

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jeff Levitt](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 12:07:13 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jeff Levitt
175 Whitehall Rd
Albany, NY 12209-1321
laborerman@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Anne Marie Bucher](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:30 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Anne Marie Bucher
3 Wartburg Pl
Mount Vernon, NY 10552-3813
annemarie@ophope.org

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Cindy Rodriguez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:31 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Cindy Rodriguez
3535 94th St Apt 4d
Jackson Heights, NY 11372-5958
rbolivar@live.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Roberta Forest](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:32 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Roberta Forest
PO Box 393
New Paltz, NY 12561-0393
4rforest@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Megan Ryan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:32 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Megan Ryan
185 Prospect Park SW
Brooklyn, NY 11218-1342
meganmeryryan@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Ned Milligan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:33 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Ned Milligan
4301 46th St
Sunnyside, NY 11104-2059
ned.milligan@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Catherine Bagnall](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:34 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Catherine Bagnall
21 Pond Dr E
Rhinebeck, NY 12572-1927
cbx@fastmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Melanie Mahoney Stopyra](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:36 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Melanie Mahoney Stopyra
109 Thurber St
Syracuse, NY 13210-3611
mastopyr@syr.edu

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Marilee Talman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:37 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Marilee Talman
110 N Main St
Pine Plains, NY 12567-5233
mtalman@optonline.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Pamylle Greinke](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:38 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Pamylle Greinke
PO Box 456
Peconic, NY 11958-0456
pamylle1@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [S. Nam](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:38 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

S. Nam
165 Bennett Ave Apt 41
New York, NY 10040-4080
snam5370@ymail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Annie Katzman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:38 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

What more can one say? Keep everything as safe as possible.

Sincerely,

Annie Katzman
463 West St
New York, NY 10014-2010
catbird258@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Walter Terrell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:39 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Walter Terrell
830 Hollywood Ave
Bronx, NY 10465-2306
wdjscarsdale@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Joseph Lawson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:40 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Joseph Lawson
29 W 65th St Apt 1g
New York, NY 10023-6635
josephglaw@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Clarice Glandon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:40 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Clarice Glandon
PO Box 333
Long Lake, NY 12847-0333
clariceglandon@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Richard Bodane](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:40 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Richard Bodane
2302 Stuyvesant Dr
Niskayuna, NY 12309-4828
poobah@nycap.rr.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Margaret Vernon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:41 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Margaret Vernon
115 Keller Dr
Fonda, NY 12068-5409
vernon.margaret0@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Gunnel Rydstrom](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:41 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Gunnel Rydstrom
112 Charter Cir
Ossining, NY 10562-6005
gunnel347@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Chris hazynski](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:41 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Chris hazynski
17 Gate Court
New York, NY 10114-0001
mchazy77@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Gerald Walsh](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:42 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Gerald Walsh
26 Hillcrest Ave
Brewster, NY 10509-3023
laxbears@comcast.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Beth McCormick](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:43 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Beth McCormick
18 Spring Pl Apt L
Croton ON Hudson, NY 10520-1360
bmccormickop@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jennifer McGuire](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:44 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jennifer McGuire
8 High Goal Ln
Beacon, NY 12508-7005
jennlmcg15d@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Marie Young](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:44 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Marie Young
PO Box 147
New Paltz, NY 12561-0147
marieriverwalker@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Christine O' Connor](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:44 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Christine O' Connor
64 Grand St
Croton ON Hudson, NY 10520-2519
crotonchris@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Lilli Ross](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:44 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Lilli Ross
390 W End Ave # 8ds
New York, NY 10024-6107
dancerforpeace@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Martin Hampel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:44 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Martin Hampel
5302 Manor Dr
Peekskill, NY 10566-6922
hampel@bestweb.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Silman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:45 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Silman
116 3rd
Brooklyn, NY 11231
brewmax@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Marianne Dietrich](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:45 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Marianne Dietrich
2 Canfield Ave Apt 231
White Plains, NY 10601-2048
marianne_dietrich@mac.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Joseph M. Varon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:45 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Joseph M. Varon
8118 250th St
Bellerose, NY 11426-2522
jvaron613@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Michael Stocker](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:46 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Stocker
100 Riverside Dr
New York, NY 10024-4822
mastocke@syr.edu

