figure 2-11	MADR Map for a Single Firing Point at a Hypothetical Facility	2-28
Figure 5-1	Sample WSA Review Summary.....	5-4
Figure 6-1	Maximum Ammunition Ranges for NATO Standard Ammunition for a Hypothetical Facility (Ball Type).....	6-11
Figure A-1	IADR for Single Fixed Firing Position	A-1
Figure A-2	IADR for Multiple Fixed Firing Positions Resulting in Separate ADRs	A-2
Figure A-3	Overlapping IADR for Multiple Fixed Firing Positions	A-2
Figure A-4	Simplified Overlapping IADR for Multiple Fixed Firing Positions.....	A-3
Figure A-5	ADR for Firing along a Line.....	A-4
Figure A-6	ADR for Firing along a Perimeter	A-4
Figure A-7	ADR for Firing within a Perimeter.....	A-5
Figure A-8	IADR for Weapon(s) Used throughout a Site.....	A-6
Figure A-9	Mitigated Area Danger Rings	A-7

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Likelihood of Strike Identification (Risk Item).....	2-17
Table 2-2	Anticipated Consequence and Collateral Damage if Risk Item Is Hit.....	2-18
Table 2-3	Impact to the Community from Chemical or Petroleum Hit.....	2-18
Table 2-4	Input and Associated Risk Levels Relationship.....	2-19
Table 2-5	Risk Level Description.....	2-19
Table 2-6	Sample Hazardous Risk Portion of Input Table.....	2-20
Table 2-7	Sample Key Facilities inside the PA Portion of Input Table.....	2-21
Table 2-8	Sample Key Facilities outside the PA Portion of Input Table.....	2-22
Table 2-9	Sample Key Facilities outside the Property Boundaries Portion of Input Table.....	2-23
Table 2-10	Sample Critical Asset Items outside the Property Boundaries Portion of Input Table.....	2-25
Table 5-1	Suggested Interpretation of Sum of Hazard Ratings.....	5-3
Table 6-1	General Information Items.....	6-1
Table 6-2	Desired Weapon Item List.....	6-2
Table 6-3	Suggested Weapon Hazard Ratings.....	6-5
Table 6-4	Ammunition for Selected Weapon Item List.....	6-5
Table 6-5	Suggested Ammunition Type Hazard Ratings.....	6-7
Table 6-6	Weapons Deployment and Training.....	6-7
Table 6-7	Map Information Item List.....	6-10
Table 6-8	Initial Area Danger Ring Item List.....	6-10
Table 6-9	Property Boundary Assessment Item List.....	6-11
Table 6-10	Suggested Percentage Encroachment Hazard Ratings.....	6-12
Table 6-11	Risk Identification Item List.....	6-12
Table 6-12	Suggested Hazard Ratings for Hazardous (Reactivity, Flammability, and Health) Risks in the ADR, Key Facilities/Areas Inside the PA, and Key Facilities/Areas Outside the PA but on the Facility's Property (Items 36, 37, and 38).....	6-14
Table 6-13	Suggested Ratings for Key Facilities/Areas and Critical Asset Items outside the Property Boundaries (Items 39 and 40).....	6-14
Table 6-14	Suggested Mitigation Hazard Ratings.....	6-14
Table 6-15	MADR Items List.....	6-15
Table 6-16	Population Density Table.....	6-15
Table 6-17	Training and Weapon Maintenance Items.....	6-16
Table 6-18	Risk Acceptability Items List.....	6-17

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The NRC staff wishes to acknowledge the significant contribution from the staff of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Protective Design Center in Omaha, Nebraska (D. Nebuda, E. Johansen, and M. Tomanek) in the development of the WSA concept and this NUREG document. Additionally, R. Ward & Associates, Inc., supported these efforts of the USACE staff.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AAHs	armored attack helicopters
ACP	Automatic Colt Pistol
ADR	area danger ring
AP	armor piercing
ATF	Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives
BMG	Browning Machine Gun
cal	caliber
CFR	<i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
CQBR	Close Quarters Battle Receiver
CQC	Close Quarters Combat
CRISAT	Collaborative Research into Small Arms Technology
DA	Department of the Army
DBT	design-basis threat
DEA	U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency
DG	design guide
DODIC	Department of Defense Identification Code
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOS	Day Optic Sight
DWM	Deutsche Waffen und Munitionsfabriken (German weapons manufacturer)
ETL	engineering technical letter
FBI	Federal Bureau of Investigation
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FLETC	Federal Law Enforcement Training Center
FM	field manual
FMJ	Full Metal Jacket
FMJBT	Full Metal Jacket Boat Tail
FN	Fabrique Nationale or Five-seven
FPS	feet per second
FY	fiscal year
HB	heavy barrel (machine gun)
HB	Brinell hardness; pertains to armor plating (sometimes designated as HBW, BN, or BHN)
H&K	Heckler & Koch
HPT	high-pressure test
IADR	initial area danger ring
IR	Items at Risk
MADR	mitigated area danger ring
MK	Mark
mm	millimeter
MP	machine pistol

