

Please find a brief summary of the team's evaluation and preliminary findings to date is provided below. Please note that these are preliminary and can change since the team is still evaluating casework.

The review period is <insert review period>. Based on the results of the onsite review, to date, the team found that all performance indicators are trending toward Satisfactory. The team may make some recommendations. Overall, the Program is trending toward being found Adequate and Compatible. Additionally, the team will likely recommend that the next periodic meeting take place in approximately 2 years and the next IMPEP review take place in approximately 4 years.

Closing all # recommendations from previous review and making # of new recommendations.

- Staffing and Training – Trending SAT (previously ??)
 - At fully staffed, the Program would have # tech staff equating to # Program FTE.
 - Re-organization?
 - Vacancies (# that left and # of new hires) - During the review period # people left the Program and the Program hired # people, leaving # vacancy at the time of the review.
 - Training procedures are compatible with NRC's 1248.
 - Refresher training is up to date for all fully qualified license reviewers/inspectors.
 - During the pandemic, training was delayed to the unavailability of training courses, but this overall did not impact the State's ability to maintain an adequate number of inspectors/license reviewers to implement the program.
 - Discuss recommendation(s) from past review: team recommending closure, modification, or leaving the recommendation open.

- Status of Materials Inspection Program - Trending SAT (previously ?)
 - # of overdue – calculation shows (tell percentage)
 - Currently no overdue inspections?
 - The Program completed # Priority 1, 2, 3, and initial inspections.
 - Approximately # initial and # routine.
 - All inspection reports reviewed were issued timely to the licensee.
 - Reciprocity over 20% each year or ???
 - The team noted the Program updated their reciprocity procedure to reflect the new IMC2800.
 - # of remote inspections / pandemic impacts
 - The Program experienced minimal pandemic impacts during this review period.
 - Discuss recommendation(s) from past review: team recommending closure, modification, or leaving the recommendation open.

- Technical Quality of Inspections - Trending SAT (previously ?)
 - # of fully qualified inspectors; # of inspectors working towards full qualification
 - # of inspector accompaniments (including gamma knife, high dose rate afterloader, nuclear medicine, industrial radiography, broad-scope, manufacturer & distribution, portable/fixed gauge, radiopharmacy, service provider) went well.
 - The Program regulates # specific licensees.
 - Staff reviewed # cases and did not identify any deficiencies. Although the team has not identified any issues the team is still working on reviewing more casework.
 - The Program completed timely supervisory accompaniments.

- The Program has a sufficient number and types of radiation survey instruments. Instrument calibration records appeared to be accurate and complete.
- Discuss recommendation(s) from past review: team recommending closure, modification, or leaving the recommendation open.
- Technical Quality of Licensing Actions – Trending SAT (previously ?)
 - Is there a licensing backlog?
 - # of fully qualified license reviewers; # working towards full qualification
 - 4 new (include PLG) several amendments, financial assurance, decommissioning and bankruptcy
 - Staff reviewed # cases and did not identify any deficiencies. Although the team has not identified any issues the team is still working on reviewing more casework.
 - Files appear to be complete.
 - Licensing appears to be thorough and well documented, and license conditions appear to be enforceable.
 - The Program is using a compatible version of the Pre-Licensing Guidance checklist and the Risk-Significant Radioactive Materials checklist. Program review of all change of ownerships.
 - Financial assurance appears to be being requested from licensees, as appropriate.
 - Program is using standard license conditions.
 - Discuss recommendation(s) from past review: team recommending closure, modification, or leaving the recommendation open.
- Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities – Trending SAT (previously ?)
 - # of incidents and # of allegations over the review period
 - # of allegations from NRC
 - Incident and allegation procedures are in place, implemented and compatible.
 - Incidents reported on time except – Explain.
 - Incidents that were not reported were not required to be reported.
 - The Program dispatches inspectors for most incidents? Risk based?
 - Discuss recommendation(s) from past review: team recommending closure, modification, or leaving the recommendation open.
- Legislation, Regulations, and Other Program Elements – Trending SAT (previously ??)
 - Any late regulations? Minor or significant? How late?
 - Any new legislation during the review period?
 - Can you fill in the #s: # of NRC amendments became due during the review period. # of # amendments were adopted within 3 years of NRC's implementation of the rule. The other # amendments were adopted (insert time frame).
 - All checklists were updated when new guidance documents were issued in a timely manner (RSRM, IMC2800, Inspection procedures, medical license guidance, use of standard license conditions).
 - Discuss recommendation(s) from past review: team recommending closure, modification, or leaving the recommendation open.
- Sealed Source and Device (SS&D) Evaluation Program Trending SAT (previously ??)
 - # of full time reviewers

- Program checks NMED to see if there are any incidents involving SS&D
 - Technical quality very good.
 - # of new, # of amendments, # of inactivations; # of actions completed during the review period
 - Discuss recommendation(s) from past review: team recommending closure, modification, or leaving the recommendation open.
- Low-Level Radioactive Waste (LLRW) Evaluation Program Trending SAT (previously ??)
 - Any change of status: active LLRW to closure?
 - Training procedures iaw IMC1248 and staff trained
 - Same staff as UR
 - Vacancies (# that left and # of new hires) - During the review period # people left the Program and the Program hired # people, leaving # vacancy at the time of the review.
 - # of qualified LLRW; inspectors and license reviewers
 - Pandemic impacts on frequency of inspections or remote inspections
 - Accompaniment went well
 - # of inspection reports reviewed
 - # of remote inspections / pandemic impacts
 - # of licensing actions for the review period and # reviewed
 - Any change of ownership?
 - # of events
 - # of allegations
 - Discuss recommendation(s) from past review: team recommending closure, modification, or leaving the recommendation open.
- UR – trending SAT (previously ??)
 - # of licenses; # terminated; # pre-construction phases; # operating facilities
 - Training procedures iaw IMC1248 and staff trained
 - Same staff as LLRW
 - Vacancies (# that left and # of new hires) - During the review period # people left the Program and the Program hired # people, leaving # vacancy at the time of the review.
 - # of qualified UR; inspectors and license reviewers
 - Pandemic impacts on frequency of inspections or remote inspections
 - Accompaniment went well
 - # of inspection reports reviewed
 - # of remote inspections / pandemic impacts
 - # of licensing actions for the review period and # reviewed
 - Any change of ownership?
 - # of events
 - # of allegations
 - Discuss recommendation(s) from past review: team recommending closure, modification, or leaving the recommendation open.