

NATRÍUM

Core Flow Blockage Detection and Prevention Strategy

a TerraPower & GE-Hitachi technology

NATD-LIC-PRSNT-0026

SUBJECT TO DOE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT NO. DE-NE0009054 Copyright© 2022 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved.

Objectives

• Natrium[™] reactor overview

Presentation Table of Contents

- Historic Core Flow Blockage Accidents & Experiments
- Potential Core Flow Blockage Mechanisms
- Flow Blockage Detection Considerations
- Fuel Failure Monitoring Considerations
- Potential Defense Lines
- Flow Blockage Detection & Prevention Strategy



Natrium Reactor Overview

- Regulatory Engagement Plan was submitted 6/8/2021.
- Construction Permit Application submittal planned for 8/2023.
- Pre-application interactions are ongoing, intended to reduce regulatory uncertainty and facilitate the NRC's understanding of the Natrium advanced reactor and its safety case.
- The Natrium project is demonstrating the ability to design, license, construct, startup and operate the Natrium reactor within a seven-year timeframe.



Natrium Safety Features

- Pool-type Metal Fuel SFR with Molten Salt Energy Island
 - Metallic fuel and sodium have high compatibility
 - No sodium-water reaction in steam generator
 - Large thermal inertia enables simplified response to abnormal events
- Simplified Response to Abnormal Events
 - Reliable reactor shutdown
 - Transition to coolant natural circulation
 - Indefinite passive emergency decay heat removal
 - Low pressure functional containment
 - No reliance on Energy Island for safety functions
- No Safety-Related Operator Actions or AC power
- Technology Based on U.S. SFR Experience
 - EBR-I, EBR-II, FFTF, TREAT
 - SFR inherent safety characteristics demonstrated through testing in EBR-II and FFTF



Control

Control

- Motor-driven control rod runback
- Gravity-driven control rod scram
- Inherently stable with increased power or temperature

Cool

- In-vessel primary sodium heat transport (limited penetrations)
- Intermediate air cooling natural draft flow
- Reactor air cooling natural draft flow always on

Contain

- Low primary and secondary pressure
- Sodium affinity for radionuclides
- Multiple radionuclides retention boundaries





Steam Generation /Turbine Building

Center

TI Power Distribution

Standby Diesels

Firewater

Warehouse & Admin

Salt Piping

Rx Aux. Building

Shutdown Cooling-

Control Building

NI Power Distribution Center & Controls -Rx Building Energy Storage Tanks

-Fuel Building

Fuel Aux. Building



SUBJECT TO DOE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT NO. DE-NE0009054 Copyright© 2022 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved.





Historic Core Flow Blockage Events



Summary of Major Core Flow Blockage Events

Plant	Event Description	Root Causes	Lessons for Natrium Design
Sodium Reactor Experiment (SRE)	Local fuel melting and cladding failures occurred due to partial blockages in 13 of 43 fuel assemblies	Leaked organic pump coolant formed carbon products to form partial blockages in inlet channels	 Pending final design: Multiple barriers expected to isolate pump fluids Organic fluids will be avoided if possible
Fermi-I	Local fuel melting occurred due to a total blockage at the core inlet	Loose parts due to flow- induced vibration blocked the single-hole inlet nozzle	 Multiple-hole inlet nozzle Core strainer Loose parts prevention
NIST Center for Neutron Research (NCNR)	Fuel plate melting occurred due to a flow diversion that resulted in DNB	A fuel element lifted out due to an inadequate latch system and operation	No lift-off design featuresOperation procedures

For additional details, see Appendix slides at the end of this presentation.





FFTF Core Flow Blockage Experiments

FFTF FSAR (Appendix C.4.4.1 FFTF-46991, Volume 7)

- ORNL Fuel Failure Mockup (FFM) Test setup
 - Large-scale sodium facility with a 19-pin (.230" OD, TEST SECTION.
 .056" wire) bundle simulated by electrical heaters
 - Natrium pin: .290" OD, .056" wire
 - Inlet, in-core, and edge blockages examined
- FFM Test Results
 - The temperature increase due to 50% inlet blockage was not higher than the variation observed in unblocked bundles.
 - A planar blockage over six subchannels could be tolerated at full power and flow without approaching boiling in the wake region.