MRBF	mean rounds between failures
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NVDs	night vision devices
PA	protected area
PDC	Protective Design Center of USACE
POC	point of contact
QD	quick detach
RG	regulatory guide
RHA	rolled homogeneous armor
ROWS	remotely operated weapon system
RPM	rounds per minute
SAAMI	Sporting Arms and Ammunition Manufacturers' Institute
SAS	Special Air Service, the principal Special Forces organization of the British Army
SAW	Squad Automatic Weapon
SCAR	SOF Combat Assault Rifle
SCAR-H	SCAR Heavy
SCAR-L	SCAR Light
SDZ	Surface Danger Zone
SLAP	Saboted Light Armor Penetrator
SLAP-T	Saboted Light Armor Penetrator-Tracer
SMG	submachine gun
SOF	Special Operations Forces
SPR	special purpose rifle
SRTA	Short Range Training Ammunition
STANAG	NATO abbreviation for Standardization Agreement
SUA	special use airspace
SV	Sniper Version or Sniper Variant
TM	technical manual
UCP	Ultimate Combat Pistol
UMP	Universal Machinen-Pistole = Universal Submachine Gun
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S.C.	<i>United States Code</i>
USMC	U.S. Marine Corps
Win Mag	Winchester Magnum
WSA	Weapons Safety Assessment

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Area Danger Ring (ADR) (not to be confused with surface danger zones)

Initial (IADR)

An encompassed area that represents the worst-case scenario of a fired round of ammunition's potential range (i.e., maximum range without considering any physical limitations on the flight of a round).

Mitigated (MADR)

An encompassed area that represents a fired round of ammunition's potential range (i.e., maximum range considering any physical limitations on the flight of a round) with mitigative measures in place to reduce the potential range or effect of the round.

Blowback

A system in which automatic or semiautomatic firearms operate through the energy created by combustion in the chamber and bore acting directly on the bolt face through the cartridge. Other operating systems are recoil operation, gas-actuated, Gatling, and chain.

Blowback System

A system in which there is no positive lock between the bolt and the barrel. The mass of the bolt and force of its recoil spring act to keep the breech closed. The expanding gases from the fired round overcome this inertia and "blow back" the breech. The breech must be kept closed until the round has left the barrel and gas pressures have subsided.

Breech Block

The block in breech-loading firearms that closes the rear of the barrel against the force of the charge and prevents gases from escaping.

Brinell Hardness (HB)

The hardness of a metal or alloy measured by hydraulically pressing a hard ball under a standard load into the specimen. Brinell hardness may also be designated as HBW, BN, or BHN.

Cannelure

(1) Ring-like groove in the jacket of a bullet, which provides a means of securely crimping the cartridge case to the bullet, analogous to the crimping groove in artillery ammunition. (2) Ring-like groove for locking the jacket of an armor piercing bullet to the core. (3) Ring-like groove in the rotating band of a gun projectile to lessen the resistance offered to the gun rifling. (4) Ring-like groove around the base of a cartridge case where the extractor takes hold. (5) Ring-like groove cut into the outside surface of a water-cooled machine gun barrel into which packing is placed to prevent the escape of water from the breech end of the water jacket.

Collaborative Research into Small Arms Technology (CRISAT)

The NATO standard in the manufacture of military equipment. The CRISAT Target is defined as a 1.6-millimeter titanium plate (UK IMI Ti 318) supplementing 20 layers of Kevlar (UK/SC/4468) as defined in STANAG Agreement 4512. Weapons are measured against this standard in respect to their ability to penetrate, and protective equipment is manufactured to adhere to it.

Designated Firing Position

A designated firing position predetermined by the security operating procedures. These positions can be redeployable based on the security strategy.

Enhanced Weapons

As defined in 10 CFR 73.2(b),¹ enhanced weapons are “short-barreled shotguns,” “short-barreled rifles,” and “machine guns.” These terms have the same meaning as defined in ATF regulations under 27 CFR 478.11.² Enhanced weapons do not include destructive devices as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(4).³

Fixed Firing Position

A firing position where the weapon is fired only from a fixed mount; may include multiple fixed positions from which the weapon can be moved to another fixed mount.

