| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Burnell, Scott |
| **To:** | FOIA Resource |
| **Cc:** | OPA Distribution |
| **Subject:** | FW: How I should formally proceed? |
| **Date:** | Monday, November 29, 2021 7:04:15 AM |
| **Attachments:** | Vine Lang UHI.pdf<br>Embedded274389793b45448bb00a6ba6551bb66f.png |

Good morning;

This sounds like the basis for a FOIA request. Please let me know if OPA should do anything to respond. Thanks.

Scott Burnell
OPA

**From:** (b) (6)
**Sent:** Sunday, November 28, 2021 9:27 PM
**To:** OPA Resource <OPA.Resource@nrc.gov>
**Subject:** [External_Sender] How I should formally proceed?

The following is copied from the attachment. I was looking for work and NRC paid for my trip to be interviewed by Keppler. I want to know how I should formally proceed in demanding the document that is described in 4.a.

4. Lanning went on to say that his only regret on this issue is that NSAC didn't call him when it found fault with AEOD's February 28, 1984 evaluation of upper head injection instead of writing a critical memorandum that was eventually leaked. In response to my questions Lanning outlined the following sequence of events:

a. On November 17, 1984, an anonymous individual visited Keppler, Director of Region III, and gave him a memorandum dated October 3, 1984, the subject of which was "UHI-Ultra High Risk." The addressee, author, and copy lines had been obscured but Lanning understood from undisclosed "sources" that a Mr. Leyse of NSAC was the author.

b. In a memo dated November 28, 1984, and received by AEOD on December 3, 1984, Keppler requested a response to the anonymous memo.

c. Lanning has been "running around" for the last two weeks putting together a joint AEOD/NRR response to Keppler to demonstrate the basis for continued operation of plants with upper head injection.

Robert Leyse
(b) (6)