



March 21, 2022

TP-LIC-LET-0014 Project Number 99902100

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 ATTN: Document Control Desk

Subject: TerraPower Functional Containment Presentation Material

This letter provides the TerraPower, LLC presentation material for the upcoming "Functional Containment" pre-application engagement meeting (Enclosures 2 and 3). The presentation material contains proprietary information and as such, it is requested that Enclosure 2 be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with 10 CFR 2.390, "Public inspections, exemptions, requests for withholding." An affidavit certifying the basis for the request to withhold Enclosure 2 from public disclosure is included as Enclosure 1. The proprietary material has been redacted from the presentation material in Enclosure 3.

This letter and enclosures make no new or revised regulatory commitments.

If you have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact Ryan Sprengel at rsprengel@terrapower.com or (425) 324-2888.

Sincerely,

Kyn Spegl

Ryan Sprengel License Application Development Manager TerraPower, LLC



- Enclosures: 1. TerraPower, LLC Affidavit and Request for Withholding from Public Disclosure (10 CFR 2.390(a)(4))
 - 2. "Functional Containment" Presentation Material Proprietary (Non-Public)
 - 3. "Functional Containment" Presentation Material Non-proprietary (Public)
- cc: William (Duke) Kennedy, NRC Mallecia Sutton, NRC

ENCLOSURE 1

TerraPower, LLC Affidavit and Request for Withholding from Public Disclosure (10 CFR 2.390(a)(4))

Enclosure 1 TerraPower, LLC Affidavit and Request for Withholding from Public Disclosure (10 CFR 2.390(a)(4))

- I, George Wilson, hereby state:
- I am the Director of Regulatory Affairs and I have been authorized by TerraPower, LLC (TerraPower) to review information sought to be withheld from public disclosure in connection with the development, testing, licensing, and deployment of the Natrium[™] reactor and its associated fuel, structures, systems, and components, and to apply for its withholding from public disclosure on behalf of TerraPower.
- 2. The information sought to be withheld, in its entirety, is contained in Enclosure 2, which accompanies this Affidavit.
- 3. I am making this request for withholding, and executing this Affidavit as required by 10 CFR 2.390(b)(1).
- 4. I have personal knowledge of the criteria and procedures utilized by TerraPower in designating information as a trade secret, privileged, or as confidential commercial or financial information that would be protected from public disclosure under 10 CFR 2.390(a)(4).
- 5. The information contained in Enclosure 2 accompanying this Affidavit contains non-public details of the TerraPower regulatory and developmental strategies intended to support NRC staff review.
- 6. Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(b)(4), the following is furnished for consideration by the Commission in determining whether the information in Enclosure 2 should be withheld:
 - a. The information has been held in confidence by TerraPower.
 - b. The information is of a type customarily held in confidence by TerraPower and not customarily disclosed to the public. TerraPower has a rational basis for determining the types of information that it customarily holds in confidence and, in that connection, utilizes a system to determine when and whether to hold certain types of information in confidence. The application and substance of that system constitute TerraPower policy and provide the rational basis required.
 - c. The information is being transmitted to the Commission in confidence and, under the provisions of 10 CFR 2.390, it is received in confidence by the Commission.
 - d. This information is not available in public sources.
 - e. TerraPower asserts that public disclosure of this non-public information is likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of TerraPower, because it would enhance the ability of competitors to provide similar products and services by reducing their expenditure of resources using similar project methods, equipment, testing approach, contractors, or licensing approaches.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Enclosure 1 TerraPower, LLC Affidavit and Request for Withholding from Public Disclosure (10 CFR 2.390(a)(4))

Executed on: March 21, 2022

Jeorge Wilson George Wilson

George Wilson Director of Regulatory Affairs TerraPower, LLC

ENCLOSURE 2

"Functional Containment" Presentation Material

Proprietary (Non-Public)

ENCLOSURE 3

"Functional Containment" Presentation Material

Non-proprietary (Public)



NATRÍUM

Functional Containment

a TerraPower & GE-Hitachi technology

NATD-LIC-PRSNT-0017

SUBJECT TO DOE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT NO. DE-NE0009054 Copyright© 2022 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved. Portions of this presentation are considered proprietary and TerraPower, LLC requests it be withheld from public disclosure under the provisions of 10 CFR 2.390(a)(4).

Nonproprietary versions of this presentation indicate the redaction of such information using [[]]^{(a)(4)}.





Objectives

- Key Elements of Natrium[™] Functional Containment
- Scope of Functional Containment Analysis in Source Term Methodology
- Proposed performance criteria for Natrium Functional Containment
- Examples of planned barriers and system boundaries
- Discussion of additional considerations:
 - Treatment of Barriers testing and inspection
 - Treatment of Barriers safety analyses
 - Analysis of severe event(s) which challenge containment



Functional Containment Strategy – Key Elements

- Plant Design (physical barriers and features)
- Event Classification (based on event frequencies)
- Establish Functional Containment Performance Criteria
- Functional Containment Analysis
- Testing of Barriers
- SSC Classification, informing:
 - Administrative/Procedural Controls (control the status of barriers)
 - Maintenance and Inspection (ensure barriers are in good working order)

SUBJECT TO DOE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT NO. DE-NE0009054 Copyright© 2022 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved.