Foot-Pound

A unit of work equal to the work done by a force of 1 pound acting through a distance of 1 foot in the direction of the force.

Frangible

Capable of being broken; breakable. Frangible, or “soft,” rounds are designed to break apart when they hit walls or other hard surfaces to prevent ricochets during close-quarters combat. Also known as the Advanced Energy Transfer (AET) round.

Handgun

Any firearm including a pistol or revolver designed to be fired by the use of a single hand. The term also includes any combination of parts from which a handgun can be assembled. See 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(29).

Joule

A unit of work or energy equal to the work done by a force of 1 newton acting through a distance of 1 meter.

Pintle

A usually upright pivot pin on which another part turns. The pin on which a gun carriage revolves.

Rolled Homogeneous Armor (RHA)

Armor having uniform composition and heat treatment throughout. RHA is frequently characterized as “hard” or “soft.” Homogeneous hard armor typically has a Brinell hardness in excess of 400 and is unmachinable, except with special tools. Homogeneous soft armor typically has a Brinell hardness of 350 or less and is machinable. RHA is sometimes referred to as “homogeneous rolled armor.”

Sabot

(1) A lightweight carrier in which a projectile of a smaller caliber is centered so as to permit firing the projectile within a larger caliber weapon. The carrier fills the bore of the weapon from

¹ 10 CFR 73.2, “Definitions.”

² 27 CFR 478.11, “Meaning of terms.”

³ Title 18 of the U.S. Code, “Crimes and Criminal Procedure”; Chapter 44, “Firearms”; § 921, “Definitions.”

which the projectile is fired; it is normally discarded a short distance from the muzzle. (2) A thrust-transmitting carrier that positions a missile in a gun barrel or launching tube and that prevents the escape of gas ahead of the missile. (3.) Aluminum body of a high-velocity, armor-piercing tracer projectile having a tungsten carbide core; in this case, the core may be considered as the subcaliber projectile.

Stray Round

Misdirected or accidental firing and ricochets.

3.1 Facility Background Information

For a facility-based application, the staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) recommends that an applicant include the following information:

Name of the Licensee:

Docket No.:

License No.:

Applicant Document No.:

3.2 Transportation Activity Background Information

For an application involving a transportation activity (e.g., the use of enhanced weapons to escort interstate shipments of spent nuclear fuel from one NRC-licensed facility to another NRC-licensed facility), the NRC staff recommends that an applicant include the following information:

Name of the Licensee:

Docket No.:

License No.:


Applicant Document No.:


Note: An applicant should refer to the responsible licensed shipping facility or receiving facility that will be responsible for providing security for the shipments, as appropriate, in completing Chapter 4 inputs.

3.3 Information That Is Not Applicable

An applicant should identify any sections of the weapons safety assessment (WSA) that were considered not applicable for a transportation activity application:

4 FILLABLE TEMPLATE

Holding the control key and clicking on an  symbol will open the instructions for the section.

 4-1 GENERAL INFORMATION	
1. Facility Name:	2. Submittal Date:
3. Physical Address:	4. Is this a resubmittal?
5. City, State, Zip: ,	
6. Facility Phone Number: ()	
7. Mailing Address:	
8. City, State, Zip: ,	
9. Mailing Address Phone Number: ()	
10. Applicant Point of Contact (POC):	
11. Position Title of Applicant POC:	
12. Work Phone No.:	()
13. Alternate Phone No.:	()
14. POC's E-Mail Address:	
15. Alternate POC:	
16. Position Title of Alternate POC:	
17. Work Phone No.:	()
18. Alternate Phone No.:	()
19. Alternate POC's E-Mail Address:	
20. Plant Manager:	
21. Work Phone No:	()
22. Alternate Phone No:	()
23. Plant Manager's E-Mail Address:	



4-2 DESIRED WEAPON FOR SUBMISSION

(A WSA is required for each enhanced weapon type desired. If multiple enhanced weapons are desired, a WSA must be submitted for each specific weapon.)

24. Select an enhanced weapon category:

(For weapons classified as both short-barreled shotgun and machine gun, select short-barreled shotgun. For weapons classified as both short-barreled rifle and machine gun, select short-barreled rifle. Weapons capable of either fully automatic or select-fire (burst) modes in addition to semiautomatic are classified as machine guns.)

