

## EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

<b>Chief:</b>	John Kirkland	<b>Facility:</b>	CW	<b>Date of Written Exam:</b>	2/23/2022
<b>U/I:</b>		<b>Start of Op Test:</b>	2/14/2022	<b>End of Op Test:</b>	2/18/2022
<b>Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>			<b>Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>		
<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date Complete</b>	<b>Initials</b>	<b>Notes</b>	
6/14/2021	Examination administration date confirmed	3/4/2021	<i>JKK</i>	For NRC prepared exams, arrangements made for the facility to submit reference materials	
7/19/2021	NRC examiners and facility contact assigned	3/4/2021	<i>JKK</i>		
7/19/2021	Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements	3/4/2021	<i>JKK</i>		
7/19/2021	Reference material due for NRC prepared exams	9/13/2021	<i>JKK</i>	ES-201 Att-3	
7/19/2021	Corporate Notification Letter sent	3/8/2021	<i>JKK</i>	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
8/2/2021	NRC developed written examination outline sent to facility contact	3/4/2021	<i>JKK</i>	ES-401-1/2 and ES-401-3	
9/13/2021	Operating test outline(s) and other checklists due	9/13/2021	<i>JKK</i>	ES-201-2, 201-3, 301-1, 301-2, 301-5, D-1	
9/27/2021	Operating test outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee	9/28/2021	<i>JKK</i>	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
11/29/2021	Proposed exams, outlines, supporting documentation, and reference materials due.	11/22/2021	<i>JKK</i>	Exams prepared by the NRC are approved by BC and forwarded for facility licensee review	
12/6/2021	Peer review of written exam complete	12/7/2021	<i>JKK</i>	Document review on ES-401-9	
12/27/2021	Written exam and operating test reviews completed	12/7/2021	<i>JKK</i>	Document review on ES-401-9	
1/10/2022	Feedback approved by Branch Chief	12/7/2021	<i>JKK</i>		
1/10/2022	Examination review results discussed between NRC and facility licensee	1/13/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
1/17/2022	Preliminary license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	1/17/2022	<i>JKK</i>	NRC Forms 398/396	
1/10/2022	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	1/10/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
1/24/2022	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	1/17/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
1/31/2022	Final license applications & waiver/excusal requests due	1/31/2022	<i>JKK</i>	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
2/7/2022	Written exams and operating tests approved by Branch Chief	2/1/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
2/7/2022	Request facility licensee management feedback on the exam	N/A	N/A		
2/7/2022	Final applications reviewed and audited; exam approval and waiver/excusal letters sent	2/7/2022	<i>JKK</i>	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
2/7/2022	Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee	1/10/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
2/7/2022	Approved scenarios and JPMs distributed to examiners	2/7/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
2/14/2022	Administer Operating Test	2/14/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
2/25/2022	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	2/25/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
3/15/2022	Post exam comments and graded exams received	3/8/2022	<i>JKK</i>	ES-403-1 to BC	
3/23/2022	Post exam written comments reviewed and incorporated and NRC grading completed	3/15/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
3/23/2022	Post exam operating test comments reviewed and ES-303-1 updated	3/15/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
3/30/2022	Chief examiner review of operating test and/or written exam grading completed	3/15/2022	<i>JKK</i>	Signed ES 303's to BC	
4/6/2022	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	3/23/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
4/13/2022	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	N/A	N/A		
4/13/2022	License/Proposed Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	4/4/2022	<i>JKK</i>		
4/13/2022	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	4/4/2022	<i>JKK</i>	print Report-21	
5/4/2022	Final Denial Letters mailed (if no request for informal review)	N/A	N/A	21 days after proposed denial letters mailed.	
5/11/2022	Examination Report Issued	4/14/2022	<i>JKK</i>	produced by CE	
Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 11, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1					

