

Classification: Internal

Hello Sam,

We have completed our review of License Amendment Numbers 242 and 243 and have the following four comments (includes comment previously provided via email below):

#	PG&E Submittals	NRC's SE			Comment
1	PG&E's Mar 23, 2022 submittal shows the TSC changes, where grey boxes are	SE page 21 shows a table with TSC min st	aff char	nges:	PG&E's
1				-	
	current min staff:	Current Minimum TSC Staff Position		Proposed Minimum TSC Staff Positions	current
	5.22 FIGURE 5-2, TSC ORGANIZATION			utes unless otherwise noted	DCPP E-
	Emergence Director	Site Emergency Coordinator		ite Emergency Coordinator	Plan does
	Emorporcy Director (EOF)	Agency/ENS Communicator Reactor Engineer		INS Communicator Position Eliminated)	not include
		Communications Advisor		Position Eliminated) Position Eliminated)	
	Site Emergency Coordinator Connect to Rectorged	Radiological Data Processor		Position Eliminated) Position Eliminated)	a Security
	Advisor	N/A		adiological Advisor	Coordinator
	Make 05C Chard	1975		Added as minimum staff)	as min
		N/A		Operations Advisor with a 60-minute	staff.
	Referenced for Adving Maintenance Engineering Communications Security Advinge			esponse	However.
	Radiological Advisor Ops Advisor Advisor Security Advisor Security Advisor Security Advisor			Added as minimum staff)	,
	Make tox OPP Make tox OPP	N/A		ingineering Advisor with a 60-minute	all
	Redissigned Control double box			esponse	proposed
	Engineer Agenery ENS			Added as minimum staff)	min TSC
	Electrical	Security Coordinator		ecurity Advisor	staff (i.e.,
	Note: Engineer		(4	Added as minimum staff)	-
	Stabel bores indicate minimum staffing for facility artiration Double bores indicate 66 minister opponent Other 350 periosas are 59 minister opponent Stagenere				right
					column of
					SE table)
					align with
					PG&E's
					submittals.
2		SE page 22 shows a table with OSC min st			The SE
	current min staff:	Current Minimum OSC Staff Positions	Propo	osed Minimum OSC Staff Positions	table
	5.23 FIGURE 5-3, OSC ORGANIZATION	Note: response times are 60 m	ninutes u	Inless otherwise noted	includes all
	Sita Emarganzy Provide Law	OSC Director RP Personnel #1	OSC Di	sonnel #1	current OSC
	Site Emergency Coordinator (TSC)	RP Personnel #1		sonnel #2	staff in the
		RP Personnel #3	(Positic	on Eliminated)	
	OSC Director	RP Personnel #4 with a 90-minute response	(Positic	on Eliminated)	left column,
	Team Coordinator	RP Personnel #5 with a 90-minute response RP Personnel #6 with a 90-minute response		on Eliminated)	not just <u>min</u>
		RP Personnel #6 with a 90-minute response Mechanical Maintenance Coordinator	Mechan	on Eliminated) nical Maintenance Technician	staff as
		Electrical Maintenance Coordinator		al Maintenance Technician	noted in
	Mechanical Coordinator -Electrical Geordinator Ide Coordinator -Geordinator -Operations Coordinator	I&C Maintenance Coordinator with a		on Eliminated)	
		90-minute response			the column
		Operations Coordinator with a 90-minute response	(Positio	on Eliminated)	titles.
	Mechanical Electrical Inc. Advantation Control	Site RP Coordinator	(Positio	on Eliminated)	However,
		Onsite Field Team #1 Personnel (on-site		on Eliminated)	all
	Make double Note: and gray. Shaded boxes indicate minimum staffing for facility activation	surveys)			proposed
	and gray Subado toxes indicate minimum stating yet rotary activation toxes Double Outline boxes indicate so-mininte response positions response Single Outlined boxes indicate \$0-mininte response positions Technologies (b)	Onsite Field Team #1 Driver (on-site surveys)	(Positic	on Eliminated)	min OSC
	Personel With Personel With Personel With Personel Personel With Personel				
	"Technician" Coordinate (see Figure 1-1)				staff (i.e.,
					right
					column of
					SE table)
					align with
					•
					PG&E's
					submittals.
3	PG&E's Oct 8, 2021 submittal, Enclosure 1, Section 5.2.4, shows the DCPP E-Plan	SE page 23 shows a table with EOF min st	taff char	nges:	The two
	relies, in part, on 2 offsite FMTs as 90-minute responders with no proposed	Current Minimum EOF Staff Posi	itions	Proposed Minimum EOF Staff Positions	offsite
	changes to those positions or response time:) minutes unless otherwise noted	FMTs
	b. Off-site Surveys	Emergency Director	are so	Emergency Director	currently
	ON-SHIFT	Communications Coordinator		Communications Coordinator	have a 90-
		Offsite Communicator		(Position Eliminated)	
	There are no requirements for staffing this position for the on-shift staffing.	Radiological Manager		Radiological Manager	minute
	AUGMENTED ERO	Dose Assessor		Dose Assessor	response.
		N/A		Offsite Field Team #1 Personnel with a 60-	SE Section
	Current Requirements			minute response	3.2.4b (SE
	The following positions are Full Augmentation 90-minute responder staffing in the EOF:			(Added as minimum staff)	page 11)
	 Two (2) off-site FMTs, each team consisting of a Leader (RP Technician) and 	N/A		Offsite Field Team #1 Driver with a 60-minute	correctly
	Driver			response	,
				(Added as minimum staff)	shows that
	Page 26	N/A		Offsite Field Team #2 Personnel	the two
				(Added as minimum staff)	offsite
		N/A		Offsite Field Team #2 Driver	FMTs have
				(Added as minimum staff)	a 90-
	Enclosure 1				
	PG&E Letter DCL-21-062				minute
	PG&E Letter DIL-21-007				response.
	FMT Coordinator				PG&E did
	FMT Communicator Offsite Emergency Lab Analyst				not
					propose
	Proposed Change				changing
	The FMT Coordinator position will be transferred from Full Augmentation to a				
	Minimum Staff position. The FMT Communicator position will be eliminated. The Offsite Emergency Lab Analyst position will be removed from the DCPP E-Plan and				this
	be controlled in EPIPs.				response
					time for the
					PSEP.
+					65.6 ···
4	PG&E's Oct 8, 2021 submittal, Enclosure 1, Section 5.2.4, proposes eliminating	SE page 24 discusses changes to EOF posi			SE Section
	the onsite FMT:	The following full augmentation position	ns are	proposed to be removed from the Diablo Canyon	3.2.4c (SE
		E-Plan, and managed and controlled b	y EPIP	s: Onsite FMT Leaders and Drivers, Offsite	page 12)
				t, and General Office Technical Liaison positions.	correctly
				leteorologist, and General Office Technical Liaisor	shows that
				ERO teams, be expected to maintain fitness-for-	
			be requ	uired to respond to the EOF at an Alert or higher	the onsite
		emergency classification level.			FMT will be
					eliminated.
1	1	l .			1