25. Identify a manufacturer, model, and caliber/gauge representative of the weapon desired.

26. Enter the maximum range (meters):



4-3 AMMUNITION SELECTION AND WEAPON USED

NOTE: An applicant should consult the weapon manufacturer's documentation for recommended/acceptable ammunition for the selected weapon system.

27. Check all of the ammunition types below that are to be used with this weapon.

Type of Ammunition					
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ball	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tracer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Plastic (less lethal)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Armor Piercing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hollow Point	<input type="checkbox"/>	Frangible
<input type="checkbox"/>	Slug	<input type="checkbox"/>	Buckshot	<input type="checkbox"/>	Birdshot
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):				

4-4 WEAPON DEPLOYMENT AND TRAINING	
28. Check all types of deployment for the weapon. Check all that apply.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	The weapon will be used in a remotely operated weapon system (ROWS) from fixed position/s. (If checked, applicant <i>must</i> describe system in Item 31.)
<input type="checkbox"/>	The weapon will be fired from fixed position(s) (i.e., attached to pre-positioned mount or mounts).
<input type="checkbox"/>	The weapon will be used from a designated firing point/s (e.g., guard towers, roof tops, etc.).
<input type="checkbox"/>	The weapon will be used while patrolling the property (e.g., foot patrols, vehicle patrols, etc.).
<input type="checkbox"/>	The weapon will be used inside facility buildings (e.g., interior fighting position, checkpoints, patrols, etc.).
<input type="checkbox"/>	The weapon will be used only within a small defined area of the property.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The weapon will be used in many situations and areas of the property.
29. Additional description of weapon deployment: (Describe how and where this weapon will be used to implement the licensee's protective strategy. Include fixed positions or how the weapon will be carried, either by individuals or roving patrol (i.e., "locked in a rack" or "loaded with unchambered round," etc.). Also note if the weapon will be replacing a different caliber weapon.)	
30. Range Cards. Create a Standard Range Card for any enhanced weapon that is being used from a fixed position or designated firing position and attach the card to the end of the WSA. A Standard Range Card is not required for mobile positions but may be considered as appropriate. (See <i>WSA Reference Information volume for guidance; note that all manuals change periodically, and a Web search should be conducted to ensure that the latest version of a given manual is being used.</i>)	
31. ROWS Discussion: If a remotely operated weapons system will be combined with an enhanced weapon, then provide the following information: a. Describe how many ROWS will be in use at the facility. b. Describe where these ROWS will be placed. c. Describe where the ROWS will be controlled from (location). d. Describe how many ROWs each operator will control. e. Describe any restrictions on field of fire. f. Describe any steps taken or conditions of the site that avoid crossfire.	
32. Advanced Training. Select level of advanced training:	Attach supporting documentation describing the advanced training.

SECURITY BANNER HERE



4-5 MAP INFORMATION

Maps and other documents can be referred to or sent as electronic or paper attachments. An applicant is responsible for submitting all maps, facility diagrams, Standard Range Cards, and other materials used to determine encroachments, buffer zones, and mitigating measures, risk items, likelihoods, and consequences.

33. Provide any pertinent map comments or explanations:



4-6 INITIAL AREA DANGER RING

Create the initial area danger ring (IADR), following the instructions in Volume 1.

Depending on weapons desired, ammunition used, deployment, and site geometry, an IADR may be composed of multiple individual rings rather than a single continuous ring. Refer to Volume 4, Appendix A, for examples of constructing IADRs.



4-7 PROPERTY BOUNDARY ASSESSMENT AND ENCROACHMENT ISSUES

34. Enter the percentage of each type of boundary buffer or encroachment type that surrounds the facility. These percentages should total 100. Double-click on the Excel table below to input data. Click outside the table to close. Enable Macros if screen appears

Buffers	%	Buffers	%
River		Lake	
Ocean		Federal Property	
State Property		Farmland or Undeveloped Property	
Other Buffer			
Encroachments		Encroachments	
Residential Area		Light Industry	
Heavy Industry		Retail Property	
Recreational Areas		Other Encroachment	
		Total	0

Risk Level	1	Very Low
Percent Encroachment	0	

Please scroll to the next table. Do not "Tab."

35. Describe any pertinent information pertaining to property buffer or encroachment areas (i.e., describe the usage of any property owned by Federal, State, or local governments such as parks, recreation, military purposes). Describe natural barriers such as mountains, sloping terrain, manmade earthen berms, etc. An applicant should add to, or update, Item 35 as necessary while working through Items 36-40, as map comments may arise throughout the process of completing this template.



