

From: [Hood, Tanya](#)
To: Ernie.Kapopoulos@duke-energy.com
Cc: [Duc, Joshua Brian](#); [Grzeck, Lee](#)
Subject: Acceptance Review for H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 Re: License Amendment Request for Application To Revise Technical Specifications To Adopt TSTF-577
Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 12:22:00 PM
Importance: Low

SUBJECT: H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT 2 –
ACCEPTANCE OF REQUESTED LICENSING ACTION RE: LICENSE
AMENDMENT REQUEST FOR APPLICATION TO REVISE
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS TO ADOPT TSTF-577, “REVISED
FREQUENCIES FOR STEAM GENERATOR TUBE INSPECTIONS”
(EPID L-2021-LLA-0222)

Dear Mr. Kapopoulos, Jr.,

By letter dated December 9, 2021, as supplemented by letter dated January 6, 2022, Duke Energy Progress, LLC submitted a license amendment for H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2. The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff’s acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an application for an amendment to a license (including the technical specifications) [or construction permit] must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff’s ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in your submittal, the NRC staff has estimated that this licensing request will take approximately 300 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review in approximately one year from the acceptance of

your application, which is January 12, 2023. If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager.

These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and they could change, due to several factors including requests for additional information, unanticipated addition of scope to the review, and review by NRC advisory committees or hearing-related activities. Additional delays may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance of or in parallel with industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Tanya E. Hood
Project Manager
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738
301-415-1387
Tanya.Hood@nrc.gov