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Thomas Holman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:46 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Thomas Holman
PO Box 630296
Little Neck, NY 11363-0296
tomholman@verizon.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [E. Louis Priem](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:46 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

E. Louis Priem
276 Van Yahres Rd
Cooperstown, NY 13326-4148
loupriem@icloud.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [mariana morse](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:46 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

mariana morse
311 Bailor Rd
Brooktondale, NY 14817-9707
wildapplefarm@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Richard Stern](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:47 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Richard Stern
11 Riverside Dr
New York, NY 10023-2504
rsisyh@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Barry Spielvogel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:47 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Barry Spielvogel
435 E 79th St
11-0
New York, NY 10075-1034
bandl142@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Bruce Markens](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:47 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Bruce Markens
127 W 96th St
New York, NY 10025-6427
bmarkens@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Deborah Dewey](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:47 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Deborah Dewey
18 Barnes Rd
West Sand Lake, NY 12196-2601
deweyd100@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Arthur Schurr](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:47 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Arthur Schurr
100 W 94th St
New York, NY 10025-7041
bulaas@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Michael Owen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:47 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Owen
868 E 7th St Apt 6k
Brooklyn, NY 11230-2266
michaeldowen@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Helene Weinstein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:48 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Helene Weinstein
6837 108th St
Forest Hills, NY 11375-3368
abishop108@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jean Fallon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:48 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jean Fallon
10 Pinesbridge Rd
Ossining, NY 10562-2100
jfallon@mksisters.org

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jacob Weber](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:48 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jacob Weber
95 Beekman Ave Apt 246v
Sleepy Hollow, NY 10591-7703
jacobaugustweber@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Michael Agazzi](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:48 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Agazzi
287 Grand St Apt 3b
Brooklyn, NY 11211-4455
agazzi.michael@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Gary Freiberger](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:49 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Gary Freiberger
51 Plymouth Dr
Scarsdale, NY 10583-6821
yellgary@msn.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Bruce Rosenkrantz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:50 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

This is a very serious matter.

Sincerely,

Bruce Rosenkrantz
333 W 57th St Apt 209
New York, NY 10019-3115
bruce@fireboat.org

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Mary Casella](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:50 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Mary Casella
127 Mountain Ave
Cairo, NY 12413-2853
railscout@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jan Emerson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:50 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jan Emerson
250 Fort Washington Ave Apt 5b
New York, NY 10032-1326
janemerson777@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Karin Smith-Spanier](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:50 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Karin Smith-Spanier
95 Lakeview Ave
Kingston, NY 12401-4709
karinmithspanier@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Joel Leitner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:51 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Joel Leitner
609 Trump Park
Shrub Oak, NY 10588-1214
joel@joelleitner.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Thomas Comiskey](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:51 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Thomas Comiskey
323 Kemeys Ave
Scarborough, NY 10510-2051
tfcomiskey@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Kathryn Kassner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:51 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Kassner
362 Fitzsimmons Rd
Red Hook, NY 12571-4055
kathrynkassner@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Katharine Skolnick](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:51 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Katharine Skolnick
545 Washington Ave Apt 704
Brooklyn, NY 11238-2789
krs1123@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Martha D. Perlmutter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:52 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Martha D. Perlmutter
119 Bellows Ln
New City, NY 10956-2440
mdp225@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Stuart Ober](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:52 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Stuart Ober
2626 Route 212
Woodstock, NY 12498-2114
ober@stuartober.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [David Bruny](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:52 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

David Bruny
65 Barbey St
Brooklyn, NY 11207-2201
d_bruny@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Gregory V](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:53 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Gregory V
215 Adams St
Brooklyn, NY 11201-2856
airplane215@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Michael Wehner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:53 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Wehner
PO Box 935
Port Ewen, NY 12466-0935
hudriv@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Madalyn Benoit](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:53 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Madalyn Benoit
8 Rothermel Lane
Kinderhook, NY 12106
isabella_11372@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [James Mulder](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:53 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

James Mulder
28 Sachson Pl
Wappingers Falls, NY 12590-3858
jhmulder@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [joseph cohen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:53 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

joseph cohen
53 Stanley Ave
Hastings ON Hudson, NY 10706-2617
jcjcx2@optonline.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Michael Howard](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:54 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Howard
711d Seagirt Ave Apt 4j
Far Rockaway, NY 11691-5762
mjhoward1982@rocketmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Lynne Teplin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:55 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Lynne Teplin
846 Palmer Rd
Bronxville, NY 10708-3323
lynnet@lagcc.cuny.edu