4-8 RISK IDENTIFICATION, EVALUATION, AND MITIGATION

Risk Items

Identify all risk items within the IADR. Assign each risk item a level of likelihood and consequence. Empty spaces are left in the tables for the addition of other risk items that are not already identified. Note: Items can be mitigated as a group if they are in close proximity to each other and have similar “likelihood and consequence.”

The NRC staff recommends as a good practice that an applicant’s initial risk identification involve multiple knowledgeable staff members from various elements of an applicant’s organization.

If the WSA relies on certain measures to mitigate risk items, then the NRC’s approval of the application may be conditioned upon implementation of these measures. The licensee will commit to these mitigation measures in their physical security plan. and These commitments will be subject to inspection by the NRC.

36. Hazardous (Reactivity, Flammability, and Health) Risks in the ADR

For evaluating risk items associated with chemicals and the potential release of chemical gases, fire, or explosions, first consider chemicals and fuels stored at the facility, but also consider that storage tanks 500–1,500 meters away can easily be punctured by some of the ammunitions listed in Section 2 of the WSA Reference Information volume. Consult a facility chemist or chemical engineer on the assessment of these chemicals. An applicant is responsible for determining the content of the table input and analyzing the risks.

Please scroll to the next table. Do not “Tab.”

SECURITY BANNER HERE

36. Hazardous (Reactivity, Flammability, and Health) Risks in the ADR.							
<i>(Double click on the table to input data. Click outside to close. Enable Macros if screen appears.)</i>							
Item ID	Risk Item	Inside ADR ¹		Likelihood of Strike	Consequence of Strike ²	Risk Level	
		Initial	Mitigated				
36a				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36b				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36c				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36d				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36e				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36f				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36g				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36h				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36i				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36j				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36k				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36l				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36m				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36n				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36o				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36p				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36q				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36r				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36s				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36t				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
36u				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
Input is complete.				Average Risk Level in MADR		0.00	
Enter factor for training mitigation (submit supporting documentation),						0.00	
Enhanced Training=0.25 / Specialized Training=0.50.							
						Mitigated Risk Level 0.00	
Enter mitigated risk level in section 2-10 summary table.							
1 - For each item inside the Initial ADR but outside Mitigated ADR explain mitigating circumstances in the corresponding discussion field.							
2 - If mitigating circumstances (e.g. risk item is buried, behind barrier that round being considered cannot penetrate, etc.) exist or are planned, explain in corresponding discussion area below.							

SECURITY BANNER HERE

36. Justify the likelihood and consequence levels for each risk item in the areas provided below. Select the type of mitigation for each risk item. Describe in detail the single or multiple mitigation steps taken to alleviate or lower the risk factor. The "Other Discussion" field can be used for any additional information supporting risk mitigation.			
36a. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36b. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36c. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36d. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36e. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36f. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36g. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36h. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE

36i. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36j. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36k. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36l. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36m. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36n. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36o. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36p. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36q. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE

36r. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36s. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36t. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
36u. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

37. Key Facilities/Areas Inside the PA
<p>For evaluating risk items associated with key facilities and areas inside the protected area (PA), consider risk items that are not always obvious (for example, a diesel generator that, if destroyed, would not be hazardous, but is a vital backup power source).</p> <p>Note: An applicant should include those systems, structures, components, and operator actions that, if unable to perform their required function, could lead to an accidental criticality, dispersal of special nuclear material, significant core damage, radiological sabotage, or dispersal of spent nuclear fuel (SNF). This section identifies key facilities or areas within the sectors of fire. All facilities should be identified, and risks associated with each should be categorized as pertaining to “Public Health and Safety” or “Business,” with consideration of how a risk item affects future plant operations. Refer to the instructions in Volume 1 for additional information.</p>

Please scroll to the next table. Do not “Tab.”

SECURITY BANNER HERE

37. Key Facilities/Areas Inside the PA <i>(Double click on the table to input data. Click outside table to close. Enable Macros if screen appears.)</i>									
Item ID	Risk Item ¹	Inside ADR ²		Likelihood of Strike	Consequence of Strike ³	Rated	Risk Level		
		Initial	Mitigated						
37a				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37b				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37c				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37d				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37e				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37f				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37g				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37h				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37i				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37j				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37k				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37l				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37m				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37n				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37o				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37p				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37q				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37r				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37s				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37t				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
37u				N/A	N/A		0	N/A	
Input is complete.				Average Risk Level in MADR			0.00		
Enter factor for training mitigation (submit supporting documentation), Enhanced Training=0.25 / Specialized Training=0.50.							0.00		
						Mitigated Risk Level	0.00		
Enter mitigated risk level in section 2-10 summary table.									
1 - Assume all buildings are un-occupied and include people that would normally be in these buildings in Questions 41 and 43.									
2 - For each item inside the Initial ADR but outside Mitigated ADR explain mitigating circumstances in the corresponding discussion field.									
3 - If mitigating circumstances (e.g. risk item is buried, behind barrier that round being considered cannot penetrate, etc.) exist or are planned, explain in corresponding discussion area below.									

