PUBLIC SUBMISSION

SUNI Review Complete
Template=ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Phyllis Clark, Stacey Imboden, Mary Neely
Comment (44)
Publication Date: 11/9/2021
Citation: 86 FR 62220

As of: 1/4/22 4:22 PM
Received: January 03, 2022
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. kxz-b7lk-tbj3
Comments Due: January 03, 2022
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2020-0277
Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Comment On: NRC-2020-0277-0194
NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

Document: NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0240
Comment on FR Doc # 2021-24407

Submitter Information

Name: Wendy Fast
Address:
Dansville, NY, 14437
Email: ttouch22@me.com
Phone: 5859199127

General Comment

A true review of the facts should surely use the most up-to-date data available, not decades old data on climate change.

It seems totally irresponsible to ignore the fact that nuclear pollution persists for centuries, and Millenia. It is totally irresponsible to dismiss toxic problems for future generations to solve.
Why we we not consider environmentally sound solutions such as wind power and solar power? Nuclear technology is among the most expensive power sources, even before considering the astronomical expense involved in the cost of nuclear accidents.
The probability of nuclear accidents greatly increase with the age of the reactor. These risks need to be factored in to any projections. The only power sources considered are large, or small nuclear projects. This is an error, when there are much safer sources available. It's also anti-environmental, since you are not considering environmentally friendly power sources.