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Mary Noll](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:55 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Mary Noll
152 Charter Cir
Ossining, NY 10562-6005
nollm@optonline.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Alice Jena](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:56 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Alice Jena
11016 84th Ave
Richmond Hill, NY 11418-1246
petlover1948@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [David Balfour](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:56 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

David Balfour
400 Central Park W Apt 10h
New York, NY 10025-5838
davidbal4@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Erich Winkler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:56 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Erich Winkler
296 W 10th St
New York, NY 10014-2587
winall@nyc.rr.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Colabella](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:56 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Colabella
1007 Half Moon Bay Dr
Croton ON Hudson, NY 10520-3125
bellas85@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Gloria Lewit](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:56 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Important decisions are going to be made. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Gloria Lewit
3209 Kendal Way
Sleepy Hollow, NY 10591-1065
glewit33@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Michael Madden](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:57 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Madden
50 Germonds Rd
New City, NY 10956-2846
myke907@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Ron Wish](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:57 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Ron Wish
112 Highmount Ave
Nyack, NY 10960-1509
riw6556@verizon.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Edward Rengers](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:58 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Edward Rengers
391 John Joy Rd
Woodstock, NY 12498-2247
edreng@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Patricia Brescia-Cantine](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:58 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Patricia Brescia-Cantine
95 Ohayo Mountain Rd
Woodstock, NY 12498-1441
patriciabrescia74@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Michael Heimbinder](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:05:58 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Heimbinder
34a Saint Marks Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11217-2404
mheimbinder@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [RENEE ARNETT](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:00 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

RENEE ARNETT
310 W Nicholai St
Hicksville, NY 11801-3864
indyra@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Horowitz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:00 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Horowitz
55 Bonnie Meadow Rd
Scarsdale, NY 10583-6501
jenhorowitz3@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Bettina Hansel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:01 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Bettina Hansel
58 N Franklin St
Athens, NY 12015-1132
bghansel@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Cuellar](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:02 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Cuellar
4306 46th St
Sunnyside, NY 11104-2058
stephaniecuellar67@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jane Young](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:02 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jane Young
85 High Falls Road Ext
Catskill, NY 12414-5607
catskillian2@mac.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Marian Swerdlow](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:02 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Marian Swerdlow
185 E 85th St Apt 14m
New York, NY 10028-2154
marianswer@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Maxwell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:02 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Maxwell
12 W 11th St
New York, NY 10011-8778
lizmaxwell@mindspring.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Teresa Beutel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:03 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Teresa Beutel
234 S Grant Ave
Congers, NY 10920-2702
teresabeutel@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Carl Kohls](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:03 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Carl Kohls
1301 Nottingham Rd Apt D212
Jamesville, NY 13078-8771
carlkohls@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Katherine O'Sullivan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:04 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Katherine O'Sullivan
1825 Riverside Dr
New York, NY 10034-5307
xkosullivan@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Marina Morrone](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:04 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Marina Morrone
2431 26th St
Astoria, NY 11102-2822
mmorrone85@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Stephen Kent Communications](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:05 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Stephen Kent Communications
760 Indian Brook Rd
Garrison, NY 10524-4324
skent@kentcom.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [James Williams](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:05 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

James Williams
604 5th St
Brooklyn, NY 11215-3504
jw157@columbia.edu

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Joyce Reeves](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:06 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Joyce Reeves
7 Evergreen Ln
Woodstock, NY 12498-1606
2rejoyce@earthlink.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [John Keiser](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:06 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

John Keiser
410 E 6th St Apt 17b
New York, NY 10009-6418
jlck@nyc.rr.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Paul Lipton](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:06 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Paul Lipton
1740 W 4th St Apt 4g
Brooklyn, NY 11223-1591
paulslipton@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Julie Takatsch](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:06 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Julie Takatsch
67 Schoolhouse Rd
Port Jervis, NY 12771-3544
56jules@optonline.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Lisa Pisano](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:06 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Lisa Pisano
272 Bay 19th St
Brooklyn, NY 11214-6004
lilsprout19@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Robert Heinemann](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:07 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Robert Heinemann
5 Bancroft Rd
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601-5103
rbrtheinemann@msn.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Mary Loomba](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:08 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Mary Loomba
24 Pleasant Ridge Rd
Valhalla, NY 10595-1639
maryloomba@mac.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Gregory Calvin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:08 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Gregory Calvin
1625 Putnam Ave
Ridgewood, NY 11385-3423
greg.kalvin@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Martin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:08 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Martin