SECURITY BANNER HERE

37. Justify the likelihood and consequence levels for each risk item in the areas provided below. Select the Type of Mitigation for each risk item. Describe in detail the single or multiple mitigation steps taken to alleviate or lower the risk factor. Use the "Other Discussion" field for any additional information supporting risk mitigation.			
37a. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37b. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37c. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37d. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37e. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37f. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37g. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37h. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE

37i. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37j. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37k. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37l. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37m. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37n. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37o. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37p. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37q. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE

37r. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37s. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37t. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
37u. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

38. Key Facilities/Areas outside the PA but on the Facility’s Property

Evaluate Risk Items associated with key facilities and areas outside the facility’s PA but within the facility’s site boundary. Consider Risk Items that are not always obvious; for example, a diesel generator that if destroyed would not be hazardous by itself, but that is a vital backup power source.

Note: An applicant should include those systems, structures, components, and operator actions that, if unable to perform their required function, could lead to an accidental criticality, dispersal of special nuclear material, significant core damage, radiological sabotage, or dispersal of spent nuclear fuel. This section identifies key facilities or areas within the sectors of fire outside the PA. All facilities should be identified and the risks associated with each should be categorized as pertaining to “Public Health and Safety” or “Business,” with consideration of how the risk item affects future plant operations. Refer to the instructions in Volume 1 for additional information.

Please scroll to the next table. Do not “Tab.”

SECURITY BANNER HERE

38. Key Facilities/Areas Outside the PA but on the Facility's Property (Double click on the table to input data. Click outside to close. Enable Macros if screen appears.)								
Item ID	Risk Item ¹	Inside ADR ²		Likelihood of Strike	Consequence of Strike ³	Rated	Risk Level	
		Initial	Mitigated					
38a				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38b				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38c				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38d				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38e				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38f				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38g				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38h				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38i				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38j				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38k				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38l				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38m				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38n				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38o				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38p				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38q				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38r				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38s				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38t				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
38u				N/A	N/A		0	N/A
Input is complete.				Average Risk Level in MADR			0.00	
Enter factor for training mitigation (submit supporting documentation),							0.00	
Enhanced Training=0.25 / Specialized Training=0.50.								
							Mitigated Risk Level 0.00	
Enter mitigated risk level in section 2-10 summary table.								
1 - Assume all buildings are un-occupied and include people that would normally be in these buildings in Questions 41 and 43.								
2 - For each item inside the Initial ADR but outside Mitigated ADR explain mitigating circumstances in the corresponding discussion field.								
3 - If mitigating circumstances (e.g. risk item is buried, behind barrier that round being considered cannot penetrate, etc.) exist or are planned, explain in corresponding discussion area below.								

38. Justify the likelihood and consequence levels for each risk item in the areas provided below. Select the type of mitigation for each risk item. Describe in detail the single or multiple mitigation steps taken to alleviate or lower the risk factor. Use the "Other Discussion" field for any additional information supporting risk mitigation.			
38a. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38b. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE

Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38c. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38d. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38e. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38f. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38g. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38h. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38i. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38j. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38k. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE

Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38l. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38m. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38n. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38o. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38p. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38q. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38r. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38s. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38t. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE

Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
38u. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

39. Key Facilities/Areas Outside the Property Boundaries

For evaluating risk items associated with key facilities outside an applicant's property boundaries, refer often to the IADR created in Section 2.6 of Volume 1, "Template Instructions." An applicant should create lists of structures, companies, shopping areas, and facilities within the IADR, and then discuss how a stray round may affect that item and if there are other barriers that would lessen the chance of a stray round reaching the item. This section identifies key facilities or areas within the sectors of fire. All facilities should be identified, and the risks associated with each should be categorized as pertaining to "Public Health and Safety" or "Business," with consideration of how the risk item affects future plant operations. Refer to the instructions in Volume 1 for additional information.

Please scroll to the next table. Do not "Tab."

