NRC FORM 591M (04-2022)	SCLEAR REGULAN		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					
Materials Inspection Report								
1. Licensee/Location Inspected:			2. NRC/Regional Office					
Plastatech Engineering, Ltd. 725 Morley Drive Saginaw, MI 48601			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
Report Number(s) 2022-001 3. Docket Number(s) 4. L			ense Number(s) 5. Date(s) of Inspection					
030-34117		21-26714		03/22/22; exit 12/08/22				
LICENSE:       Interview       Description         License:       Description       Description         License:       Description       Description         License:       Description       Description         License:       Description       Description         Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:         Image: Description       1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.         2. Previous violation(s) closed.       3. During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements, and were assessed at Severity Level IV, in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy.         A. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified.         (Non-cited violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s)         B. The following violation(s) is/are being cited in accordance with NRC Enforcement Policy. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19,11, (Violations and Corrective Actions)								
Statement of Corrective Actions I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.								
TITLE PRINTED NAME		SIGNATU	SIGNATURE AND DATE					
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE								
NRC INSPECTOR	Ryan Craffey		Poly Colorey	Digitally signed by Ryan J. Craffey Date: 2022.12.16 14.31:19 -05'00'				
BRANCH CHIEF Rhex Edwards			De-	Digitally signed by Rhex A. Edwards Date: 2022.12.21 10:56:27 -06'00'				
				Page 1 of 1				

NRC FORM 592M				U.S. NU	ICLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
Materials Inspection Record								
1. Licensee Name:	2. Docket Number(s):			3. Licens	3. License Number(s)			
Plastatech Engineering, Ltd.	030-3411	030-34117			21-26714-01			
4. Report Number(s):		5. Date(s	5. Date(s) of Inspection:					
2022-001	03/22/22; in-office review through 12/07/22; exit 12/08/22							
6. Inspector(s):			7. Program Code(s): 8. F		9. Inspection Guidance Used:			
Ryan Craffey		03120		5	IP 87124			
10. Licensee Contact Name(s): 11. Licensee I	E-mail Address:	mail Address:		12. Licensee 1	l Felephone Number(s):			
	9		989-758-1053 N/A					
13. Inspection Type: Initial 14. Locations Inspe	cted: Hyb	orid	15. Next Inspection	ן Date (MM/DD/Y)	(YY):			
			ice 03/22/2027 Vormal Extended					
16. Location(s) Inspected List:								
Main Office - 725 Morley Drive, Saginaw, MI Building 825 and 831								
17. Scope and Observations: Plastatech Engineering was a vinyl roofing and geomembrane manufacturer authorized to possess fixed gauges for thickness measurements on production lines at two facilities on the campus of its parent company (Duro-Last) in Saginaw, Michigan. At the time of the inspection, the licensee had two Thermo EGS Gauging TFC-190 beta transmission gauges containing Sr-90 and one Betacontrol of America MK 1.0 beta transmission gauge containing Kr-85. The manufacturers of these devices performed semiannual routine maintenance and non-routine maintenance as needed.								
The inspector toured the production facility in Saginaw. All areas were adequately posted, and all licensed material was adequately secured. The inspector performed independent surveys in the vicinity of all three devices. Readings were consistent with the radiation profiles in the applicable SSDR safety evaluations (NR-8327-D-801-B and MA-1287-D-107-B) and were well below regulatory limits to members of the public. Licensee personnel demonstrated the operation of the Betacontrol shutter, which functioned as intended as confirmed by independent surveys. The inspector discussed radiation safety awareness and safe use of these devices with the line operators and found them aware of the radiation hazards present as well as methods to minimize them.								
The inspector reviewed a selection of maintenance records which included inventories and shutter checks of its devices. However, the licensee was initially unable to locate and provide records of the Sr-90 sources having been leak tested at the required frequency. During a period of in-office review extended by untimely responses to the inspector's reminders and requests for information, the licensee provided results of leak tests performed by the manufacturer, but only those performed on September 28, 2022. The inspector eventually contacted the manufacturer directly, who provided results of additional tests performed on October 6, 2020, April 13, 2021, and April 12, 2022. No documentation was found of any leak tests having been performed between April 2021 and April 2022, although a maintenance log entry of a visit by the manufacturer in October 2021 was noted. This was considered a minor violation of LC 13, as there was minimal safety significance to missing the test or failing to retain a record of it, since all tests before and after were confirmed by the inspector to have been negative for leakage								

## **Materials Inspection Record (Continued)**

and/or contamination. As corrective action, the licensee's RSO assumed responsibility for scheduling and overseeing manufacturer visits from the maintenance department and confirmed that leak tests of the Sr-90 sources would be required during each visit and a record of the results obtained thereafter.

The licensee was previously cited in IR 03034117/2016001(DNMS) for a similar violation of LC 13. The inspector reviewed the licensee's corrective actions, and confirmed that it continued to maintain a contract with the manufacturer to perform routine maintenance. However, since the inspector identified an additional example of the licensee's failure to comply with this license condition, the previous violation remains open for review during a future routine inspection.

Signature and Date - Branch Chief

Digitally signed by Rhex A. Edwards Date: 2022.12.21 10:55:53 -06'00'