

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 8/24/22, 1:40 PM Received: August 23, 2022 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 176-s3h8-51ty Comments Due: November 05, 2021 Late comments are accepted Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2019-0062

10 CFR Part 53: Risk-Informed, Technology-Inclusive Regulatory Framework for Advanced Reactors

Comment On: NRC-2019-0062-0012

Preliminary Proposed Rule Language: Risk-Informed, Technology-Inclusive Regulatory Framework for Advanced Reactors

Document: NRC-2019-0062-DRAFT-0270

Comment on FR Doc # 2020-24387

Submitter Information

Email: M.KELLER@HYBRIDPWR.COM

Organization: Hybrid Power Technologies L.L.C.

General Comment

As detailed in the attached letter, the NRC needs to revise the current public comment deadline of August 31, 2022. The proposed rule material provided to the public this summer is clearly a work-in-progress. A notice needs to be posted in the Federal Register.

Attachments

Hybrid Pwr to NRC ltr Aug 23 2022 re Schedule

Michael F. Keller
President
Hybrid Power Technologies LLC



August 23, 2022
10CFR53: Revised Comment Submittal Date

Mr. John Tappert
Director, Division of Rulemaking, Environmental, and Financial Support
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Hybrid Power Technologies LLC Input on the NRC Rulemaking Plan on, Risk-Informed, Technology-Inclusive Regulatory Framework; Proposed 10CFR53.

Mr. Tappert:

Federal Register Volume 86, Number 235 dated Friday December 10, 2021 revised the date for comments on the proposed rule to August 31, 2022.

The proposed rule sections provided to the public this summer are clearly a work-in-progress, with the newer sections obviously still under development by the NRC staff. Numerous parties have publically stated additional time is required to develop comments.

The NRC needs to issue a notification in the Federal Register to move the comment submittal date.

As we have previously advised in earlier correspondence, we consider that the currently proposed rule flatly fails to comply with the Nuclear Modernization Act passed by Congress. The rule needs to be significantly simplified to provide key considerations, as opposed to painfully prescriptive details. We are of the firm opinion that the existing 10CFR50/52 are easily modified to reflect such a broader type of rule that readily meets Congress's clear intent to modernize (as in simplify) the regulations for advanced reactors.

Regards,

Michael F Keller

Michael F. Keller Professional Engineer – State of Kansas
President
Hybrid Power Technologies LLC

References:

- (1) Nuclear Energy and Modernization Act, S512 enacted into law.