ES-201

| Facility:   | Braidwood Date of Examination: 5/29/18   |        |                            |                        |  |
|---|--|--------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Item  | Task Description   |        | Initials                   |                        |  |
| 1.<br>WRITTEN<br>2.<br>SIMULATOR  | a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.   | a<br>X | b*                         | C**                    |  |
|   | <ul> <li>b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with<br/>Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.</li> </ul>   | $\sum$ | A                          |                        |  |
|   | c. Assess whether the outline overemphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.   | D      | $\land$                    |                        |  |
|   | d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.  | V      | 1                          |                        |  |
|   | a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of<br>normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major<br>transients.  | 59     | Ð                          | Rob                    |  |
|   | b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and<br>mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule<br>without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at<br>least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the<br>applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.  | ES     | Ð                          | Ruß                    |  |
|   | c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conforms with the qualitative and<br>quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D and in<br>Section D.5, "Specific Instructions for the 'Simulator Operating Test," of ES-301 (including<br>overlap).   | Z      | Ø.                         | RAS                    |  |
| 3.<br>WALKTHROUGH   | <ul> <li>a. Verify that the systems walkthrough outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2:</li> <li>(1) The outline(s) contains the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form.</li> <li>(2) Task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form.</li> <li>(3) No tasks are duplicated from the applicant's audit test(s).</li> <li>(4) The number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form.</li> <li>(5) The number of alternate-path, low-power, emergency, and radiologically controlled area tasks meets the criteria on the form.</li> </ul>  | Ę      | θ                          | \$v3                   |  |
|   | <ul> <li>b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1:</li> <li>(1) The tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form.</li> <li>(2) At least one task is new or significantly modified.</li> <li>(3) No more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations.</li> </ul>   | Q      | D                          | Russ                   |  |
|   | c. Determine whether there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.  | cr     | T                          | Roß                    |  |
| 4.<br>GE<br>R<br>R<br>L   | <ul> <li>Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including probabilistic risk assessment and individual<br/>plant examination insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.</li> </ul>   | 0      | 0                          | 203                    |  |
|   | b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41, 55.43, and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.  | CA     | 0                          | 203                    |  |
|   | c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.   | 45     | 0                          | Russ                   |  |
|   | d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections and the last two NRC exams.   | 48     | D.                         | 5002                   |  |
|   | e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.  | ES     | J.                         | RAS                    |  |
|   | f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).   | 88     | Ø                          | RAS                    |  |
| b.F<br>c.N  | Author<br>Fric Steinberg<br>Tacility Reviewer (*)<br>IRC Chief Examiner (#)<br>IRC Supervisor<br>IRC Sup | S      | 1/2<br>1/2<br>2/2<br>4/30) | te/16<br>7/K<br>0/3018 |  |
| * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.<br># The independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; the chief examiner's concurrence is required. |  |        |                            |                        |  |

@ written out line provided by the NRC