

NRC INSPECTOR

BRANCH CHIEF

Ryan Craffey

Michael Kunowski

Materials Inspection Report

ę			поросион порон	
1. Licensee/Location Inspected:			2. NRC/Regional Office	
American Oncologic Associates of Michigan, P.C. d/b/a MHP Radiation Oncology Institute 70 Fulton Street Pontiac, MI 48341			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352	
Report Number(s)	2022-001			
		4. License Num	nber(s)	5. Date(s) of Inspection
030-33134		21-26488-01		02/28/22 - 09/30/22
Nuclear Regulatory examinations of program are as follows: 1. Based 2. Previou 3. During were as A. The visidentify were s	r Commission (NRC) rules and regocedures and representative record on the inspection findings, no violates violation(s) closed. this inspection, certain of your actions at Severity Level IV, in actional of the second of the s	gulations and the ds, interviews wintions were ident divities, as described accordance with the you by the inspension was or is being	ped below and/or attached, were in viol ne NRC Enforcement Policy. ector as non-cited violations, are not be g taken, and the remaining criteria in th	tion consisted of selective inspector. The inspection findings lation of NRC requirements, and eing cited because they were self-
which	llowing violation(s) is/are being cite may be subject to posting in accor ions and Corrective Actions)		e with NRC Enforcement Policy. This fo CFR 19.11.	orm is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION,
surveys to a material in e whether ren	assure compliance with 10 CFR 20.1906(b) excess of a Type A quantity for radioactive	(1), which requires r contamination. Spec 00 dpm exceeded the	ry 18 and March 7, 2022, American Oncologic Amonitoring the external surfaces of labeled pack cifically, the licensee used an instrument to make e limits in 10 CFR 71.81(i). As corrective action, g packages to incorporate its use.	ages containing quantities of radioactive e these surveys which could not determine
		Statement of 0	Corrective Actions	
actions is made in a	ccordance with the requirements of 1	10 CFR 2.201 (coi	or will be taken to correct the violations iden rrective steps already taken, corrective ste NRC will be required, unless specifically re	eps which will be taken, date when fu
TITLE	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE	E AND DATE
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	Teamour (Tim) Nurushev		A.	C

Py Cofrey

Michael A. Kunowski

Digitally signed by Ryan J. Craffey Date: 2022.10.17 13:03:52 -04'00'

Digitally signed by Michael A. Kunowski Date: 2022.10.20 05:39:26 -05'00'

16. Scope and Observations:

Unannounced

Temporary Job Site

Non-Routine

American Oncologic Associates of Michigan, P.C., d/b/a MHP Radiation Oncology Institute (formerly 21st Century Oncology) operated a series of cancer treatment centers across the Detroit metropolitan area, with authorized locations of use in Pontiac, Troy, and Madison Heights, Michigan. At the time of the inspection, the licensee performed therapeutic administrations of radiopharmaceuticals (Lu-177 Lutathera and/or Ra-223 Xofigo) at all three locations, as well as HDR treatments in Pontiac and Troy. At the time of the on-site inspection, the licensee used microSelectron HDR units, but by May 2022 had replaced them with Flexitron units.

Remote

02/28/2024

Reduced

No change

On February 28, the inspector visited the Pontiac location. All areas were adequately posted, and all licensed material was adequately secured. The inspector performed independent surveys of the HDR unit and the treatment vault during demonstrations of daily spot checks; readings were well below regulatory limits to the public and the unit and all associated equipment were in good condition and functioned as intended. The licensee's staff were knowledgeable of radiation protection principles as well as HDR procedures, and wore personnel dosimetry as assigned. The inspector reviewed a selection of available records including HDR written directives and treatment documentation, source exchange and HDR full calibration documentation, and HDR safety training records.

On March 8, the inspector visited the Troy location. All areas were adequately posted, and all licensed material was adequately secured. The inspector observed the planning and administration of two HDR prostate treatments including daily spot checks, and the preparation and administration of one Lutathera dose. While discussing the latter, the inspector learned that the AMP who received the dose the day prior had used a dose calibrator to check the package for contamination. The AMP said they regularly did so for packages sent to Troy since there was no well counter there, unlike Pontiac and Madison Heights. However, the dose calibrator's minimum resolution of 0.01 microcuries meant that it could not identify contamination on the wipe that was above the regulatory limit of 7,200 dpm (3.2 nanocuries) per 300 square cm but below at least 22,200 dpm (10 nanocuries). This was noted as a SLIV violation of 10 CFR 20.1501(a)(1) for failure to make surveys to assure compliance with 10 CFR 20.1906(b)(1). The root cause was a lack of understanding of licensee procedures for opening packages, which directed staff to use a thin-window GM survey meter to check for contamination. The presence of well counters at the other locations and a high workload for AMPs were contributing factors. As corrective action, the licensee obtained a well counter for Troy and revised its procedure for receiving packages, retiring the survey meter method in favor of using well counters.

During the period of in-office review through September 30, the inspector reviewed additional records not available during the on-site inspection. These included personnel dosimetry reports, documentation of audit activities, and documentation confirming full compliance with requirements for dose measurements and HDR spot checks.

NRC Form 592M (10-2020) Page 1 of 1