password es1021  
Kojak

Facility: Callaway		Date of Examination: 2/14/2022		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c**
WRITTEN	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	PBS	NS	YK
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	Pu	NS	YK
	c. Assess whether the outline overemphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	Pu	NS	YK
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	PBS	NS	YK
SIMULATOR	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	PBS	NS	YK
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	PBS	NS	YK
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conforms with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D and in Section D.5, "Specific Instructions for the 'Simulator Operating Test,'" of ES-301 (including overlap).	PBS	NS	YK
WALKTHROUGH	a. Verify that the systems walkthrough outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) The outline(s) contains the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form. (2) Task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form. (3) No tasks are duplicated from the applicant's audit test(s). (4) The number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form. (5) The number of alternate-path, low-power, emergency, and radiologically controlled area tasks meets the criteria on the form.	PBS	NS	YK
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) The tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form. (2) At least one task is new or significantly modified. (3) No more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations.	PBS	NS	YK
	c. Determine whether there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	PBS	NS	YK
GENERAL	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including probabilistic risk assessment and individual plant examination insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	PBS	NS	YK
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41, 55.43, and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	PBS	NS	YK
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	PBS	NS	YK
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections and the last two NRC exams.	PBS	NS	YK
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	PBS	NS	YK
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	PBS	NS	YK
a. Author	Printed Name/Signature		Date	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Phillip B Swan / [Signature]		9/7/21	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	ROBERT R SHAPBOLT / [Signature]		9/7/21	
d. NRC Supervisor	John Kirkland / [Signature]		9/28/21	
* Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.				
# The independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; the chief examiner's concurrence is required.				

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: 02/23/2022		Exam Level: RO / SRO				
Item Description				Initial				
				a	b*	c*#		
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.			PSR	RS	YK		
2.	a.	NRC K/As are referenced for all questions.		PSR	RS	YK		
	b.	Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.						
	c.	Correct answer explanation and distractor analysis provided (ES-401, D.2.g)						
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401			PSR	RS	YK		
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than four RO or two SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR/NRO OL program office).			PSR	RS	YK		
5.	Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate. ___ The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed, or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started, or ___ the examinations were developed independently, or ___ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication, or ___ other (explain).			PSR	RS	YK		
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75% from the bank, at least 10% new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		28/5	8/14	37/16	PSR	RS	YK	
7.	Between 38 and 45 questions of the questions on the RO exam and at least 13 questions of the questions on the SRO-only portion of the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level (see ES-401, D.2.c); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		C/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
		35/18	40/17	PSR	RS	YK		
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.			PSR	RS	YK		
9.	Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.			PSR	RS	YK		
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in Appendix B.			PSR	RS	YK		
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple-choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.			PSR	RS	YK		
				Printed Name/Signature		Date		
a.	Author	Philip Swa / PSR				1/20/22		
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)	ROBERT SHADBOIT / RS				1/20/22		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	John Kirkland / YK				1/20/22		
d.	NRC Regional Supervisor	Heather Gepford						
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials or signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initials items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.								

Facility: Callaway		Date of Examination: Week of 2/14/2022		Operating Test Number: 2022-1	
1. General Criteria				Initials	
				a	b*
a.	The operating test conforms to the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	PSB	MS	JL	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	PSB	MS	JL	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a.).	PSB	MS	JL	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	PSB	MS	JL	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	PSB	MS	JL	
2. Walkthrough Criteria				--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• initial conditions</li> <li>• initiating cues</li> <li>• references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>• reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>• operationally important specific performance criteria that include—                         <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>– system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>– statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>– criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>– identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>– restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walkthrough outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and ES-301-2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last two NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	PSB	MS	JL	
3. Simulator Criteria				--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4, and a copy is attached.					
		Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a.	Author	Phillip Swan / <i>[Signature]</i>		1/20/22	
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)	Robert Schabolt / <i>[Signature]</i>		1/20/22	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	John Kirkland / <i>[Signature]</i>		1/21/22	
d.	NRC Supervisor	Heather Gephford / <i>[Signature]</i>			
* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # The independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; the chief examiner concurrence is required.					