Copyright© 2022 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved.

Important Phenomena and Code Capabilities

]]^{(a)(4)}



SECY 18-0096 Performance Criteria



Note: F/C refers to frequency/consequence targets

Figure 3 from SECY 18-0096 Enclosure 2

- Fundamental Safety Function is CONTAIN
- Graded criteria according to event category from the LMP process
- DBAs are chosen from DBE category
 - Credit only safety-related SSCs
 - Held to 10 CFR 50.34 requirements
 - DBAs historically used to define safety margins and LCOs
- "Other Functions" fall outside of Functional Containment but considered as part of the building design requirements



Proposed Natrium Performance Criteria

Event Categories	Governing Performance Criteria
Normal Operations	10 CFR Part 20, Subpart D (dose to the public) (e.g. 0.1 REM per year at the EAB)
Anticipated Operational Occurrences (AOOs)	F/C target: dose less than the 10 CFR 20 iso-risk line or 1.0 REM depending on event frequencySystem Criteria: SARRDLs
Design Basis Events (DBEs)	F/C target: dose less than 1.0 REM (preferred) or 25 REM depending on event frequencySystem Criteria: SARRDLs
Design Basis Accidents	10 CFR Section 50.34 (e.g. worst 2-hr dose at EAB < 25 rem TEDE)
Beyond-Design Basis Events	F/C target: with margin



Where the aggregate leakage across all Functional Containment barriers for an event is less than that leakage needed to meet the performance criteria for that event category.



Primary Interfaces

]]^{(a)(4)}



Treatment of Barriers – Testing and Inspection

SSC Classification	Level of Testing and Inspection
Safety Related (SR)	Will have a leak testing program
	 Testing options under consideration (App J Option B or other standards)
	 Tested leakage < required leakage needed to meet dose limit
Non-Safety Related with	Programmatic/administrative controls
(NSRST)	Rely on inspections (by procedure)
	Option to test barrier within SR testing program
No Special Treatment (NST)	General walkdowns and inspection



Treatment of Barriers – Safety Analyses

]]^{(a)(4)}







Design Basis Analyses, LMP, and Major Accident

- An LWR would **analyze an MHA** (or MCA) with maximum source term per DG-1389 (RG 1.183) to meet 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1)(ii)(D) and Footnote 6.
 - DG-1389 is not applicable to the Natrium reactor.
 - A non-LWR equivalent of DG-1389 does not exist.
- Natrium Proposed Design Basis Analyses: 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1)(ii)(D)
 - **Design Basis Accidents** are constructed from the DBEs using conservative assumptions.
 - All severe events with multiple fuel failures and subsequent release fall below the minimum LBE frequency (<5x10-7/ plant year).
 - No substantial fission product release to the public is expected for any DBA.
 - Severe events are considered for cliff edge, input to EPZ, etc.
 - Sodium sprays, leaks, and chemical reactions analyzed where applicable.
- Footnote 6 of 50.34 requires an evaluation of a **major accident**.
 - The general assumption of a substantial meltdown is not credible for the Natrium SFR based on the integrated risk and inherent properties
 of the design.
 - The Natrium major accident will evaluate the most likely severe event with a fission product release from the core to functional containment with design leak rates. This accident type is not a credible LBE nor DBA as assessed by PRA (<5x10-7/ plant year).



Questions?

SUBJECT TO DOE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT NO. DE-NE0009054 Copyright© 2022 TerraPower, LLC. All Rights Reserved.

966



Acronym List

- AOO Anticipated Operational Occurrence
- CFR Code of Federal Regulations
- DBA Design Basis Accident
- DBE Design Basis Event
- EBR Experimental Breeder Reactor
- EM Evaluation Model
- EPZ Emergency Planning Zone
- FFTF Fast Flux Test Facility
- HT9 Martensitic Steel
- IHT Intermediate Heat Transport system
- LBE Licensing Basis Event
- LCO Limiting Condition of Operation
- LMP Licensing Modernization Project
- LWR Light Water Reactor
- MCA Maximum Credible Accident

MHA – Maximum Hypothetical Accident MHTGR/HTGR – Modular High Temperature Gas Reactor MST – Mechanistic Source Term NSRST – Non-Safety-Related with Special Treatment NST – Non-Safety-Related with No Special Treatment PDC – Principal Design Criteria PRISM – Power Reactor Innovative Small Module PWR – Pressurized Water Reactor RCS – Reactor Coolant System RN - Radionuclide SARRDL – Specified Acceptable system Radionuclide Release Design Limit SFR – Sodium Fast Reactor SSC – Structures, systems, and components TREAT – Transient Reactor Test