SECURITY BANNER HERE

39. Key Facilities/Areas Outside the Property Boundaries							
<i>(Double click on the table to input data. Click outside to close. Enable Macros if screen appears.)</i>							
Item ID	Risk Item ¹	Inside ADR ²		Likelihood of Strike	Consequence of Strike ³	Risk Level	
		Initial	Mitigated				
39a				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39b				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39c				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39d				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39e				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39f				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39g				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39h				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39i				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39j				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39k				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39l				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39m				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39n				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39o				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39p				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39q				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39r				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39s				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39t				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
39u				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
Input is complete.				Average Risk Level in MADR		0.00	
Enter factor for training mitigation (submit supporting documentation),						0.00	
Enhanced Training=0.25 / Specialized Training=0.50.							
						Mitigated Risk Level 0.00	
Enter mitigated risk level in section 2-10 summary table.							
1 - Assume all buildings are un-occupied and include people that would normally be in these buildings in Questions 41 and 43.							
2 - For each item inside the Initial ADR but outside Mitigated ADR explain mitigating circumstances in the corresponding discussion field.							
3 - If mitigating circumstances (e.g. risk item is buried, behind barrier that round being considered cannot penetrate, etc.) exist or are planned, explain in corresponding discussion area below.							

SECURITY BANNER HERE

39. Justify the likelihood and consequence levels for each risk item in the areas provided below. Select the type of mitigation for each risk item. Describe in detail the single or multiple mitigation steps taken to alleviate or lower the risk factor. Use the "Other Discussion" field for any additional information supporting risk mitigation.			
39a. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39b. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39c. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39d. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39e. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39f. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39g. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39h. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE

39i. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39j. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39k. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39l. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39m. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39n. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39o. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39p. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39q. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE

39r. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39s. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39t. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
39u. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

40. Critical Asset Items Outside the Property Boundaries (Refer to ADR Assessment)

For evaluating risk items associated with critical asset items outside the property boundaries, refer often to the IADR created in Section 2.6 of Volume 1, "Template Instructions." An applicant should create lists of any other risk items that have not been covered in the analysis from previous sections. List these items within the ring, then discuss how a stray round may affect that item and if there are other barriers that would lessen the chance of a stray round reaching the item. This section identifies critical assets within the sectors of fire. All critical assets should be identified, and risks associated with each categorized as pertaining to "Public Health and Safety" or "Business," with consideration of how the risk item affects future plant operations. Refer to the instructions in Volume 1 for additional information.

Please scroll to the next table. Do not "Tab."

SECURITY BANNER HERE

40. Critical Asset Items Outside the Property Boundaries							
<i>(Double click on the table to input data. Click outside to close. Enable Macros if screen appears.)</i>							
Item ID	Risk Item	Inside ADR ¹		Likelihood of Strike	Consequence of Strike ²	Risk Level	
		Initial	Mitigated				
40a				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40b				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40c				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40d				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40e				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40f				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40g				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40h				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40i				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40j				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40k				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40l				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40m				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40n				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40o				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40p				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40q				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40r				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40s				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40t				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
40u				N/A	N/A	0	N/A
Input is complete.				Average Risk Level in MADR		0.00	
Enter factor for training mitigation (submit supporting documentation),						0.00	
Enhanced Training=0.25 / Specialized Training=0.50.							
						Mitigated Risk Level 0.00	
Enter mitigated risk level in section 2-10 summary table.							
1 - For each item inside the Initial ADR but outside Mitigated ADR explain mitigating circumstances in the corresponding discussion field.							
2 - If mitigating circumstances (e.g. risk item is buried, behind barrier that round being considered cannot penetrate, etc.) exist or are planned, explain in corresponding discussion area below.							

SECURITY BANNER HERE


40. Justify the likelihood and consequence levels for each risk item in the areas provided below. Select the type of mitigation for each risk item. Describe in detail the single or multiple mitigation steps taken to alleviate or lower the risk factor. Use the "Other Discussion" field for any additional information supporting risk mitigation.			
40a. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40b. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40c. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40d. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40e. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40f. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40g. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40h. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			


SECURITY BANNER HERE


40i. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40j. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40k. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40l. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40m. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40n. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40o. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40p. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40q. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

SECURITY BANNER HERE


40r. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40s. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40t. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			
40u. Justification of Likelihood & Consequence Levels:			
Mitigation Taken:			
Type of Mitigation:	<input type="checkbox"/> Procedural	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical	<input type="checkbox"/> Combination
Other Discussion:			