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: Week of 2/14/2022		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4		Operating Test No.: 2022-1		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials		
						a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	PBS	20	JK				
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	PBS	04	JK				
3.	Each event description consists of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	PBS	04	JK				
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	PBS	05	JK				
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	PBS	02	JK				
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	PBS	04	JK				
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	PBS	03	JK				
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	PBS	21	JK				
9.	Scenarios are new or significantly modified in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	PBS	02	JK				
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	PBS	03	JK				
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency rating factors as described on Forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)	PBS	04	JK				
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	PBS	12	JK				
13.	Applicants are evaluated on a similar number of preidentified critical tasks across scenarios, when possible.	PBS	13	JK				
14.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	PBS	04					
<b>Target Quantitative Attributes per Scenario (See Section D.5.d)</b>		<b>Actual Attributes Scenarios 1 / 2 / 3 / 4</b>		--	--	--		
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1 / 2 / 2 / 2	PBS	04	JK			
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)	3 / 3 / 4 / 4	PBS	04	JK			
3.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1 / 1	PBS	02	JK			
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1 / 2	PBS	00	JK			
5.	Entry into a contingency EOP with substantive actions (≥ 1 per scenario set)	2 / 1 / 1 / 0	PBS	02	JK			
6.	Preidentified critical tasks (≥ 2)	2 / 4 / 2 / 3	PBS	04	JK			
* The facility licensee signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # An independent NRC reviewer initials items in column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.								

Facility: Callaway Station    Date of Exam: 2/23/2022    Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	PBS	JU	JC
2. Proposed answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented (facility reviewer initials not required (N/R) if NO post-examination comments are submitted)	BS	JU	JC
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	PBS	JU	JC
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% ±2% overall and 70% or 80%, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only exam) reviewed in detail	PBS	JU	JC
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	BS	JU	JC
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by one-half or more of the applicants	PBS	JU	JC
Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a. Grader	Phillip B. Swan / <i>[Signature]</i>	3/1/22	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	ROBERT SHADSBOLT / <i>[Signature]</i>	3/1/22	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	John Kirkland / <i>[Signature]</i>	3/18/22	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	Heather Gepford / <i>[Signature]</i>	3/22/22	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.			

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: 2/14/2022									Operating Test No. 2022-1						
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios – Team 1: I1, I2, R1															
		1 (Spare)			2 (Monday 2/14)			3 (Tuesday 2/15)			4 (Wednesday 2/16)			T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)		
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
		I1	I2	R1	I2	R1	I1	I2	I1	I2	I1	R1	R	I	U		
<b>I1</b>	RX											2		1	1	1	0
	NOR				1									1	1	1	1
	I/C				2,3,4 ,6,7					2,4,5 ,6		5,7,8		12	4	4	2
	MAJ				5					7		6		3	2	2	1
	TS				2,4									2	0	2	2
<b>I2</b>	RX											2		1	1	1	0
	NOR							1				1		2	1	1	1
	I/C					2,4, 6		2,3,4 ,5,6				3,4,5 ,7,8		13	4	4	2
	MAJ					5		7				6		3	2	2	1
	TS							2,4				2,5		4	0	2	2
<b>R1</b>	RX													(*)	1	1	0
	NOR						1		1				1	3	1	1	1
	I/C						2,3,4, 6,7		3,4,5 ,6				2,3,4, 7	13	4	4	2
	MAJ						5		7				6	3	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: 2/14/2022						Operating Test No. 2022-1									
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios – <b>Team 2 &amp;(3): I3, R2, R3 &amp; (I4, R4, R5) + Surrogate(S1)</b>												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)		
		1 (Spare)			2 (Monday 2/14)			3 (Tuesday 2/15)			4 (Wednesday 2/16)						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
<b>I3 (I4)</b>	RX										2			1	1	1	0
	NOR				1				1		1			3	1	1	1
	I/C				2,3,4 ,6,7				3,4,5, 6		3,4,5 ,7,8			14	4	4	2
	MAJ				5				7		6			3	2	2	1
	TS				2,4						2,5			4	0	2	2
<b>R2 (R4)</b>	RX													(*)	1	1	0
	NOR											1		1	1	1	1
	I/C					2,4, 6				2,4, 5,6		2,3,4, 7		11	4	4	2
	MAJ					5				7		6		3	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
<b>R3 (R5)</b>	RX											2		1	1	1	0
	NOR						1							1	1	1	1
	I/C						2,3,4, 6,7					5,7,8		8	4	4	2
	MAJ						5					6		2	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