FFTF Core Flow Blockage Evaluations

- FFTF FSAR Appendix C.4 describes the formation and consequences of local inlet and outlet blockages of the FTFF coolant flow paths.
 - Major inlet blockages were precluded by design, and the formation of local in-core blockages was improbable.
 - Experimental and analytical evidence was presented that even if blockage within the pin bundle should occur, the **consequences would be localized** and would not result in gross boiling or fuel pin failure propagation throughout the bundle.
 - Fuel assembly design margins maintained against large inlet or outlet blockages.
- Natrium core design features will be similar to those of FFTF, precluding major core flow blockages similar to the events at SRE and Fermi-I.
- A decision is ongoing to perform similar tests or to qualify historic test data for the Natrium flow blockage analysis.

SUBJECT TO DOE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT NO. DE-NE0009054 Copyright© 2022 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved.



PRISM PSID RAIs (G.4.6, GEFR-00793 Appendix G)

"The NRC staff's concern is not related to blockages that might develop during power operation, but to fabrication errors that could result in a totally blocked assembly being inserted into the reactor."

- No instrumentation to detect in-core blockages.
- Startup testing procedure to detect a blocked assembly following a refueling outage.

Blockage Type (single assembly)	Probability
Inlet Region	10 ⁻⁸ /plant-yr
Outlet	10 ⁻⁸ /plant-yr
Active Core Region	10 ⁻⁷ /plant-yr
Total Blockage due to Fabrication Defect	10 ⁻⁷ /plant-yr

PRISM design features to mitigate flow blockage

- No drilled flow holes in internal components.
- 40" long solid shield at the bottom of fuel pin.
- Gas flow tests prior to insertion in the reactor.

PRISM operation features to mitigate flow blockage

- Establishing full reactor sodium flow before the withdrawal of control rods and limiting the power ramping rate to less than 1% per minute.
- Delayed Neutron signals resulting from damaged fuel would alarm, followed by operator action to shut down the reactor to terminate the event with minimal core damage.



Natrium Flow Blockage Events



Natrium Flow Blockage Mechanisms

The following core flow blockage mechanisms are identified:

- 1. Coolant flow hole blockages by foreign materials.
 - Core receptacle or inlet nozzle flow hole blockages by large-size loose parts or debris
 - Core inlet orifice plate blockages by the accumulation of smaller loose parts or debris
 - Handling socket exit flow blockages by loose parts from upper internal structures or fuel handling systems
- 2. Core inlet flow blockage due to fuel assembly lift-off (i.e., flow channel mismatch between receptacle and inlet nozzle).
 - Loss of the hydraulic hold-down force due to failed seals or clogged flow paths
 - Incomplete fuel assembly insertion due to high frictions or debris



Natrium Flow Blockage Mechanisms

The following core flow blockage mechanisms are identified:

- 3. Fuel assembly subchannel blockage by lodging of foreign materials.
 - Loose parts from failed fuel pins (e.g., wire-wrap, fuel or cladding fragments)
 - Small debris from welded joints or maintenance (e.g., weld spatters, metal chips or turnings)
- 4. Fuel assembly subchannel blockage by excessive fuel pin deformations.
 - Inappropriate pin bundle-to-duct interactions (e.g., pin bowing, pinching, bending)
 - Excessive fuel swelling or ballooning (e.g., internal pressure, high strain)





Natrium Flow Blockage Detection Considerations

A flow blockage in a fuel assembly may induce the following effects:

- 1) Higher coolant temperature
- 2) Reduced coolant flow rate
- 3) Fuel pin failure resulting in fission product release and molten fuel
- The magnitude of outlet temperature increment and flow reduction is not detectable unless the blockage is over 80%, according to past experiments. (FFTF FSAR)
- No individual monitoring is required since the temperature or flow variations due to partial flow blockages will remain within the normal operating range.
 - The minimum detectable blockage will be precluded by design features (see Slide 20).



