ONS SLRA: Breakout Questions

SLRA Section 4.6, "Containment Liner Plate, Metal Containments, and Penetration Fatigue Analyses" TLAA: 4.6.1, 4.6.2, 4.6.3, A4.6, and 3.5.2.2.2.1.5

TRP: 146

Question	SLRA	SLRA	Background / Issue	Discussion Question / Request
Number	Section	Page	(As applicable/needed)	
1	4.6.1, A4.6.1, 4.6.3, A4.6.3	4-100, 4-101, A-65, A-66	<u>Clarify change in TLAA disposition</u> <u>between first LR and SLRA</u> : From review of NUREG-1723 (SER for ONS First LR) and the referenced SLRA, the staff noted that the TLAA disposition for containment liner plate and penetrations changed from 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i) to (c)(1)(iii).	 a) [This question is not intended to change any disposition, but for the benefit of the staff's understanding.] Explain the rationale that triggered the change in TLAA disposition for containment liner plate and penetrations fatigue from (c)(1)(i) in first LR to (c)(1)(ii) in SLRA. What fatigue parameter may potentially be exceeded in 80 years of operation to be monitored by a TLAA AMP?
2	4.6.1, A4.6.1, 4.6.3, A4.6.3	4-100, 4-101, A-65, AA-66	<u>Clarify TLAA Evaluation statements for</u> <u>containment liner plate and containment</u> <u>penetrations and related UFSAR</u> <u>statements</u> : UFSAR Section 3.8.1.5.3 on pages 3.8-30 and 3.832 states, in part, that: <i>"All</i> penetrations are reviewed for a conservative number of cycles to be expected during the plant life." It is not clear if fatigue TLAAs exist for all penetrations as implied in the UFSAR.	a) Clarify if fatigue TLAAs exist for all containment penetrations as apparently implied in the reference UFSAR Section 3.8.1.5.3. If so, are they addressed in the SLRA apart from the main steam and main feedwater piping penetrations evaluated in Section 4.6.3, and where?

	SLRA section 4.6.3 addresses TLAAs for		
	only main steam and main feedwater	b)	Clarify or explain the
	containment penetrations.		referenced statement in the
			SLRA, with regard to fatigue
	The SLRA under TLAA disposition for the		analysis are only for
	containment liner plate states, in part: "As		thickened portions of the
	described in UFSAR Section 3.8.1.5.3, the		liner, which appears
	only portions of the liner plate that contain		inconsistent with the
	fatigue analysis are those thickened		description in the UFSAR.
	portions at the penetrations." Similar		
	statement is made in UFSAR supplement	c)	Clarify whether the fatigue
	for the TLAA in SLRA Section A4.6.1.	ŕ	evaluation of the concentric
			penetration sleeves (design
	The staff understands that liner plate is		to ASME Section III per
	thickened at the penetrations to address		UFSAR) is included with
	stress concentrations at discontinuities.		that of the liner plate (4.6.1)
	However, the staff notes from review of		or that of the penetrations
	UFSAR Section 3.8.1.5.3 (p 3.8.1-30 and		(4.6.3). Clarify what specific
	-31) that the fatigue loads stated therein		penetration components
	where considered in the design of the liner		(e.g., penetration sleeves,
	plate (not only the thickened liner plate),		penetration bellows, welds,
	which appear inconsistent with the		dissimilar metal welds) were
	statement in the SLRA.		evaluated in the 4.6.3
			TLAA.
	Also, it is not clear what components (e.g.,		
	penetration sleeves, penetration bellows,	d)	Clarify the materials used
	welds, dissimilar metal welds) of the main	ĺ,	for the penetration sleeves
	steam and feedwater penetrations were		and if it is same or
	evaluated in the SLRA 4.6.3 TLAA.		equivalent as the A36 liner
			plate material. Clarify
			whether there are dissimilar
			metal welds (DMWs) or
			bellows.
		e)	If DMWs exist, are they
		-	evaluated in the TLAAs for

					the penetrations?. If not, how is the aging effect of fatigue cracking monitored for DMWs.
3	A4.6.1 A4.6.3	A-65, A-66	TLAA FSAR Supplement Summary Description Issue:10 CFR 54.21(d) requires that the summary description of the evaluations of TLAAs in the FSAR Supplement is sufficiently comprehensive, such that later changes can be controlled by 10 CFR 50.59. Per SRP-SLR Section 4.6.2.2, the FSAR description should contain information associated with TLAAs regarding the basis for determining that the applicant has made the demonstration required by 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) disposition. See example of expected FSAR supplement information description in SRP-SLR Table 4.6-1 for disposition under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).The UFSAR supplement summary 	a)	Explain how ONS proposes to address the apparent deficiency (e.g., what specific parameters will be monitored against what and to what end) identified in the issue in the FSAR supplement summary descriptions in SLRA Sections A4.6.1 and A4.6.3 for the containment liner and containment penetrations fatigue TLAAs dispositioned in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). See example in SRP-SLR Table 4.6-1 for recommended information,