Facility: Callaway		Date of Exam: 2/14/2022						Operating Test No. 2022-1									
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios – <b>Team 4: I5, R6, Surrogate(S1)</b>												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)		
		1 (Spare)			2 (Monday 2/14)			3 (Tuesday 2/15)			4 (Wednesday 2/16)						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
			I5	R6	S1	S1	I5	R6						R	I	U	
<b>I5</b>	RX													(*)	1	1	0
	NOR				1				1					2	1	1	1
	I/C				2,3,4 ,6,7				3,4,5 ,6					9	4	4	2
	MAJ				5				7					2	2	2	1
	TS				2,4									2	0	2	2
<b>R6</b>	RX													(*)	1	1	0
	NOR													(*)	1	1	1
	I/C					2,4, 6				2,4,5 ,6				7	4	4	2
	MAJ					5				7				2	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

## Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the at-the-controls (ATC) and balance-of-plant (BOP) positions. Instant SROs (SRO-I) must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an SRO-I *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional I/C malfunctions on a one-for-one basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
4. For new reactor facility licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.

## NOTES:

Facility: Callaway		Date of Examination: Week of 2/14/2022				Operating Test No.: 2022-1			
Competencies	APPLICANTS								
	RO				SRO-I				
	SCENARIO#				SCENARIO#				
	1 (Spare)	2	3	4	1 (Spare)	2	3	4	
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	2,3,4,5,6,7	2,3,4,5,6,7	2,3,4,5,6,7	2,3,4,5,6,7,8	2,3,4,5,6,7	2,3,4,5,6,7	2,3,4,5,6,7	2,3,4,5,6,7,8	
Comply with and Use Procedures (1)	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	
Operate Control Boards (2)	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	
Communicate and Interact	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	Note 3				1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	
Comply with and Use TS (3)					3,4	2,4	2,4	2,5	
<p>Notes:</p> <p>(1) Includes TS compliance for an RO.</p> <p>(2) Optional for an SRO-U.</p> <p>(3) Only applicable to SROs.</p> <p>* See RO competencies if SRO-I is in the ATC or BOP position for that scenario</p>									

**Instructions:**

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant. (This includes all rating factors for each competency.) (Forms ES 303 1 and ES 303 3 describe the competency rating factors.)

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 02/01/2022 to 02/28/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Exam Week	Site / Docket # / Insp Rpt #	# Candidates				Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
		RO	LSRO	SROI	SROU				
02/13/2022	Callaway / 05000483 / 2022301 CAC #: 000500	6	0	5	0	FB-OR-INITIAL LICENSE EXAM ADMINISTRATION (EXAD)	NFF	Kirkland, John	Farina,Thomas Hayes,Mahdi Kirkland,John You,David

Sites: CALL

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 02/01/2022 to 02/28/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Summary by Date	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
02/2022	6	5	0	0	11

Sites: CALL

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD

2/1/2022 1:53:06 PM

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 02/01/2022 to 02/28/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Summary by Site	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
Callaway	6	5	0	0	11

Sites: CALL

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD

2/1/2022 1:53:06 PM

# IP 21 Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 02/01/2022 to 02/28/2022

Phase Code: 2 - Construction, 5 - Operational

Region: 4

Summary by Region	RO	SROI	SROU	LSRO	Total
Region 4	6	5	0	0	11

Sites: CALL

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

Activity Codes: EXAD

2/1/2022 1:53:06 PM

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/14/2022 - 2/23/2022 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/14/22 - 2/23/22 from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

