

SUNI Review Complete
Template=ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Richard Guzman,
Mary Neely
Comment (14) Doc. 0002
Publication Date:
7/15/2021
Citation: 86 FR 37346

As of: 10/1/21 3:39 PM Received: September 22, 2021 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. ktv-jjw1-hfk3 Comments Due: October 22, 2021 Submission Type: Web
--

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2021-0125

Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3 Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report

Comment On: NRC-2021-0125-0002

Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC; Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3; Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report

Document: NRC-2021-0125-DRAFT-0016

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-15068

Submitter Information

Name: Marilyn Mitchell

Address:

PAWLING, NY, 12564

Email: marilyn.marilynmitchell@gmail.com

Phone: 9145399325

General Comment

It's imperative that there is oversight to the process of decommissioning the Indian Point power plant. There needs to be accurate offsite radiation monitoring during the entire transportation journey of the waste to New Mexico. The waste will be travelling through many highly populated areas putting millions of people at risk. Nuclear waste remains a danger well beyond the average person's lifespan so monitoring of radiation must also be planned to continue for generations. Any decommissioning plan must also include the safest possible long term solution to storage of nuclear waste and it appears the plan is to use interim storage. This entails moving the nuclear waste twice and all plans must include radiation monitoring during the second move to permanent storage.