NY 12401-5924
rmartin@riverkeeper.org

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Pamela Brocious](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:08 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Pamela Brocious
340 E 93rd St Apt 14m
New York, NY 10128-5552
pam@citistaffing.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Ivet Hernandez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:08 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Ivet Hernandez
1140 Bushwick Ave Apt 1b
Brooklyn, NY 11221-4888
ivet3388@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Sasha Silverstein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:08 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Sasha Silverstein
235 Lincoln Pl
Brooklyn, NY 11217-3747
sashasilverstein@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Beth Darlington](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:09 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Beth Darlington
124 Raymond Ave # 323
Poughkeepsie, NY 12604-0323
bedarlington@vassar.edu

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jack Polonka](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:10 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jack Polonka
1419 Longview Ave
Peekskill, NY 10566-4858
jpolonka@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Steven Goldman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:11 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Steven Goldman
420 E 64th St
New York, NY 10065-7853
semelg@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jacalyn Dinhofer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:11 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jacalyn Dinhofer
16 W 16th St Apt 6ps
New York, NY 10011-6565
jdinhofer@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Marilyn Kaggen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:11 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Kaggen
1910 Foster Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11230-1902
mkaggen@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Laurie Cozza](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:12 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Laurie Cozza
205 Wayne Ave
Stony Point, NY 10980-3038
roxannie1@icloud.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Paul Kalka](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:13 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Paul Kalka
4397 Brady Hill Rd
Binghamton, NY 13903-6336
pgkzo@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Mary McGeary](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:13 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Mary McGeary
129 Baltic St Apt 3a
Brooklyn, NY 11201-6051
mbmcgeary@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Susan Torres](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:13 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Susan Torres
26 Hillside Rd
Carmel, NY 10512-6049
dstor26@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Conor Berry](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:13 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Conor Berry
116 Lakewood Dr
Congers, NY 10920-1712
ireland_berry@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Rhoda Levine](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:14 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Rhoda Levine
20 E 8th St Apt 2a
New York, NY 10003-5918
rhodadir@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Richard Guier](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:14 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Richard Guier
255 W 108th St
New York, NY 10025-2976
rsguier444@msn.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Daniel Morrison](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:15 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Daniel Morrison
62 New York Ave
Congers, NY 10920-2514
danielmorr@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Joyce Meisinger](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:15 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Joyce Meisinger
1 Manor Rd
Rhinebeck, NY 12572-1707
jmeisinger53@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Soretta Rodack](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:16 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Soretta Rodack
310 E 6th St
New York, NY 10003-8705
jasparmom@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Kay Olan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:19 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Kay Olan
2 Kerr Dr
Wilton, NY 12831-1255
ionataiewas14@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Kevin Spath](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:19 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Kevin Spath
27 Travis Corners Rd
Garrison, NY 10524-3915
Sunuphoto@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [alina preciado](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:19 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

alina preciado
10 Grand Ave 7th Floor, 7-3
Brooklyn, NY 11205
alina@reddishstudios.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Carl Grimm](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:20 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Carl Grimm
5 Cook Ln
Croton ON Hudson, NY 10520-1901
carl1@bestweb.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Maryann Barulich](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:21 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Maryann Barulich
250 Cabrini Blvd Apt 9f
New York, NY 10033-1163
mbs789@verizon.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [claudia devinney](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:22 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

claudia devinney
6786 Westview Dr
Perry, NY 14530-9763
tippynine@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Joe Tonini](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:23 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Joe Tonini
103 Skelly Pl
Mineola, NY 11501-3729
jntonini@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Juanita Garnett](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:24 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Juanita Garnett
2835 Webb Ave
Bronx, NY 10468-2122
jgarnett46@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Ofer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:27 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Ofer
154 Farrington Ave
Sleepy Hollow, NY 10591-1305
caofer@optonline.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Joanne Davies](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:27 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Joanne Davies
44 E Catherine St
Nyack, NY 10960-2501
davies.joanne82@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [William Strugatz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:29 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