			actions), when and what corrective		
			actions are triggered.		
4	Table 4.6.3-1, Table 4.3.1-1	4-102 4-54	 <u>Clarify information presented in SLRA</u> <u>Table 4.6.3-1</u>: Table 4.6.3-1 includes a column "Current Count⁽²⁾" of governing transients for the ONS Main Steam and Feedwater Containment Penetrations, but does not provide a date associated with the current count. The Table also includes a Refined Allowable cycles column. 	a)	Provide the date associated with the current count, clarify if it is as of 5/6/19 indicated in SLRA Table 4.3.1-1. Also, explain the "Refined Allowable Cycles" versus "40-Year Design Allowable Cycles" in Table 4.6.3-1.
			 b) There appears to be an apparent discrepancy in the reported Current Count and Projected Cycles for 80 years between Table 4.6.3-1 and Table 4.3.1-1 for the total reactor trips transient (135 vs 122 and 194 vs 204 for current and projected respectively) obtained by the addition if transients 8A, 8B, 8C, and 8D in Table 4.3.1-1. c) Footnote 3 of Table 4.6.3-1 states: "These governing transients include seismic loads." But the TLAA evaluation makes no mention of seismic loads in the TLAA evaluation and how many seismic cycles were considered. Also, Table 4.3.1-1 does not appear to include seismic as a transient that is monitored. 	b) c) d)	Clarify the discrepancy of reported current count and projected cycles between Table 4.6.3-1 and Table 4.3.1-1, and provide the correct cycles if determined to be in error. Explain how seismic load cycles were evaluated in the TLAA, and how many cycles were considered Explain why transient cycles due to loss of feedwater to once through steam generator is not included as an applicable transient for the main feedwater penetration TLAA evaluation.
			 a) It is no clear if transient cycles due to Loss of Feedwater to once through 		

			 steam generators (17A, 17B) in Table 4.3.1-1 would be an applicable transient for the main feedwater penetration TLAA evaluation. e) It is not clear what the material for the containment main steam and main feedwater penetrations are? f) It is not clear what the source document of the reported "Refined Allowable Cycles" column in Table 4.6.3-1 is. 	e) f)	State the material specification (e.g., A36, A516) used for the main steam and main feedwater piping penetrations / penetration sleeves. Are all the containment penetrations of the same material? Identify the source document of the reported "Refined Allowable Cycles" column in Table 4.6.3-1 and provide on the ePortal.
5	Table 3.5.1, item -027	3- 1331	Accounting AMR of containment pressure- retaining boundary components that have no CLB fatigue analysis with regard to managing cracking due to cyclic loading (AMR item 3.5.1-027): SLRA Table 3.5.1, in the Discussion column for item 3.5.1-027 states: "Not applicable. Cracking due to cyclic loading of the Containment liner and penetrations	a)	[This question may overlap with TRP 41: ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE AMP and can be discussed in both TRPs 41 and 146] List the containment- pressure-retaining boundary components covered by
			is a time-limited aging analysis (TLAA), as defined in 10 CFR 54.3. The evaluation of this TLAA is addressed in Section 4.6. The associated NUREG-2191 aging items are not used." SLRA Section 3.5.2.2.2.1.5 states that TLAAs for fatigue of the <i>containment liner</i> <i>plate and main feedwater and main steam</i> <i>penetrations</i> are addressed in SLRA		SRP-SLR Table 3.5-1, item 027 (e.g., personnel airlock, equipment hatch, electrical penetration, penetration sleeves, penetration bellows, etc.) that are subject to cyclic loading but do not have a CLB fatigue analyses.

	does not address fatigue or fatigue waiver analyses of any other containment pressure-retaining boundary components other than those above, nor provides any further evaluation associated with SRP- SLR item 3.5.1-027 for containment	b) c)	Clarify if fatigue TLAAs exist for all containment penetrations as implied by Justify the non-applicability claim of SLRA Table 3.5.1,
	that do not have a CLB fatigue analysis.		these components.
	However, it is not clear now the other containment pressure-retaining boundary	O	K
	components subject to cyclic loading, but	Ex	plain how cracking due to
	do not have a CLB fatigue analysis,	Cy fat	clic loading (cumulative
	adequately managed for cracking due to	ad	equately managed for these
	cyclic loading.		mponents pursuant to 10 $\overline{58.54.21(a)(2)}$ and how do
	[Note: The SRP-SLR and GALL-SLR	yo	u plan to address them in
	provides guidance for addressing or	the	e SLRA.
	loading (where no CLB fatigue analysis		
	exists) by any of the following ways:		
	(i) By performing supplemental surface	(v)	
	examinations (or alternate like E-VT1		
	examinations) (recommended in GALL-		
	(ii) By crediting an appropriate Appendix J		
	Type B leak rate test capable of		
	GALL-SLR AMP XI.S1)		
	(iii) By performing a fatigue waiver		
	analysis (recommended in FE		
	3.5.1-027 per SLR-ISG-2021-03-		

			STRUCTURES (ADAMS No. ML20181A381) (iv) Other applicant proposed or plant- specific justification for aging effect not requiring management or not applicable]	
6	SLR- ONS- TLAA- 0300 Rev 1, App B; SLRA 4.6	4-102	 <u>Related Document Requests for ePortal:</u> Provide the following documents on the ePortal: 1) OSC-11500, Design Loads for Penetrations 25, 26, 27 & 28 (Main Steam and Feedwater) 2) Source document of the reported "Refined Allowable Cycles" column in SLRA Table 4.6.3-1 3) Design calculation(s) that includes the fatigue evaluation of (a) the containment liner plate and (b) containment penetrations. 	