	
4-9 MITIGATED AREA DANGER RING	
<p>Refer to Volume 1 for instructions on creating a map of the mitigated area danger ring (MADR). After the MADR map has been created, review the risk items in Items 36–40, and change the value in the “MADR” column to “No” for each risk item no longer in the MADR footprint.</p> <p>Depending on the weapons desired, deployment, limitations on round travel, and site geometry, the MADR may be composed of multiple individual rings rather than a single continuous ring. Refer to Volume 4, Appendix A, for information and examples of constructing an MADR.</p> <p>NOTE: Items 41–43 should be answered for the MADR footprint or for the IADR footprint if there are no mitigating factors to reduce the IADR.</p>	
41. What is the estimated population density within the MADR (people/square mile)?	
42. Is the population evenly distributed within the MADR?	
43. If “No,” describe the population distribution. (Example 1, since the facility has a lake on the eastern side, most of the population is on the northern, southern, and western sides of the facility. Example 2, a continuously staffed fire station is located west of the facility.)	


4-10 TRAINING AND WEAPON MAINTENANCE
<p>44. Does an applicant have a firing range on the facility property.</p> <p>45. If yes, will training for this weapon be on the facility's range?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">45a. Has the local Federal Aviation Administration office been contacted to determine if special use airspace needs to be established in the vicinity of the training range when enhanced weapons are in use?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">45b. Summarize the results of discussions with the Federal Aviation Administration and include information for point of contact (i.e., name and telephone numbers).</p> <p>46. Who uses the onsite firing range?</p> <p>47. If the existing range will not support training for this weapon, where will training take place?</p> <p>48. What reference materials were used for modifying the existing training and weapon maintenance plans (e.g., military standards, National Rifle Association documents).</p> <p>49. RESERVED</p>


4-11 RISK ACCEPTABILITY
<p>50. An applicant has reviewed the risks associated with using this weapon and the selected ammunition(s). An applicant finds the risks to be _____ for this facility or transportation activity.</p>

If an applicant finds the risks associated with using this weapon system at the facility unacceptable, the NRC may not authorize the requested enhanced weapon system. Volume 1 provides additional guidance.

	
4-12 SUMMARY OF RISK IDENTIFICATION, EVALUATION, AND MITIGATION	
In this section, enter the mitigated risk levels calculated in Items 38 through 42.	
Item	Mitigated Risk Level
51. Chemical and Petroleum/Fuel Risks in the ADR (from Item 36)	
52. Key Facilities/Areas inside the PA (from Item 37)	

SECURITY BANNER HERE

53. Key Facilities/Areas outside the PA but on the Facility's Property (from Item 38)	
54. Key Facilities/Areas outside the Property Boundaries (from Item 39)	
55. Critical Asset Items outside the Property Boundaries (from Item 40)	

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET

(See instructions on the reverse)

**NUREG-2264
Volume 2**

2. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

Weapons Safety Assessment

Template
Chapters 3 and 4

3. DATE REPORT PUBLISHED

MONTH	YEAR
March	2023

4. FIN OR GRANT NUMBER

5. AUTHOR(S)

P. Brochman, H. Stone, D. Davis

6. TYPE OF REPORT

Technical

7. PERIOD COVERED (Inclusive Dates)

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (If NRC, provide Division, Office or Region, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and mailing address; if contractor, provide name and mailing address.)

Division of Physical and Cyber Security Policy
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

9. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (If NRC, type "Same as above", if contractor, provide NRC Division, Office or Region, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and mailing address.)

Division of Physical and Cyber Security Policy
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

P. Brochman

11. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)

The regulations of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) require an applicant for combined preemption authority and enhanced weapons authority to submit a Weapons Safety Assessment (WSA) as part of its application. This document sets forth a process that the NRC staff finds acceptable for use by an applicant in developing a WSA. The information in this document can be used by an applicant to evaluate the potential onsite and offsite safety hazards, safety impacts, or safety risks and any onsite security risks that could arise from the deployment and potential use of enhanced weapons (e.g., machine guns) as part of a licensee's protective strategy for defending against malevolent acts. Based on its assessment of these hazards, impacts, or risks, an applicant should identify preventive or mitigative measures that it intends to implement upon the deployment of enhanced weapons.

12. KEY WORDS/DESCRIPTORS (List words or phrases that will assist researchers in locating the report.)

Weapons Safety Assessment,
enhanced weapons,
automatic weapons,
machine guns,
security
area danger rings

13. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

unlimited

14. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

(This Page)

unclassified

(This Report)

unclassified

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

16. PRICE



Federal Recycling Program



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001
OFFICIAL BUSINESS



@NRCgov



**NUREG-2264
Volume 2**

**Weapons Safety Assessment - Template
Chapters 3 and 4**

March 2023