William Strugatz
64 Wilbur Blvd
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603-4636
williamstrugatz@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Toby Liederman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:29 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Toby Liederman
30 Pilot St
Bronx, NY 10464-1628
tobyzliederman@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Ron Friedman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:29 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Ron Friedman
63 Alden Ct
Delmar, NY 12054-3415
ron.seth@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Mary Heller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:06:29 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Mary Heller
24 Thornwood Dr
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603-4633
maryheller211@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [George Jackman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:08 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

George Jackman
7526 Bell Blvd Apt 3a
Oakland Gardens, NY 11364-3444
gejackman@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jennifer McMorrow](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:08 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jennifer McMorrow
8 Cooper Dr
Ossining, NY 10562-3941
jennymc@optonline.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Marion Lakatos](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:10 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Marion Lakatos
120 Truesdale Dr
Croton ON Hudson, NY 10520-2418
mplakatos@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Alison Sky](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:12 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Alison Sky
60 Greene St
New York, NY 10012-5139
alisonsky@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Johanna OBrien](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:12 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Johanna OBrien
21 Perch Dr
Mahopac, NY 10541-1940
habitatjo@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jess Deitz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:12 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jess Deitz
139 Old South Plank Rd
Walden, NY 12586-3111
jessdeitzphoto@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Christopher Blyth](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:12 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Christopher Blyth
212 W 136th St
New York, NY 10030-2960
chris.a.blyth@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Kevin Kilner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:13 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Kevin Kilner
57 Old Tappan Rd # 188
Tappan, NY 10983-2431
kevinkilner21@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Carol Warren](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:16 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Carol Warren
PO Box 261
Shokan, NY 12481-0261
warrenc52@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Roni Schweyen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:17 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Roni Schweyen
25 Springvale Rd Apt C
Croton ON Hudson, NY 10520-1337
rschweyen@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Stephen Mac Nish](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:17 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Stephen Mac Nish
83 Valley View Rd
Pleasant Valley, NY 12569-7225
macnish1947@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Janet Duran](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:24 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Janet Duran
136 W 4th St Apt 1c
New York, NY 10012-1041
luckykitten@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Mark Sonderskov](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:26 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Mark Sonderskov
728 Leonard St Apt 3r
Brooklyn, NY 11222-2323
flatwasher@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Valentine](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:26 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Valentine
313 1st Ave
Massapequa Park, NY 11762-1850
faboo1028@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Julie Brinkmann](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:16:30 AM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Julie Brinkmann
23 Carney Rd
Ulster Park, NY 12487-5304
jbrinks53@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Kirsten Andersen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:23:40 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Andersen
709 Warburton Ave
Yonkers, NY 10701-1663
kirstenal@msn.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Bob Johnson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:23:40 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Bob Johnson
PO Box 353
Spencertown, NY 12165-0353
bob@rivercubes.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Larry Freedman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 3:53:58 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Larry Freedman
32 Oak Tree Rd
Rhinebeck, NY 12572-2901
lflakeview@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Crystal Smith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 4:24:02 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Crystal Smith
PO Box 156
West Nyack, NY 10994-0156
ms_crys_smith@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Lee Johnson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 6:24:12 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Lee Johnson
234 W Chestnut St
Kingston, NY 12401-6532
leesmail54@icloud.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Michael Marlatt](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 6:24:12 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Marlatt
62 Pierrepont St Apt 2c
Brooklyn, NY 11201-2433
m.w.marlatt@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Naomi Zurcher](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 6:25:09 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Naomi Zurcher
161 Columbia Hts
Brooklyn, NY 11201-2154
treerap@sprintmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Pam Ferman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 7:24:20 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Pam Ferman
11 Van Wagner Rd
Ulster Park, NY 12487-5338
pferman@me.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Keith Friedman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 7:54:38 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Keith Friedman
3290 Lookout St
Mohegan Lake, NY 10547-1741
keithfriedman7@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Gerard Hawkins](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 8:24:29 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Gerard Hawkins
90 Hastings Ave
Croton ON Hudson, NY 10520-2920
gerry@gerryhawkins.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Paul Gallay](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 8:54:33 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Paul Gallay
9 Railroad Ave
Cold Spring, NY 10516-3019
paulandrewgallay@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Julie Parisi-Kirby](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 9:24:40 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Julie Parisi-Kirby
36 Purdy Hollow Rd
Woodstock, NY 12498-2224
juliepkirby@earthlink.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Frank White](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 10:24:44 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Frank White
PO Box 582
Willsboro, NY 12996-0582
frankwhitehere@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Timon Malloy](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 10:24:45 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Timon Malloy
270 W End Ave
New York, NY 10023-2624
tmalloy@fredffrench.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Edward Butler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 10:54:47 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Edward Butler
36 E 69th St
New York, NY 10021-5019
epb223@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Elaine Sperbeck](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 11:24:57 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Elaine Sperbeck
618 E Monroe St
Little Falls, NY 13365-1547
ejsperbeck@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [William Fetter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 11:24:58 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

