From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Michael Gelfer
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 11:07:50 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Gelfer 345 Lake Shore Rd Putnam Valley, NY 10579-1318 mikenregina_204@comcast.net From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Sarah Hamilton
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 11:03:46 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hamilton 9087 Tioughanack Rd Canastota, NY 13032-4224 bigguy287@tweny.rr.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Mark Fry
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 10:08:28 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Mark Fry 36 S Highland Ave Ossining, NY 10562-4806 markfry@verizon.net From: Riverkeeper on behalf of B. R. Lemonik
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 10:04:33 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

B. R. Lemonik 240 Peekskill Road Mahopac, NY 10541 pipeline@eeyore18.info From: Riverkeeper on behalf of William G. Gonzalez

To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 9:07:12 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

William G. Gonzalez 200 Dashew Dr Apt A15 Suffern, NY 10901-4289 wgonzalezgarcia@yahoo.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Michael Seckendorf

To: <u>RulemakingComments Resource</u>

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 9:05:07 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Michael Seckendorf 16 Towners Rd Carmel, NY 10512-6002 mikeseck@aol.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Diane Kraft
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 8:08:49 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Diane Kraft 919 James Dr Lewiston, NY 14092-2025 samson3809@gmail.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Scott Davis
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 8:03:59 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Scott Davis 106 Reynolds Rd Fort Edward, NY 12828-9244 scottdavis00@ymail.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Jordan Glass
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 7:08:24 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Jordan Glass 124 Randolph Rd White Plains, NY 10607-1517 jglass1@gmail.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Melissa Rinzler
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 7:04:23 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Melissa Rinzler 68 Sleepy Hollow Rd Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-2135 mmr@dommgt.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of James Cooper
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 6:07:04 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

James Cooper 2517 18th St Astoria, NY 11102-3553 jamescooper15@twc.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Edythe Ann Quinn

To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 6:04:05 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Edythe Ann Quinn 903 County Highway 3 Unadilla, NY 13849-2151 quinne@hartwick.edu From: Riverkeeper on behalf of JOHN WITTER
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 5:12:50 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

JOHN WITTER 32 Orchard Hts New Paltz, NY 12561-1546 baldheadedblues@yahoo.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Alyson Shotz
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 5:08:49 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Alyson Shotz 248 Creamer St Brooklyn, NY 11231-3813 theobabka@gmail.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of John Lippincott

To: <u>RulemakingComments Resource</u>

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 5:04:03 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

John Lippincott 94 Forshay Rd Monsey, NY 10952-1015 lipwak@gmail.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Scott Apicella
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 4:52:39 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Scott Apicella 300 Hayward Ave Apt 1s Mount Vernon, NY 10552-1722 sbapicella@aol.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Robert Fursich
To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 4:52:39 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Robert Fursich 9 S Longfellow St Hartsdale, NY 10530-1348 rof9038@nyp.org From: <u>Riverkeeper</u> on behalf of <u>Elizabeth Smyth</u>

To: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 4:52:38 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Smyth 70 School Hill Rd High Falls, NY 12440-5210 eliznmaeve@gmail.com From: Riverkeeper on behalf of Monica Dietrich

To: <u>RulemakingComments Resource</u>

Subject: [External_Sender] Comments on NRC"s Draft Decommissioning Rule (Docket ID: NRC-2015-0070)

Date: Thursday, August 25, 2022 3:52:41 PM

Aug 25, 2022

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear: Secretary Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the NRC's proposed amendments to its regulations that relate to the decommissioning of production and utilization facilities. We urge the NRC to provide for more stringent emergency preparation requirements throughout the decommissioning process than those proposed in the rule.

While some changes to the various emergency response preparation and security measures at decommissioning sites are needed to reflect the end of operations, the proposed regulations go too far. Rather than eliminating existing emergency planning measures, such as offsite emergency plans for permanently defueled sites; rather, it should consider allocating the existing resources in a creative manner to benefit the local communities to increase effectiveness rather than placing more burden on the limited local and state resources.

For example, some of the resources dedicated to onsite firefighting could be integrated with the local firefighting forces to provide additional support for the local forces who are responsible for responding to events at a decommissioning site. In addition, the offsite emergency response planning plan could be pared down and some resources redirected to add capacity to local and state emergency response teams. Such relatively minor commitments from the decommissioning sites can provide much benefit and added security to the local communities who have been forced to bear increased risk for so many years.

Sincerely,

Monica Dietrich 11 Kelly Ct Ossining, NY 10562-1645 mdietrich@riverkeeper.org