ON-SHIFT	
There are no requirements for staffing this position for the on-shift staffing.	
AUGMENTED ERO	
Page 27	
	PG&E
	not pl
	contr
Enclosure 1 PG&F Letter DCI-21-062	onsite
PG&ELetter DCL-21-062 PG&ELetter DL-21-007	in EPI
Current Requirements	the P
One (1) on-site FMT, consisting of a Leader (RP Technician) and Driver in the OSC,	See a
One (1) on-site FW1, consisting or a Leader (RF 1 econician) and Driver in the OSC, are Full Augmentation Staff 60-minute responder positions.	PG&E
Proposed Change	8, 202
DCPP proposes to eliminate the one (1) on-site FMT.	subm
	Enclo
Analysis	1,
The scope of RP surveys is greatly reduced with the permanent cessation of operation of the reactors and the source term confined to the SFPs. One (1) RP	Attac
Technician will be adequate to perform both the on-site (out-of-plant) and in-plant	4, ER0
surveys. However, if conditions warrant, additional personnel are available to be called in by the OSC Director.	Analy
	line 1
	that a
	show
	elimir

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks Brandy Lopez | Decommissioning Licensing Supervisor Pacific Gas and Electric Company 805.540.4589 | Brandy Lopez@pge.com

From: Samson Lee <Samson.Lee@nrc.gov> Sent: Friday, November 18, 2022 3:57 AM To: Lopez, Brandy <BNSM@pge.com> Cc: Soenen, Philippe <PNS3@pge.com> Subject: RE: DCPP LA Nos. 242 and 243

CAUTION: EXTERNAL SENDER!

This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Do you know this person? Are you expecting this email? Are you expecting any links or attachments? If suspicious, do not click links, open attachments, or provide credentials. Don't delete it. Report it by using the "Report Phish" button.

Brandy

Good morning. Thanks for the heads up. We'll wait for you to complete your review.

Have a nice weekend, Sam

From: Lopez, Brandy <<u>BNSM@pge.com</u>> Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2022 8:18 PM To: Samson Lee <<u>Samson Lee@nrc.gov</u>> Cc: Soenen, Philippe <<u>PNS3@pge.com</u>> Subject: [External_Sender] DCPP LA Nos. 242 and 243

Hello Sam,

We received the attached NRC letter, Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 And 2 Issuance Of Amendment Nos. 242 and 243 Re: Revision To Emergency Plan For Post-Shutdown And Permanently Defueled Condition (EPID L-2021-LLA-0190), through the advanced listserv. We started our review today and have identified one correction described below:

SE Section 3.2.4b (page 11 of the SE or pg 17 of the pdf) correctly shows that the two offsite field monitoring teams (FMTs) have a 90-minute response. PG&E did not propose changing this response time for the PSEP. However, SE Section 4.1.3 (page 23 of the SE or pg 29 of the pdf) shows the response time for one of the offsite FMTs as 60 minutes.

I wanted to let you know that we found one correction needed and will complete our review of the license amendment next week. I will let you know by COB, Monday 11/21 if any additional items are identified.

Thanks Brandy Lopez | Decommissioning Licensing Supervisor Pacific Gas and Electric Company 805.540.4589 | Brandy.Lopez@pge.com