William Fetter
29 Rockwood Dr
Newburgh, NY 12550-2023
william.fetter@verizon.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [James Kozlik](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 11:24:59 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

James Kozlik
3530 81st St Apt 5h
Jackson Heights, NY 11372-5021
jamesmkozlik@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Florence Joan Freeman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 11:54:52 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Florence Joan Freeman
1 Water St
New Hamburg, NY 12590-5543
joanfreeman3@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Susan Kassouf](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 11:55:45 AM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Susan Kassouf

NY 10566-6922
kassouf@bestweb.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Richard Novak](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 12:24:52 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Richard Novak

NY 10710-2806
wingo114@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Richard Livingston](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 12:24:53 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Richard Livingston
156 Oak Hill Rd
Hudson, NY 12534-4210
dixton4@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Linda Cali](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 12:54:57 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Linda Cali
26 Trevor Lake Dr
Congers, NY 10920-1758
lindacali@optonline.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Katherine Harris](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 12:54:59 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Katherine Harris
15 Newell St Apt 3r
Brooklyn, NY 11222-4661
katherineaharris11@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Susanna Stone](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:25:01 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Susanna Stone

11953
luvapug2012@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Jayni Chase](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:25:02 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jayni Chase
48 Fox Ln
Bedford Corners, NY 10549-4802
jayni@cjchase.org

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Ian Sheridan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 1:55:11 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Ian Sheridan
115 Mahopac Ave
Granite Springs, NY 10527-1127
sheridani@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Edmund Puches](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 2:25:06 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Edmund Puches
2118 Quaker Ridge Rd
Croton ON Hudson, NY 10520-3516
epuches@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Amy deCamp](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 3:27:22 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Amy deCamp
43B Spring Valley Street
Beacon, NY 12508
decamp.ar@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Patricia Dorbandt](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 4:25:17 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Patricia Dorbandt

NY 12484
patsydorbandt@hvc.rr.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Stana Weisburd, RN](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 4:25:19 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Stana Weisburd, RN
21 Cooper St
New Paltz, NY 12561-1013
weisburs88@my.sunyulster.edu

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Laura Silverman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 4:55:26 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Laura Silverman

10994-2116
lgilverman@optonline.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Nydia Leaf](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 5:55:40 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Nydia Leaf
46 W 95th St Apt 3b
New York, NY 10025-6718
nyleaf13@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Russell Branca](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 7:25:51 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Russell Branca
10721 92nd St
Ozone Park, NY 11417-1503
russellbranca@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Constance Dondore](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Friday, August 26, 2022 7:25:53 PM

Aug 26, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Constance Dondore

10003
cdondore@msn.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Lawrence D'Arco](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Saturday, August 27, 2022 8:27:31 AM

Aug 27, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Lawrence D'Arco
1202 Greenwich Dr
Albany, NY 12203-4464
abelincoln1863@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Nicole Luciani](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Saturday, August 27, 2022 8:57:39 AM

Aug 27, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Nicole Luciani
500 Driggs Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11211-9349
nicoleluciani@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Teun Deuling](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Saturday, August 27, 2022 8:57:40 AM

Aug 27, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Teun Deuling
149 Bond St
Brooklyn, NY 11217-2243
t.deuling@outlook.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [George Volpe](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Saturday, August 27, 2022 9:27:27 AM