Natrium Fuel Failure Monitoring Considerations

A fuel failure due to a partial flow blockage can be detected by conventional fuel failure monitoring systems based on the size of the damage, types of precursors, or the characteristics of the failed fuel such as burn up, fuel type, or bonding type:

Cover gas monitoring system

- Detects gaseous fission products
- Identifies the failure location via **unique tag gases** in the fuel pins

Coolant activity monitoring system

- Detects solidus fission products via coolant sampling
- Takes a longer time to analyze
- No Delayed Neutron Detectors (DND) will be used



Natrium Failed Fuel Detection Strategy

DND is not credited for any Defense Line Function.

- Individual pin failures fall within SARRDL limits.
- Major flow blockages will be precluded by design features.

1. **Poor Circulation in Pool Reactors**

- DND has previously been used in <u>loop</u> reactors with oxide fuel. In a <u>pool</u> reactor:
 - The half-lives of key fission products are too short to reach a DND in the IHX.
 - Adding DND measurement loops would **negate the leak-proof benefit** of a pool reactor.

2. Low Release Rate in SFRs

- Fewer radionuclides are released from metal fuel.
- Chemical compatibility of metal fuel and sodium precludes major fuel failures (i.e., hydriding).
- Sodium chemical reactions significantly arrest precursors and radionuclides prior to the DND detector (SRE and EBR-II).



Natrium Failed Fuel Detection Methods

Reactor	Outlet Temperature	Flow measurement	Delayed- neutron detection	Acoustic noise detection (sodium boiling)	Neutron-flux noise measurement	Cover gas monitoring (Tag/Fission)
SNR-300	I	I				
PHENIX	I / G		I / G	G	G	G
Super-Phenix	I / G / FR		I / G	G	G	G
FFTF	I	I	G		G	G
CRBRP	L		G			G
LMFBR	I / FR	G	G	G	G	
Potential NATD (See Next Slide)	P / G	G				I / G

Individual fuel assembly measurement, <u>Global measurement</u>, <u>Fast Response thermocouple to detect small disturbances</u>, <u>Partial</u>

Note: The fast-response thermocouple measurement showed there's very little correlation between neutron power and outlet temperature noise that is produced by turbulent coolant flow and the rapid temperature fluctuation due to partial flow blockages was hard to capture (https://inis.iaea.org/collection/NCLCollectionStore/_Public/14/802/14802898.pdf)



Natrium Flow Blockage Prevention Mechanisms



Lift-off over design limit

Potential Defense Lines

The following primary coolant system components are under consideration to preclude the potential of largescale core flow blockages:

- 1) Loose part retention design features on nonwelded fasteners (red circles).
- 2) Core strainer in the core inlet plenum to limit the size of debris that may create a non-detectable blockage.
- 3) Multiple flow holes/slots in the receptacle and inlet nozzle prevent the total instantaneous blockage that happened in Fermi-l.
- 4) Long flow slots to accommodate fuel lift-off due to loss of hydraulic holddown, thermal ratcheting, or incomplete insertion.





Potential Defense Lines

- 5) Multi-stage fuel pin attachment (pin strip rail, locking plate, end plug) limits the size of debris (e.g., for FFTF, max. diameter in the subchannel=~0.1").
- 6) Wire-wrapped fuel pin bundle leads to a porous axial blockage formation.
- 7) Bypass ports provide a minimum coolant flow when a flow blockage occurred at the handling socket.



Addressing Orifice Plate Clogging

- **Purpose of orifice plates:** to regulate coolant flow into the assembly.
 - Debris accumulation could block the plates over time.
- The PRISM orifice plates were not preceded by a nozzle inlet strainer, increasing the chance of clogging by debris accumulation.
 - PRISM orifice plates were designed to be replaceable.
 - Flow uncertainty in the hot channel factor would have accounted for small blockages.
- Is it necessary to verify assembly flow during the life of the plant?
 - In Natrium assemblies, other defense lines suffice to mitigate partial flow blockage.





Programmatic Prevention Programs

1. Ex-core Foreign Material Exclusion Programs

- Core assembly air-flow test at final inspection
- Fabrication quality control on cleaning, packaging, shipping & handling

2. In-core Foreign Material Exclusion Programs

- Sodium filtering/cleaning operations
- Reactor cleanup operation with Simulated Core Assembly during hot functional tests
- FFTF used a 100-micron filter. The Natrium filter design is on-going.



Natrium Flow Blockage Strategy



Natrium Strategy for Core Flow Blockage





Comparing the Natrium Design to LWRs

Item	Light Water Reactor (LWR)	Natrium Design
Latent debris inclusion during refueling operation	 Reactor head opened during reload Coolant circulates between the reactor and Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) Fuel assemblies travel between reactor and SFP 	 Reactor head closed all the time Independent spent fuel pool Reloaded assemblies stay in the Invessel Storage (IVS) during refueling
Long-term Core Cooling (LTCC) degradation after a LOCA (GSI-191)	 LOCA-generated debris such as chemical precipitate, fibrous, and particulate debris could flow into the reactor coolant system 	 No LOCA concerns No recirculation or injection Passive cooling by Reactor Air Cooling (RAC) system
Fuel Failure Propagations	 A fuel pin failure may result in secondary hydriding damage or ballooning → local flow blockage may cause adjacent fuel pin failures PWR has no duct preventing failure propagation to adjacent assemblies 	 No failure propagation was observed from the past accidents or tests Fuel assembly duct may prevent failure propagations to adjacent fuel assemblies



Multi-Defense Designs for Core Flow Blockages



Multiple defense lines in the Natrium design to prevent a major core flow blockage.

SUBJECT TO DOE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT NO. DE-NE0009054 Copyright© 2022 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved.



Questions?



Appendix: Historic Events



Historic Core Flow Blockage – Major Accidents

Sodium Reactor Experiment Accident

- Organic pump coolant (Tetralin C₁₀H₁₂) leaked into the primary cooling system.
- Decomposed carbon products coated reactor internal components to form partial blockages of the inlet channels of 13 fuel assemblies.
- Damaged fuel bundles showed evidence of local melting and cladding failure.
- Most fuel slugs were still intact (i.e., had not melted).

https://www.etec.energy.gov/Library/Main/Pickard%20SRE%20presentation.pdf





Historic Core Flow Blockage – Major Accidents

Fermi-I Accident

- A large size Zr cladding plate on conical flow guides vibrated loose and blocked 4 fuel assemblies.
- The fuel assembly coolant inlet had a single hole in a flat plate, easily blocked by the Zr plate.
- No evidence was found that molten fuel had flowed from one subassembly to the other (i.e., no propagation).





https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML2009/ML20090C309.pdf

NCNR Fuel Failure (February 2021)

- The neutron source for the NIST Center for Neutron Research (NCNR) is a 20 MW reactor, the National Bureau of Standards Reactor (NBSR).
- An unlatched fuel element was lifted out and caused fuel failures by departure from nucleate boiling due to flow blockages.
- Root causes:
 - Inadequate training and qualification program
 - Inaccurate procedures
 - Un-enforced procedural compliance
 - Inadequate latch system
 - Inadequate management oversight of refueling staffing

https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML2127/ML21274A019.pdf



Figure 4. Fuel element head latched into a mockup of the upper grid plate



30 plate-type U3O8 fuel elements (33cm length)



Lower Grid Plate

Upper Grid Plate

Figure 6. Mock fuel element head in a "partially latched" position

Figure 2. The NBSR core.



SUBJECT TO DOE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT NO. DE-NE0009054 Copyright© 2022 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved.

Acronym List

- CRBRP Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant
- DOE Department of Energy
- DND Delayed Neutron Detector
- EBR Experimental Breeder Reactor
- FFM Fuel Failure Mockup
- FME Foreign Material Exclusion
- FFTF Fast Flux Test Facility
- FSAR Final Safety Analysis Report
- GSI Generic Safety Issue
- IHX Intermediate Heat Exchanger
- IVS In-Vessel Storage
- LMFBR Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor
- LOCA Loss Of Coolant Accident
- LTCC Long-term Core Cooling

LWR – Light Water Reactor NRC – Nuclear Regulatory Commission NCNR – NIST Center for Neutron Research NIST – National Institute of Standards and Technology NSBR – National Bureau of Standards Reactor ORNL – Oak Ridge National Laboratory PSID – Preliminary Safety Information Document RAC – Reactor Air Cooling REP – Regulatory Engagement Plan SCA – Simulated Core Assembly SFR – Sodium Fast Reactor SFP – Spent Fuel Pool UIS – Upper Internal Structure

