

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Curators of the University of Missouri - Columbia 900 East Stadium Blvd. Columbia, Missouri 56211 REPORT NUMBER(S) 030-02278/2021001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-02278	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 24-00513-32	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 7/13-15/21 Exit Mtg 7/6/22	

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
2. Previous violation(s) closed.
3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):

4. During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited in accordance with NRC Enforcement Policy. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Statement of Corrective Actions

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

TITLE	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Michael LaFranzo/Martha Poston-Brown	Non-Concurrence NCP-2022-002 ADAMS ML22186A230	
BRANCH CHIEF	David Hills		



Materials Inspection Record

1. Licensee Name: Curators of the University of Missouri		2. Docket Number(s): 30-02278		3. License Number(s) 24-00513-32	
4. Report Number(s): IR030-02278/2021001			5. Date(s) of Inspection: Exit Mtg 7/6/22 7/13-15/21 followed by additional NRC review		
6. Inspector(s): Michael LaFranzo, Martha Poston-Brown		7. Program Code(s): 3900	8. Priority: D	9. Inspection Guidance Used: IP87104	
10. Licensee Contact Name(s): Cade Register		11. Licensee E-mail Address: cregister@missouri.edu		12. Licensee Telephone Number(s): 573-882-0853	
13. Inspection Type: <input type="checkbox"/> Routine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Announced <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Unannounced		14. Locations Inspected: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main Office <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Office <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site <input type="checkbox"/> Remote		15. Next Inspection Date (MM/DD/YYYY): TBD <input type="checkbox"/> Normal <input type="checkbox"/> Extended <input type="checkbox"/> Reduced <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No change	

16. Scope and Observations:

It should be noted that, in addition to Michael LaFranzo, Martha Poston-Brown, Health Physicist, was also on site during the inspection and involved in post-site inspection activities.

During the site inspection, there was no characterization or remediation work being performed at Pickard Hall. The inspectors toured the facility and took independent radiological measurements and reviewed documentation associated with past decommissioning activities. No abnormal radiation levels were identified and no violations of NRC requirements were identified. As part of the on-site inspection, the inspectors had technical discussions regarding the contents of the Decommissioning Plan to more fully understand and discuss the licensee's plans for decommissioning Pickard Hall. The licensee has been in a decommissioning status concerning Pickard Hall while under NRC regulatory jurisdiction. The operations that resulted in radiological contamination of the building occurred in the early 20th century, a time where NRC did not have regulatory jurisdiction.

No violations of NRC requirements occurred.