Aug 27, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

George Volpe
388 Glenerie Blvd
Saugerties, NY 12477-4924
hobo12@hvc.rr.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Pippa Pearthree](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Saturday, August 27, 2022 2:27:54 PM

Aug 27, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Pippa Pearthree
625 Caton Ave Apt 1b
Brooklyn, NY 11218-2600
ppearthree@mac.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [JoAnn Pedersen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Saturday, August 27, 2022 4:58:13 PM

Aug 27, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Pedersen
2 Nelson Dr
Monroe, NY 10950-1514
joannpedersen@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Patricia Packer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Saturday, August 27, 2022 11:28:43 PM

Aug 27, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Patricia Packer
5 Jennifer Rd
Scotia, NY 12302-3905
pattiac@nycap.rr.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Monica Gutierrez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Sunday, August 28, 2022 11:29:57 AM

Aug 28, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Monica Gutierrez
200 Elm Rd
Mahopac, NY 10541-1002
monica.gutierrez_@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Eduardo A Vazquez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Sunday, August 28, 2022 1:30:11 PM

Aug 28, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Eduardo A Vazquez
3302 Merlot Dr
Highland, NY 12528-6309
vazmil@aol.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Laurie Puca](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Sunday, August 28, 2022 4:30:30 PM

Aug 28, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Laurie Puca
16 Thornwood Dr
New City, NY 10956-3412
lhpuca18@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Lara Frater](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Sunday, August 28, 2022 5:00:31 PM

Aug 28, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Lara Frater

Rego Park, NY 11374-5325
fraterkitty@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Naomi Woodard](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Sunday, August 28, 2022 7:30:48 PM

Aug 28, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Naomi Woodard
67 Birch Brook Rd
Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567-7403
sportmom111@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Joe Quirk](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Sunday, August 28, 2022 9:00:52 PM

Aug 28, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Joe Quirk
147 Avenue A Apt 2r
New York, NY 10009-4998
jquirk66@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Matthew Lipschik](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Sunday, August 28, 2022 11:31:07 PM

Aug 28, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Matthew Lipschik

11229-1927
vze2xv5n@verizon.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Dale Saltzman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Monday, August 29, 2022 12:17:21 PM

Aug 29, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Dale Saltzman
3 Deer Run Rd
South Salem, NY 10590-2104
dalesaltzman1@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Ramona Oppenheim](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Monday, August 29, 2022 3:17:43 PM

Aug 29, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Ramona Oppenheim
PO Box 311
Maryknoll, NY 10545-0311
roppenheim@mksisters.org

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Billy Zias](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Monday, August 29, 2022 5:47:51 PM

Aug 29, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Billy Zias
2950 137th St Apt 6h
Flushing, NY 11354-2077
beezerly@hotmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Ellenrita Purcaro](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 8:19:11 AM

Aug 30, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Ellenrita Purcaro
105 Railroad Ave Apt 69
Goshen, NY 10924-1982
erpurcaro@yahoo.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Marjorie McGregor](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 8:49:16 AM

Aug 30, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Marjorie McGregor
18 Weber Ave Apt 1b
Malverne, NY 11565-1760
m.mcgregor1918@gmail.com

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Amelia DeMarco](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 8:52:57 AM

Aug 30, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Amelia DeMarco

NY 10464
ademarco@stac.edu

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Patircia Sullivan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 9:19:19 AM

Aug 30, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide many benefits and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear the increased risk for so many years.

Patircia Sullivan PH. D
63 Schenck Ave
Beacon NY 12508.

Sincerely,

Patircia Sullivan
63 Schenck Ave
Beacon, NY 12508-2850
pat.sullivan@msmc.edu

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [sister Mary Laura Helbig](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 10:19:26 AM

Aug 30, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

sister Mary Laura Helbig
46 Dover St
Williston Park, NY 11596-1723
lhelbig@optonline.net

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Joan Agro](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 11:19:54 AM

Aug 30, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Joan Agro
496 Western Hwy
Blauvelt, NY 10913-2000
jagro@opblauvelt.org

From: [Riverkeeper](#) on behalf of [Eugene Falik](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC's Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)
Date: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 3:19:56 PM

Aug 30, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and
Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Eugene Falik
1034 Dickens St
Far Rockaway, NY 11691-2407
falik@msn.com