ES-201, Page 31 of 31

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE/RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Phillip B Swan	Exam Author	<i>Phillip B Swan</i>	2/23/2021	<i>Phillip B Swan</i>	2/23/22	
2. Lynn Saville	Exam Author	<i>Lynn Saville</i>	2-23-21	<i>Lynn Saville</i>	2-25-22	
3. Steve Jones	Exam Supvr	<i>Steve Jones</i>	2/23/21	<i>Steve Jones</i>	2/25/22	
4. Maria Wallace	Admin Supt	<i>Maria Wallace</i>	5/20/21	<i>Maria Wallace</i>	2-25-22	
5. ROBERT SHADDOL	FACILITY REP	<i>Robert Shaddol</i>	6/30/21	<i>Robert Shaddol</i>	2/28/22	
6. ERIC T. SCHULTZ	Simulator Engineer	<i>Eric T. Schultz</i>	8/16/2021	<i>Eric T. Schultz</i>	2/28/22	
7. JOHN E. OAKES	Simulator Engineer	<i>John E. Oakes</i>	8/23/2021	<i>John E. Oakes</i>	2/25/22	
8. JUSTIN MEXION	VALIDATOR	<i>Justin Mexion</i>	9-7-21	<i>Justin Mexion</i>	2-25-22	2-25-22 3-6-22 2-25-22
9. Jake Walker	Validator	<i>Jake Walker</i>	9/7/21	<i>Jake Walker</i>	2-28-22	Ⓚ
10. Jesse Sebastian	Validation	<i>Jesse Sebastian</i>	9-7-21	<i>Jesse Sebastian</i>	2-28-22	Ⓚ
11. Dennis Hugo	Validation	<i>Dennis Hugo</i>	9-22-21	<i>Dennis Hugo</i>	3-9-22	Ⓚ
12. Bradley Derington	Validation	<i>Bradley Derington</i>	9/22/21	<i>Bradley Derington</i>	2-28-22	Ⓚ
13. JOSHUA ADAMS	VALIDATION	<i>Joshua Adams</i>	9-22-21	<i>Joshua Adams</i>	2-28-22	Ⓚ
14. Jeff Landrum	Validation	<i>Jeff Landrum</i>	9-22-21	<i>Jeff Landrum</i>	2/28/22	Ⓚ
15. Mike Larson	Validation	<i>Mike Larson</i>	10-5-21	<i>Mike Larson</i>	2-28-22	Ⓚ

NOTES:

Ⓚ Signed per telecom/email

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/14/22 -> 2/22/22 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/14/22 -> 2/22/22. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

ES-201, Page 31 of 31

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE/RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>Jon F. Picard</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/5/21</u>	<u>Jon Picard</u>	<u>2-28-22</u>	<u>①</u>
2. <u>PHILIP L MAIERHOFER</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-5-21</u>	<u>Phillip Maierhofer</u>	<u>2/28/22</u>	<u>①</u>
3. <u>TAMMY TYLER</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-21</u>	<u>Timmy Tyler</u>	<u>3-1-22</u>	<u>②</u>
4. <u>JAKE SANTI</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-21</u>	<u>Jake Santi</u>	<u>3-7-22</u>	<u>①</u>
5. <u>Greg Cizin</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-21</u>	<u>Greg Cizin</u>	<u>3-2-22</u>	<u>①</u>
6. <u>TREVIS RUSH</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/14/21</u>	<u>Trevis Rush</u>	<u>2/28/22</u>	<u>①</u>
7. <u>Jana Bussick</u>	<u>RO validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-26-21</u>	<u>Jana Bussick</u>	<u>2/28/22</u>	<u>①</u>
8. <u>Brian Powell</u>	<u>admin</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/28/21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/28/22</u>	
9. <u>Andrew Gordon</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/28/21</u>	<u>AG130158 Andrew Gordon</u>	<u>3-8-22</u>	<u>①</u>
10. <u>Matt Sellers</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/3/21</u>	<u>Matt Sellers</u>	<u>2-28-22</u>	<u>①</u>
11. <u>STEVE MINER</u>	<u>RO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-11-21</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/28/22</u>	
12. <u>Lyle Bussick</u>	<u>SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/11/22</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/28/22</u>	
13. <u>Greg Kimmner</u>	<u>SM</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/10/22</u>	<u>Greg Kimmner</u>	<u>2/28/22</u>	<u>①</u>
14. <u>Jeffrey Bush</u>	<u>OPS Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-14-22</u>	<u>Jeffrey Bush</u>	<u>2-28-22</u>	
15. <u>Eric Bussick</u>	<u>OPS Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-14-22</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/28/22</u>	

NOTES:

① Signed per Telecon / email

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/14/22 → 2/21/22 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/14/22 → 2/21/22 From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

ES-201, Page 31 of 31

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE/RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	<u>Andy Eubanks</u>	<u>Ops Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/14/22</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/28/22</u>	
2.	<u>RYAN REARDON</u>	<u>OPS INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/14/22</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/26/22</u>	
3.	<u>CLAY COTTRELL</u>	<u>STS</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/14/22</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/25/22</u>	
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES: