## **CONVERSATION RECORD**

NAME OF PERSON(S)/TITLE CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU	DATE OF CONTACT	TYPE OF CONVERSATION
See below.	01/20/2023	E-MAIL
E-MAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER	
ORGANIZATION	DOCKET NUMBER(S)	
Holtec International, Inc.	07201032, 07201014	
LICENSE NAME AND NUMBER(S)	MAIL CONTROL NUMBER(	S)
зивјест Clarification call with Holtec on proposed change #4 for HI-STORM FW Amendment 7 application and		
proposed change #6 for HI-STORM 100 Amendment 16		
<ul> <li>SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUIRED (IF ANY)</li> <li>NRC attendees: Jimmy Chang, Yen-Ju Chen, Darrell Dunn, Loren Howe, Jon Woodfield</li> <li>Holtec attendees: Denise Elisio, John Griffiths, Kimberly Manzione</li> <li>Staff has questions on proposed change (PC) #4 for FW Amendment 7 and PC #6 for HI-STORM 100</li> <li>Amendment 16. This PC changes the hydrostatic pressure test and liquid penetrate NDE after the pressure test of the MPC lid to shell weld acceptance criteria to be examination for leakage only and remove post hydrostatic test liquid penetrate (PT) examination.</li> <li>Staff would like to know the operating experience with the post-pressure test PT examinations. For the PT examinations, Holtec stated that they have been performing the hydrostatic test first and followed by PT weld examinations, and there has never been any issue with the post-pressure PT weld examinations. Almost 2,000 systems have been load and the hydrostatic test performed on the MPC, in which more than 1,000 are HI-STORM 100 system and more than 250 are FW system.</li> <li>Staff also asked what was the actual type of leakage examination performed as stated in FW FSAR, section 9.2.4 (change page 9-16). Holtec stated they will perform only a visual examination to check for water leakage through the MPC lid to shell weld after the hydrostatic pressure test.</li> </ul>		
NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION Yen-Ju Chen		
SIGNATURE		DATE OF SIGNATURE