

From: [Dorothy Sulock](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Proposed License Amendment Request, Nuclear Fuel Services, Docket No. 70-143
Date: Friday, January 20, 2023 2:22:21 PM

Honorable ASLB Judges:

I am writing to object to the Board's failure to provide clear instructions as to how non-parties to this license amendment proceeding are allowed to provide comments to the Board. The August 31, 2022 Federal Register notice referred non-parties to an ADAMS document which was not hyperlinked, and the notice contained zero explanation that persons would be allowed to provide public comments to the Board at the time of the December 12, 2022 hearing.

NRC regulations at 10 CFR § 2.315(a) state, "A person who is not a party . . . may, in the discretion of the presiding officer, be permitted to make a limited appearance by making an oral or written statement of his or her position on the issues at any session of the hearing or any prehearing conference within the limits and on the conditions fixed by the presiding officer." This was not done with regard to the Nuclear Fuel Services prehearing on December 12. I thus object and request that the Board place my below comments into the record of this proceeding and be deemed properly submitted pursuant to 10 CFR § 2.315(a).

Producing weapons grade uranium in a private company in a small town like Erwin Tennessee raises serious security issues. Terrorists could obtain fuel for a terrorist nuclear weapon by attacking an isolated facility such as this or even by infiltrating this private company. Terrorists are looking for a spectacular sequel to 911.

/s/ Dorothy Sulock

18 Beaverdam Knoll
Asheville, NC 28804

Teacher of "The Nuclear Dilemma" at the University of North Carolina at Asheville
Director of the 2003 and 2004 Teaching Nonproliferation Summer Institutes at UNCA, funded by Ploughshares