

**From:** [Buckberg, Perry](#)  
**To:** [Victor, William Ross](#)  
**Cc:** [Taylor, Andrew Charles](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Sequoyah TSTF-505 LAR Supplemental Information  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 4:00:00 PM

---

Bill,

I concur with your list.

Thanks,

**Perry Buckberg**

Senior Project Manager / Agency 2.206 Petition Coordinator  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
(301)415-1383 O-08D02

---

**From:** Victor, William Ross <wrvictor@tva.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:52 PM  
**To:** Buckberg, Perry <Perry.Buckberg@nrc.gov>  
**Cc:** Taylor, Andrew Charles <actaylor@tva.gov>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Sequoyah TSTF-505 LAR Supplemental Information

Perry,

Please find below TVA's understanding of which Sequoyah TSTF-505 LAR Audit questions responses require docketing (as enhanced by the Staff Observations). I request your concurrence with this list, which will serve as a reference in the cover letter of the LAR Supplement (this was the approach taken by Duke Energy for their Shearon Harris TSTF-505 LAR Supplement).

R/ Bill Victor  
TVA Fleet Licensing  
402-209-1282

Question	Staff Observation
<b>APLA – 01</b> (Uncertainty analysis)	N/A
<b>APLA – 02</b> (Eagle 21 digital I&C )	Enhance discussion in several parts of response (e.g., Loop Calculation Processor (LCP) and common cause failures).
<b>APLA/APLC – 03</b> (FLEX credit)	N/A
<b>APLA – 04</b> (Shared systems)	
	For Flag #62 (Boric Acid Tank Area Temp), the response states, "this flag <b>can be</b> set to the more conservative position

<p><b>APLA – 05</b> (Seasonal impacts)</p>	<p>for RICT calculations.” In the response, consider using more affirmative language (e.g., “this flag will be set ...”).</p> <p>For Flag/System #67, expand how will operators know (e.g., procedure) to adjust the associated flag in PHOENIX (e.g., ERCW header temp &gt; 70 F versus &lt; 70 F)?</p>
<p><b>APLA – 06.a</b> (LCO 3.6.2.C)</p>	<p>In the response, clarify how the surrogates will be addressed for future RICT calculations.</p>
<p><b>APLA – 06.b</b> (LCO 3.7.7.A)</p>	<p>For LCO 3.7.7.A in LAR Table E1-1 under PRA success criteria, add the appropriate logic (e.g., “OR”).</p>
<p><b>APLA – 06.c</b> (LCO 3.7.8.B)</p>	
<p><b>APLA – 07</b> (SOKC)</p>	
<p><b>APLA – 08</b> (PRA update process)</p>	<p>In the response, expand on discussion of the types of qualitative evaluations/assessments used for determining unscheduled PRA updates.</p>
<p><b>APLA – 09</b> (MRule)</p>	
<p><b>APLA – 10</b> (RICT implementation)</p>	
<p><b>APLB – 01.a</b> (Sensitive electronics)</p>	
<p><b>APLB – 01.b</b> (Obstructed plume)</p>	
<p><b>APLB – 01.c</b> (Well-sealed cabinet)</p>	
<p><b>APLB – 01.d</b> (Influence factors)</p>	
<p><b>APLB – 01.e</b> (Unit dependencies)</p>	
<p><b>APLB – 02.a</b> (Cable tracing)</p>	<p>In the response, enhance/strengthen discussions in Table APLB02-01 per discussions during Day 3 of audit.</p>
<p><b>APLB-02.b</b> (Thermoplastic cable)</p>	<p>Enhance response statement that states, “with a proportion of at least 5 percent that qualifies as thermoplastic.”</p>

<b>APLC – 01</b> (Peer review F&Os)	
<b>APLC – 02</b> (Seismic CDF/LERF)	
<b>APLC – 03</b> (External flooding)	
<b>STSB – 01</b> (TS 5.5.18)	
<b>SCPB – 01</b> (LCO 3.6.8.B DSC)	
<b>EEEB – 01</b> (DSC for Elect TSs)	
<b>EEEB – 02</b> (DSC for TS 3.8.1.B)	
<b>EEEB – 05</b> (DSC for TS 3.8.7.A)	
<b>EEEB – 09</b> (Electrical RICTs)	
<b>EICB– 01</b> (SG water level)	
<b>EICB– 03</b> (LCO 3.3.2.F)	
<b>EICB– 04</b> (LCO 3.3.2.K)	Supplement LAR as describe in the response.
<b>EICB– 05</b> (Defense in depth)	Provide the table in the response on the docket
<b>Supplemental Table E1-1</b>	Provide updated Table E1-1 as provided in the portal to address Electrical Branch audit questions.