From: Walton, John R III CTR (USA)
To: Grossman, Christopher
Cc: Darrow, David C CIV USN NAVFAC WASHINGTON DC (USA); Mills, Brandon S CIV USN (USA)
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: LBNC Site 1 & 2 DGI/RACR Comments
Date: Monday, August 1, 2022 12:49:27 PM
Attachments: Final__LBNC_Rad_Int__5-12-14.pdf

Chris,

Thanks for the prompt response. The supplemental RA is attached. You will see that the bulk of the sampling was performed for that document, and that the subsequent work iterated on identifying data gaps and performing removals of high activities, either discrete objects or soil.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
John

From: Grossman, Christopher <Christopher.Grossman@nrc.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 1, 2022 9:02 AM
To: Walton, John R III CTR (USA) <john.r.walton47.ctr@us.navy.mil>
Cc: Darrow, David C CIV USN NAVFAC WASHINGTON DC (USA) <david.c.darrow.civ@us.navy.mil>; Mills, Brandon S CIV USN (USA) <brandon.s.mills13.civ@us.navy.mil>
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: LBNC Site 1 & 2 DGI/RACR Comments

John,

That is certainly a possibility. It appears the DGI/RACR was the first document we've looked at for LBNS. If you'd like to send over the supplemental RA, we could take a look. I presume it's been finalized already.

Chris

From: Walton, John R III CTR (USA) <john.r.walton47.ctr@us.navy.mil>
Sent: Monday, August 1, 2022 11:28 AM
To: Grossman, Christopher <Christopher.Grossman@nrc.gov>
Cc: Darrow, David C CIV USN NAVFAC WASHINGTON DC (USA) <david.c.darrow.civ@us.navy.mil>; Mills, Brandon S CIV USN (USA) <brandon.s.mills13.civ@us.navy.mil>
Subject: [External_Sender] LBNC Site 1 & 2 DGI/RACR Comments

HI Chris,

I have reviewed the NRC comments on the DGI/RACR. The comments on the DQOs, Investigation Levels, and Survey Instrumentation reminded me of information provided in the *Final Supplemental Radiological Assessment Installation Restoration Sites 1 and 2 Long Beach Naval Complex Long Beach, California* from May of 2014. It appears to me that the NRC comments were addressed in the

supplemental RA.

I believe that the DGI/RACR is an extension of the supplemental RA. Are you familiar with the supplemental RA? I have not seen any correspondence that indicates that NRC reviewed that document.

If the NRC comments were addressed in the supplemental RA would the DGI/RACR, as a compendium of all of the assessment and remediation activity, then adequately satisfy release criteria for restricted use?

If it would be easier to discuss on the phone, I am available.

Thanks,
John

John R. Walton Ph.D.
Environmental Engineer II
Contracted Technical Support
BRAC PMO West
John.r.walton47.ctr@us.navy.mil Note: New email address
619-524-5901 (w)
619-571-2218 (m)